arXiv:math/9904137v2 [math.DG] 28 Nov 2007

Scattering theory for geometrically finite groups

Ulrich Bunke[*]and Martin Olbrich[†]

February 8, 2008

Contents

1 Introduction and statement of the results **2**

- 1.1 Introduction 2
- 1.2 Notion of geometrical finiteness 4
- 1.3 Twists and bundles 6
- 1.4 Exponents 7
- 1.5 Description of the main results 7

2 Some machinery **13**

- 2.1 Limits of bundles 13
- 2.2 Embedding 15
- 2.3 Twisting 18
- 2.4 Holomorphic vector bundles over $\mathbb{C}$ 21

3 Pure cusps **24**

- 3.1 Geometry of cusps 24
- 3.2 Schwartz spaces 28
- 3.3 Asymptotics for the trivial group 33
- 3.4 The spaces $B_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ 36
- 3.5 The push-down for Schwartz spaces 42
- 3.6 Push down for cusps of smaller rank 44
- 3.7 Push-down for cusps of full rank and for general σ 54

---

[*] NFW I–Mathematik, Universität Regensburg, D-93040 Regensburg, Germany, E-mail: ulrich.bunke@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de

[†] Université du Luxembourg, UR en Mathématiques, 162 a, avenue de la Faïencerie, L-1511 Luxembourg, Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg, E-mail: martin.olbrich@uni.lu

3.8 Compatibility with embedding 57
3.9 Extension and restriction 61
3.10 The scattering matrix 75

4 The general case 80
4.1 The space $B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ 80
4.2 Push-down 85
4.3 Extension, restriction, and the scattering matrix 90
4.4 Vanishing results 94
4.5 Meromorphic continuations and general σ 96

1 Introduction and statement of the results

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 Scattering theory is a collection of methods and results for studying the continuous spectrum of operators. Classical fields of application of scattering theory are the propagation of waves in mechanics, electro-dynamics and quantum mechanics. Often one considers a model that is close to some ideal case in which the wave equation can be solved explicitely. Scattering theory provides the tools for comparison.

1.1.2 In many cases it is possible to separate the time and space variables. In this case one can apply methods from stationary scattering theory. This branch of scattering theory investigates the generalized eigenfunctions contributing to the continuous spectrum. In good situations these eigenfunctions come in families which extend meromorphically. Often they are studied via a meromorphic continuation of the distribution kernel of the resolvent of the spacial part of the operator.

1.1.3 The problem of stationary scattering theory that is considered in the present paper has mainly an internal mathematical motivation. The model situation is a Laplace-type operator on a globally symmetric space of negative curvature. Due to the presence of a large symmetry group it is possible to get an essentially complete description of the generalized eigenfunctions.

The real problem is to understand the generalized eigenfunctions on associated locally symmetric spaces. The special case of quotients of the globally symmetric space by arithmetic subgroup groups has many applications in number theory and arithmetic. While arithmetic quotients have finite volume the main emphasis in the present work is on spaces of infinite volume. The question is, how far the interplay between global and local symmetries of the problem can be used in order to get a complete picture.

1.1.4 There is lot of literature on the stationary scattering theory for the function Laplacian on spaces which become close to the global symmetric space asymptotically at infinity. The major part is devoted to the asymptotically hyperbolic case. The main results are meromorphic continuation of the resolvent kernel, the scattering matrix and the parametrization of the relevant generalized eigenfunctions. Most of this work is based on a fine study of the resolvent kernel. We refer to [Gui05b] for one of the latest works and the discussion of the literature therein.

1.1.5 In the more rigid case of a locally symmetric space one can obtain better results. In this case one can approach stationary scattering theory by pushing the analysis to the boundary. Using this method we obtained in [BO00] the spectral decomposition of all locally invariant differential operators on vector bundles in the convex-cocompact case. We refer to this paper for a review of the literature about the convex-cocompact case.

1.1.6 The goal of the present paper is to generalize this method to geometrically finite spaces. These are locally symmetric spaces of negative curvature which at infinity look like the symmetric space or a cusp. From the point of view of analysis the part at infinity which can be compared with the globally symmetric space is easy with the results of [BO00] at hand. The complications are due to the presence of cusps, in particular of those of non-maximal rank.

1.1.7 Let G be a real simple linear connected Lie group of real rank one. It covers the connected component of the group of isometries of the associated symmetric space X. We consider a geometrically finite group $\Gamma \subset G$ which determines the locally symmetric space $\Gamma\backslash X$. For technical reasons we exclude the exceptional symmetric space $X = H\mathbb{O}^2$ from our considerations.

The classical problems of meromorphic continuation of the Eisenstein series, the scattering matrix, and their functional equations was previously adressed by Guillopé [Gu92] in the special case that X is the hyperbolic plane $H\mathbb{R}^2$ and by Froese/Hislop/Perry [FHP91b] for $X = H\mathbb{R}^3$ and spherical Eisenstein series. Cusps of non-maximal rank in the three-dimensional hyperbolic case have been investigated first in [FHP91a] via the resolvent. For the meromorphic continuation of the resolvent on $\Gamma\backslash H\mathbb{R}^n$ see [Per99],[Gui06]. All these papers are restricted to the case of so-called rational cusps.

1.1.8 The main result of the present paper is, in the geometrically finite case, the meromorphic continuation of the Eisenstein series, i.e. of the families of generalized eigensections for all locally invariant differential operators on bundles, see Corollary 1.16 below. Note that this result also includes the case of irrational cusps.

At the moment we are not able to obtain results, which are as complete as in the convex cocompact case. In particular, we are still quite far from showing a spectral decomposition.

1.1.9 The class of geometrically finite discrete subgroups $\Gamma \subset G$ subsumes cocompact, convex-cocompact groups, and subgroups of finite covolume as well as various kinds of combinations of these cases with cusps of non-maximal rank. The paper can be considered as a continuation of [BO00], where the special case of a convex-cocompact group Γ is considered. We will frequently refer to this paper for notations and conventions as well as for technical results.

1.1.10 In the present paper the analysis takes place on the boundary ∂X of the symmetric space. The group Γ acts on vector bundles over this boundary. As in [BO00] the main players of the geometric scattering theory are the push-down π^Γ_* (average of sections over Γ), the extension ext^Γ (the adjoint ot the push-down), the restriction res^Γ, and the scattering matrix S^Γ. Our task is to construct these as families of maps between suitable function/distribution spaces depending meromorphically on the spectral parameter. Due to the presence of cusps of non-maximal rank the detailed description of these spaces turns out to be quite difficult.

1.2 Notion of geometrical finiteness

1.2.1 In the present paper the symmetric space X belongs to one of the series of hyperbolic spaces $H\mathbb{R}^n$, $H\mathbb{C}^n$, and $H\mathbb{H}^n$ (real, complex, and quaternionic) of real dimension n, $2n$, $4n$. We exclude the exceptional symmetric space of rank one, the Cayley hyperbolic plane $H\mathbb{O}^2$, for technical reasons since we are going to employ the fact that X belongs to a series in several places.

1.2.2 By G we denote a real simple linear connected Lie group of real rank one covering the connected component of the group of isometries of X. By ∂X we denote the geodesic boundary of X. The union $\bar{X} := X \cup \partial X$ has the structure of a compact G-manifold with boundary. While X parametrizes the set of maximal compact subgroups the boundary ∂X parametrizes the set of parabolic subgroups of G. If $P \subset G$ is a parabolic subgroup, then we denote by $\infty_P \in \partial X$ the unique fixed point of P. Let $\Omega_P := \partial X \setminus \infty_P$.

1.2.3 Let $P \subset G$ be parabolic, and $N \subset P$ be its nil-radical. Then we can write P as an extension

$$0 \to N \to P \stackrel{l}{\to} L \to 0 \ . \qquad (1)$$

Here L is a reductive Lie group which is canonically isomorphic to a product MA of a compact group M and a group $A \cong \mathbb{R}^*_+$.

1.2.4 Let $\Gamma \subset G$ be a torsion-free discrete subgroup.

Definition 1.1 *A parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$ is called Γ-cuspidal if $U_P := \Gamma \cap P$ is an infinite subgroup such that $l(U_P) \subset L$ is precompact, i.e. $l(U_P) \subset M$.*

Let p denote the Γ-conjugacy class of the Γ-cuspidal parabolic subgroup P. We call such classes cusps and say that the cusp p has full rank (as opposed to smaller rank) if $U_P \backslash \Omega_P$ is compact for one (and hence for any) $P \in p$. For each cusp p and $P \in p$ we form the manifold with boundary $\bar{Y}_{U_P} := U_P \backslash (X \cup \Omega_P)$.

1.2.5 The boundary ∂X of X has a Γ-equivariant decomposition $\partial X = \Omega_\Gamma \cup \Lambda_\Gamma$ into a limit set Λ_Γ and a domain of discontinuity Ω_Γ ([EO73], Prop. 8.5). Let $\bar{Y}_\Gamma$ denote the manifold with boundary $\bar{Y}_\Gamma := \Gamma \backslash (X \cup \Omega_\Gamma)$.

Definition 1.2 *The group Γ is called geometrically finite if the following conditions hold:*

1. *The set $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma$ of Γ-conjugacy classes of Γ-cuspidal parabolic subgroups is finite.*
2. *There is a bijection $\mathrm{end}(\bar{Y}_\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}_\Gamma$, where $\mathrm{end}(\bar{Y}_\Gamma)$ denotes the set of ends of the manifold with boundary $\bar{Y}_\Gamma$.*
3. *For all $p \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma$ and $P \in p$ there is a representative $\bar{Y}_p$ of the end corresponding to p and an isometric embedding $e_P : \bar{Y}_p \to \bar{Y}_{U_P}$ such that its image $e_p(\bar{Y}_p)$ represents the end of $\bar{Y}_{U_P}$.*

By Bowditch [Bow95], Corollary 6.3, this definition is equivalent to the slightly weaker definition [Bow95], F1 (which can be derived from 1.2 by replacing "set $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma$ of Γ-conjugacy classes of Γ-cuspidal parabolic subgroups" by "set $\mathrm{end}(\bar{Y}_\Gamma)$ of ends of $\bar{Y}_\Gamma$" in 1., "bijection" by "map c" in 2. and "all $p \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma$" by "all $p \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma$ in the range of c" in 3.).

1.2.6 Let $Y_\Gamma := \Gamma \backslash X$ denote the locally symmetric space associated to Γ. By $\bar{Y}_0$ we denote the compact subset $\bar{Y}_\Gamma \setminus \bigcup_{p \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma} \bar{Y}_p$ of $\bar{Y}_\Gamma$. The boundary of $\bar{Y}_\Gamma$ is the manifold $B_\Gamma := \Gamma \backslash \Omega_\Gamma$. Let $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma^< \subset \mathcal{P}_\Gamma$ denote the subset of cusps of smaller rank. The decompositions of $\bar{Y}_\Gamma$ into a compact piece and its ends induces a decomposition $B_\Gamma = B_0 \cup \bigcup_{p \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma^<} B_p$, where $B_p := B \cap \bar{Y}_p$, $p \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma^< \cup \{0\}$.

1.2.7 Set $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma^{max} := \mathcal{P}_\Gamma \setminus \mathcal{P}_\Gamma^<$. It will be convenient to fix a set $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ of parabolic subgroups representing the elements of $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma$. It comes with a partition $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} = \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^< \cup \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{max}$.

1.2.8 Our analysis will require an additional assumption on the cusps. By Lemma 3.5 this assumption is automatically satisfied in the cases $H\mathbb{R}^n$, $H\mathbb{C}^n$, but by Lemma 3.6 it is non-trivial in the case $H\mathbb{H}^n$. We are now going to describe this assumption in detail. Let $P \subset G$ be parabolic. A Langlands decomposition $P = MAN$ is the same as a split $s : MA \to P$ of the extension (1), where we identify M and A with their images $s(M)$ and $s(A)$.

Let p be a cusp of Γ and $P \in p$. In Subsection 3.1 we will construct a Langlands decomposition $P = MAN$, a discrete subgroup $V \subset N$, and a homomorphism $m : N_V \to M$ from the Zariski closure N_V of V in N such that the group $U^0 := \{m(v)v | v \in V\}$ is a subgroup of U_P (see Def. 1.1) of finite index.

Definition 1.3 *The cusp p is called regular if the Langlands decomposition of P above can be adjusted such that N_V is invariant with respect to conjugation by A. We call such a Langlands decomposition adapted.*

Assumption 1.4 *In the remainder of the present paper we assume that Γ is geometrically finite and that all its cusps are regular.*

1.3 Twists and bundles

1.3.1 We fix a parabolic subgroup P of G and write $\partial X = G/P$. We further fix a Langlands decomposition $P = MAN$ and let $\mathfrak{a}$ denote the Lie algebra of A. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ denote the Lie algebra of N, and let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ denote the short root of $\mathfrak{a}$ on $\mathfrak{n}$. We define $\rho \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ by $\rho(H) := \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{ad}(H)_{|\mathfrak{n}})$, $H \in \mathfrak{a}$.

1.3.2 If $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ and (σ, V_σ) is a representation of M, then we define the representation $(\sigma_\lambda, V_{\sigma_\lambda})$ of P by $V_{\sigma_\lambda} := V_\sigma$ and $\sigma_\lambda(man) := a^{\rho-\lambda}\sigma(m)$. By 1 we denote the trivial one-dimensional representation of M.

1.3.3 If (θ, V_θ) is a representation of P, then we define the G-homogeneous bundle $V(\theta) := G \times_P V_\theta$ and denote by π^θ the representation of G on spaces of sections of $V(\theta)$.

1.3.4 If (φ, V_φ) is a finite-dimensional representation of G (or Γ), then we denote by $V(\theta, \varphi)$ the tensor product of $V(\theta)$ with the trivial bundle $\partial X \times V_\varphi$, and by $\pi^{\theta,\varphi}$ the representation of G (or Γ) on spaces of sections of $V(\theta, \phi)$. Note that we can identify

$$C^\infty(\partial X, V(\theta, \varphi)) \cong C^\infty(\partial X, V(\theta)) \otimes V_\varphi, \quad \pi^{\theta,\varphi} = \pi^\theta \otimes \varphi \ .$$

1.3.5 The representation φ of Γ is called twist. Our analysis requires further assumptions on the twist going under the name“admissible”. Let p be a cusp of Γ, $P \in p$, and MAN be an adapted Langlands decomposition of P (see Definition 1.3). We define $M_U := \overline{l(U_P)}$. Then $P_U := M_U N_V \subset P$ is a subgroup containing U_P. Let (φ, V_φ) be a twist.

Definition 1.5 *The twist (φ, V_φ) is called admissible at the cups p if its restriction to U_P extends to a continuous representation of AP_U such that A acts algebraically by semisimple endomorphisms. A twist is called admissible if it is admissible at all cusps of Γ.*

Note that the algebraic functions on A are linear combinations of $A \mapsto a^{n\alpha}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see 1.3.1 for the definition of α).

1.3.6 If Γ has cusps, then the condition of admissibility excludes most unitary representations of Γ. Examples of admissible twists are restrictions of finite-dimensional representations of G to Γ. As explained in Subsection 2.3 twists are used as a technical device.

1.3.7 If (γ, V_γ) is a representation of K, then we can form the homogeneous bundle $V(\gamma) := G \times_K V_\gamma$ over X. For a twist φ let $V(\gamma,\varphi)$ denote the product $V(\gamma)\otimes V_\varphi$. Furthermore let $V_\Gamma(\gamma,\varphi) := \Gamma\backslash V(\gamma,\varphi)$ denote the corresponding bundle over Y.

1.4 Exponents

1.4.1 The main numerical invariant associated to a discrete subgroup $\Gamma\subset G$ is its exponent $\delta_\Gamma\in\mathfrak{a}^*$. Note that each $g\in G$ can be written as $g = k a_g h\in KA_+K$, where a_g is uniquely determined. Here $A_+ := \{a\in A \mid a^\alpha\ge 1\}$.

Definition 1.6 *The exponent $\delta_\Gamma\in\mathfrak{a}^*$ is defined as the infimum of the set*

$$\{\nu\in\mathfrak{a}^* \mid \sum_{g\in\Gamma} a_g^{-\nu-\rho}<\infty\}\ .$$

If follows from the discreteness of Γ that $\delta_\Gamma\le\rho$.

1.4.2 The critical exponent δ_Γ has been extensively studied, in particular by Patterson [Pat76], Sullivan [Sul79], [Sul84], and Corlette [Cor90], Corlette and Iozzi [CI99]. From these papers we know that $\delta_\Gamma\in[-\rho,\rho]$, if Γ is infinite. Moreover, we have $\delta_\Gamma=\rho$ if and only if Γ has finite covolume. If Λ_Γ contains at least 2 points, then $\delta_\Gamma+\rho=\dim_H(\Lambda)\alpha$, where $\dim_H(\Lambda)$ denotes the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set with respect to the natural class of sub-Riemannian metrics on ∂X. If $Y=\Gamma\backslash X$ is an infinite volume quotient of a quaternionic hyperbolic space or the Cayley hyperbolic plane, then δ_Γ can not be arbitrary close to ρ. In these cases we have $\delta_\Gamma\le(2n-1)\alpha$ and $\delta_\Gamma\le 5\alpha$, respectively [Cor90],[CI99].

1.4.3 Let φ be a twist.

Definition 1.7 *We define the exponent $\delta_\varphi\in\mathfrak{a}^*$ of φ as the infimum of the set*

$$\{\nu\in\mathfrak{a} \mid \sup_{g\in\Gamma} a_g^{-\nu}\|\varphi(g)\|<\infty\}\ ,$$

where $\|.\|$ denotes any norm on $\mathrm{End}(V_\varphi)$.

1.5 Description of the main results

1.5.1 Let $\Gamma\subset G$ be a discrete, torsion-free, geometrically finite subgroup such that all its cusps are regular. Furthermore let φ be an admissible twist. Let $B_\Gamma := \Gamma\backslash\Omega_\Gamma$ and $V_{B_\Gamma}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) := \Gamma\backslash V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$. Under the name push-down we subsume several constructions related to the average of elements of $C^\infty(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))$ with respect to Γ. It is a crucial matter to construct a space $B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ which contains the result of the average. If $\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$ varies, then these spaces assemble as a projective limit of locally trivial bundles of Fréchet spaces in the sense of Subsection 2.1. Therefore we can speak of meromorphic families of continuous maps from and to these spaces.

1.5.2 We define the bundle $B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ as a direct sum

$$B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) := B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)_1 \oplus R_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)_{max}\ ,$$

where the first component satisfies

$$C_c^\infty(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)) \subset B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)_1 \subset C^\infty(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))$$

(equality occurs if all cusps have full rank). The second component is associated to the cusps of full rank and is finite-dimensional.

1.5.3 The elements of $B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)_1$ are characterized as smooth sections of $V_{B_\Gamma}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ with a certain asymptotic expansion near the cusps. We postpone the detailed description of the asymptotics until Subsection 3.4. The bundle $R_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)_{max}$ has a further decomposition

$$R_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)_{max} = \bigoplus_{P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{max}} R_{U_P}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)\ .$$

Recall from 1.2.7 that $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{max}$ is in bijection with the set of cusps of full rank. In order to define $R_{U_P}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ we first consider the sheaf $\mathcal{E}_{\infty_P}(\tilde\sigma,\tilde\varphi)$ on $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ of holomorphic families ϕ_ν, $\nu\in\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$, of U_P-invariant distribution sections of $V(\tilde\sigma_\nu,\tilde\varphi)$ supported in ∞_P. Here $\tilde\sigma,\tilde\varphi$ are the dual representations to σ,φ. The sheaf $\mathcal{E}_{\infty_P}(\tilde\sigma,\tilde\varphi)$ is the sheaf of holomorphic sections of a trivial finite-dimensional holomorphic vector bundle over $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$, and we denote by $E_{\infty_P}(\tilde\sigma_\lambda,\tilde\varphi)$ the fibre of this bundle over $\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ (see Lemma 3.40 for an alternative description of the space $E_{\infty_P}(\tilde\sigma_\lambda,\tilde\varphi)$ and 3.7.2 for the finite-dimensionality). Then we define

$$R_{U_P}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) := E_{\infty_P}(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi)^*\ .$$

1.5.4 We can now state the definition of the push-down.

Definition 1.8 *For $f\in C^\infty(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))$ we define the push-down $\pi_*^\Gamma(f)\in B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ as the direct sum of $\pi_*^\Gamma(f)_1\in B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)_1$ and $\pi_*^\Gamma(f)_2\in R_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)_{max}$. Here $\pi_*^\Gamma(f)_1$ is given by $\pi_*^\Gamma(f)_1 := \sum_{g\in\Gamma}\pi^{\sigma_\lambda,\varphi}(g)f_{|\Omega_\Gamma}$ (if the sum converges). The component $\pi_*^\Gamma(f)_{2,P}\in R_{U_P}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ for $P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{max}$ is defined by the condition that*

$$\langle\phi,\pi_*^\Gamma(f)_{2,P}\rangle = \sum_{[g]\in\Gamma/U_P}\langle\pi^{\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi}(g)\phi, f\rangle$$

for all $\phi\in E_{\infty_P}(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi)$ (if the sum converges).

1.5.5 In 1.8 the push-down is defined if certain sums converge. The first part of the following theorem gives a range of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ for which these convergence conditions are satisfied. The second part asserts its meromorphic continuation to all of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$.

Theorem 1.9 *If* $f \in C^\infty(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$*, then the push-down* $\pi_*^\Gamma(f) \in C^\infty(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$ *converges for* $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < -\delta_\Gamma - \delta_\varphi$*. The push-down induces a meromorphic family on* $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ *of continuous maps*

$$\pi_*^\Gamma : C^\infty(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \to B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$$

with finite-dimensional singularities.

We will first prove the part of the theorem asserting the convergence of the push-down for $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < -\delta_\Gamma - \delta_\varphi$. Then we consider the adjoint of the push-down, the extension ext^Γ, and obtain the meromorphic continuation of the latter (see Theorem 1.11). The remainder of Theorem 1.9 then follows from this result by duality.

1.5.6 By $D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ we denote the topological dual space of $B_\Gamma(\tilde{\sigma}_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})$. It is a dual Fréchet and Montel space. By the latter property it is reflexive so that

$$D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)' \cong B_\Gamma(\tilde{\sigma}_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi}) \ .$$

Varying $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ the spaces $D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ form a direct limit of locally trivial holomorphic bundles of dual Fréchet spaces in the sense of Subsection 2.1.

Definition 1.10 *For* $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > \delta_\Gamma + \delta_\varphi$ *the extension*

$$ext^\Gamma : D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$$

is defined to be the adjoint of $\pi_*^\Gamma : C^\infty(\partial X, V(\tilde{\sigma}_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})) \to B_\Gamma(\tilde{\sigma}_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})$.

Theorem 1.11 *The extension induces a meromorphic family of continuous maps on* $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$

$$ext^\Gamma : D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$$

with finite-dimensional singularities and values in ${}^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$.

1.5.7 The meromorphic continuation of the extension is the main goal of the present paper. We obtain the continuation in close connection with the meromorphic continuation of the scattering matrix which will be defined below. In order to define this scattering matrix we need the restriction map res^Γ which is a left-inverse of the extension. Due to the presence of cusps the definition of the restriction map is more complicated than in [BO00].

1.5.8 We first recall the definition of res^Γ in the case of convex-cocompact groups Γ (i.e. in the case without cusps, compare Sec. 4 of [BO00]). In this case

$$res^\Gamma : {}^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)) \to C^{-\infty}(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))$$

is given by the composition of the restriction of distributions to Ω_Γ and the identification ${}^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\Omega_\Gamma, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)) \cong C^{-\infty}(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))$. For the purpose of the present paper we employ a different description.

1.5.9 Let us still assume that Γ is convex-cocompact. We choose a cut-off function $\chi^\Gamma \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_\Gamma)$ such that $\sum_{g\in\Gamma} g^*\chi^\Gamma = 1$. Then we define

$$\pi^* : C^\infty(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi)) \to C^\infty(\partial X, V(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi))$$

by $\pi^*(f) = \chi^\Gamma f$ (in order to understand the right-hand side properly one must identify sections of bundles over B_Γ with Γ-equivariant sections on Ω_Γ). We define

$$\widetilde{res} : C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)) \to C^{-\infty}(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))$$

to be the adjoint of π^*. Then res^Γ coincides with the restriction of $\widetilde{res}$ to the subspace ${}^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))$. While $\widetilde{res}$ depends on the choice of χ^Γ, the restriction res^Γ is independent of choices.

1.5.10 If Γ has cusps of smaller rank, then we can not assume that χ^Γ has compact support. The definition of π^* breaks down. Our way arround that problem is as follows. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we consider the Banach spaces $C^k(\partial X, V(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi))$ and define a meromorphic family of maps $\pi_k^* : B_\Gamma(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi) \to C^k(\partial X, V(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi))$. The map π_k^* is a right-inverse of the push-down π_*^Γ, and its definition is similar in spirit to that of π^* above, but the details are more complicated since we have to take into account the asymptotic expansions of the elements of $B_\Gamma(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi)$ near cusps. One problem is that we can only deal with a finite number (depending on k) of these terms at a time in order to define π_k^*. The situation is similar to the problem of the construction of smooth functions with given Taylor series at a given point. It is impossible to construct a continuous map from the space of formal power series to smooth functions which is right-inverse to the surjective map which takes the Taylor series. Back to the definition of the restriction, let $C^{-k}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))$ denote the distributions of order k, i.e. the topological dual of $C^k(\partial X, V(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi))$, and define $res_k^\Gamma : C^{-k}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)) \to D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ as the adjoint of π_k^*. The collection of maps res_k^Γ play the role of the map $\widetilde{res}$ above. These maps depend on choices and are, in particular, not compatible if we vary k.

1.5.11 Nevertheless, we have the following uniqueness property. If $f_\nu \in {}^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\nu,\varphi))$, $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$, is a meromorphic family, and $W \subset \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ is compact, then for sufficiently large k the meromorphic family $res^\Gamma_k(f_\nu)$, $\nu \in W$, is well-defined. In fact, due to the compactness of W the order of f_ν as a distribution is uniformly bounded for $\nu \in W$. In 4.3.9 we will see that $res^\Gamma_k(f_\nu)$ is independent of the choices.

1.5.12 In general the restriction maps res^Γ_k may have poles. Moreover, not every element of ${}^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$ can be written as evaluation of a holomorphic family $f_\nu \in {}^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\nu, \varphi))$ defined near λ. But for generic $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$ the restriction map res^Γ_k is regular and every element $f \in {}^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$ extends to a family (in the present paper we will prove a weaker statement only, which suffices for our purposes). In this case $res^\Gamma_k(f)$ is well-defined independent of the choice of (the sufficiently large) k, and we can omit the subscript k and write $res^\Gamma(f)$ for $res^\Gamma_k(f)$. We have the identity

$$res^\Gamma \circ ext^\Gamma = \mathrm{id}_{D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)} \ ,$$

which holds true for generic $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$ or as an identity of maps defined on meromorphic families (see Lemma 4.17).

1.5.13 If $f \in {}^\Gamma C^{-k}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $f_{|\Omega_\Gamma}$ is a Γ-invariant distribution on Ω_Γ, hence can be considered as an element of $C^{-\infty}(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$. If

$$i : D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to C^{-\infty}(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$$

is the natural map (adjoint to the inclusion $C^\infty_c(B, V_B(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda}, \tilde\varphi)) \hookrightarrow B_\Gamma(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda}, \tilde\varphi)$), then $i \circ res^\Gamma(f)$ is defined (even though res^Γ may have a pole at λ) and coincides with $f_{|\Omega_\Gamma}$. In particular, the condition $i \circ res^\Gamma(f) = 0$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{supp}(f) \subset \Lambda_\Gamma$.

If Γ has cusps, then i is not injective. In this case the condition $\mathrm{supp}(f) \in \Lambda_\Gamma$ does not imply that $res^\Gamma(f) = 0$ (provided the latter is defined).

1.5.14 Vanishing of $res^\Gamma(f)$ is a rather strong condition and should play the role of the condition $\mathrm{supp}(f) \subset \Lambda_\Gamma$ in the convex-cocompact case which was successfully exploited in [BO00] and [BO99]. One application of this condition is the following result which is employed in proving the functional equation of the scattering matrix in the domain of convergence.

Theorem 1.12 (Corollary 4.21) *Assume that* $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > \max\Big(\{\delta_\Gamma\} \cup \{\rho_{U_P} \mid P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\}\Big) + \delta_\varphi$. *If* $f_\mu \in {}^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(1_\mu, \varphi))$ *is a germ of a meromorphic family at* λ, *then* $ext^\Gamma \circ res^\Gamma(f_\mu) = f_\mu$.

Further applications of the condition $res^\Gamma(f) = 0$ are contained in [BO02] (non-existence of such f for $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda)$ large) and [BOa] (estimates of the regularity of f). In fact, the proof of Theorem 1.12 is based on the main result of [BO02].

1.5.15 We now turn to the scattering matrix. We assume that σ is either a Weyl-invariant irreducible representation of M or of the form $\sigma' \oplus (\sigma')^w$, where σ' is irreducible and not Weyl-invariant, and $(\sigma')^w(m) := \sigma'(w^{-1}mw)$ is the Weyl-conjugate representation of σ'. Here $w \in N_K(A)$ is a representative of the non-trivial element of the Weyl group $W = N_K(A)/M \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

1.5.16 Our starting point is the scattering matrix

$$S_\lambda^{\{1\}} = J_\lambda : C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \to C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_{-\lambda}, \varphi))$$

associated to the trivial group $\{1\}$. In representation theory it firms under the name Knapp-Stein intertwining operator. Here we employ a suitably normalized version as in the paper [BO00], Sec. 5, to which we refer for further details. Let $I_{\mathfrak{a}} \subset \mathfrak{a}^*$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$-module spanned by α if 2α is a root of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$, and by $\frac{1}{2}\alpha$ otherwise. The operators J_λ form a meromorphic family of continuous maps with singularities in $I_{\mathfrak{a}}$ and satisfy the functional equation $J_\lambda \circ J_{-\lambda} = \mathrm{id}$.
1.5.17 If Γ is non-trivial, then we obtain the scattering matrix S_λ^Γ from J_λ using restriction and extension.

Definition 1.13 *For* $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > \delta_\Gamma + \delta_\varphi$ *we define the scattering matrix*

$$S_\lambda^\Gamma : D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to D_\Gamma(\sigma_{-\lambda}, \varphi)$$

as the meromorphic family of continuous maps

$$S_\lambda^\Gamma := res^\Gamma \circ J_\lambda \circ ext^\Gamma \ .$$

Theorem 1.14 *The scattering matrix has a meromorphic continuation to all of* $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$. *It satisfies the functional equation* $S_\lambda^\Gamma \circ S_{-\lambda}^\Gamma = \mathrm{id}$ *and the relation* $J_\lambda \circ ext^\Gamma = ext^\Gamma \circ S_\lambda^\Gamma$.

As noted above this theorem is proved in a multistep procedure which involves the meromorphic continuation of ext^Γ at the same time. The basic ideas are adapted from [BO00]. The non-compactness of B_Γ due to the presence of cusps of non-maximal rank is responsible for the various complications which have to be resolved on the way.
1.5.18 The following application to the Eisenstein series is an easy consequence of the preceding results and can be derived exactly as in [BO00], Cor. 10.2. Let γ be a finite-dimensional representation of K and $T \in \mathrm{Hom}_M(V_\sigma, V_\gamma)$. Then we have a holomorphic family of G-equivariant maps

$$P_\lambda^T : C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \to C^{\infty}(X, V(\gamma, \varphi))$$

which are called Poisson transformations. We refer to [BO00], Def. 4.8, for a definition of the Poisson transformation and to [BO00], Sec. 6, for a discussion of its properties.

Definition 1.15 *If* $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$, $f \in D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$, $ext^\Gamma(f)$ *is regular, and* $T \in \mathrm{Hom}_M(V_\sigma, V_\gamma)$, *then we define the Eisenstein series* $E(\lambda, f, T) \in C^\infty(Y, V_Y(\gamma, \varphi))$ *by* $P_\lambda^T \circ ext^\Gamma(f)$.

In the special case that σ, γ, and φ are trivial, T is the identity, $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > \delta_\Gamma$, and $f = \delta_b$ is the delta-distribution located at $b \in B_\Gamma$, this definition coincides with the classical definition of the Eisenstein series as the Γ-average of the Poisson kernel, i.e. the integal kernel of P^{id}_λ.

Let c_σ and c_γ be the c-functions introduced in [BO00], Sec. 5. We further employ the notation $(c_\gamma(\lambda)T)^w$ as introduced in the same section of [BO00].

Corollary 1.16 *The Eisenstein series forms a meromorphic family of continuous maps with finite-dimensional singularities*

$$E(\lambda, ., T) : D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to C^\infty(Y, V_Y(\gamma, \varphi)) \ .$$

It satisfies the functional equation

$$E(\lambda, S_{-\lambda} f, T) = E(-\lambda, f, (\frac{c_\gamma(\lambda)}{c_\sigma(\lambda)} T)^w) \ .$$

2 Some machinery

2.1 Limits of bundles

2.1.1 In the present paper a main issue is the construction of families of topological vector spaces V_λ, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and the study of holomorphic (meromorphic) families of vectors $f_\lambda \in V_\lambda$ or holomorphic (meromorphic) families of homomorphisms $h_\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}(V_\lambda, W_\lambda)$ between such families of spaces. In order to make the notion of a holomorphic family precise we must relate the spaces V_λ for neighbouring λ in some holomorphic manner. One way to do this is to equip the family of spaces with the structure of a locally trivial holomorphic bundle of topological vector spaces. If we fix two local trivializations $U_0 \times W_0$, $U_1 \times W_1$ of the family V_λ with non-trivial overlap, then the transition function is a holomorphic map from $U_0 \cap U_1$ to $\mathrm{Hom}(W_0, W_1)$ with values in the subspace of isomorphisms.

2.1.2 All topological vector spaces in the present paper will be locally convex. Spaces of homomorphisms between topological vector spaces will always be equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on bounded sets. We refer to [BO99], Sec.2.2 for more details.

If we have two holomorphic families $h_\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}(W_0, W_1)$ and $g_\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}(W_1, W_2)$, then we can consider the composition $g_\lambda \circ h_\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}(W_0, W_2)$. This composition is again holomorphic (see [Glö02]). If the evaluation $W_0 \to W_0''$ is continuous, then the adjoint $h_\lambda' \in \mathrm{Hom}(W_1', W_0')$ is holomorphic, too (see again [Glö02]).

In order to show that the composition of two holomorphic families of maps is again holomorphic in [BO99], Sec.2.2, we assumed that W_0 is a Montel space. The discussion of [Glö02] shows that this assumption can be omitted.

2.1.3 In this paper we do not show that the spaces $B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ ($D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$) form locally trivial bundles of Fréchet (dual Fréchet) spaces. These families of spaces arise instead as projective (symbol lim) and inductive limits (symbol colim) of locally trivial bundles, respectively. E.g. the bundle $B_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ comes as a limit $\lim B_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ of trivial bundles $B_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$, $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$. The connecting maps (in the example $B_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)\to B_{\Gamma,k+1}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$) of the diagrams are holomorphic families of continuous maps which are injective and have dense range (resp. are injective). But they are not compatible with the trivializations.

2.1.4 We must extend the notions of a holomorphic or meromorphic family of continuous of maps between such limits of locally trivial holomorphic bundles. Let

$$\cdots\subset E_{n+1}\subset E_n\subset\dots\ ,$$

$$\cdots\subset F_{n+1}\subset F_n\subset\dots$$

be decreasing families of locally trivial bundles of Fréchet spaces defined over some open subset $U\subset\mathbb{C}$ such that all inclusion maps are holomorphic. Let $E:=\lim E_n$, $F:=\lim F_n$.

Definition 2.1 *A family of maps* $\phi_z:E_z\to F_z$, $z\in U$, *is called holomorphic (meromorphic) if for each* $x\in U$ *and* $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$ *there exists a neighbourhood* $U_{x,n}\subset U$ *of* x, $m(n)\in\mathbb{N}$, *and a holomorphic (meromorphic) bundle map* $\Phi_n:(E_{m(n)})_{|U_{x,n}}\to(F_n)_{|U_{x,n}}$ *such that* ϕ_y *is the restriction of* $\Phi_n(y)$ *to* E_y *for all* $y\in U_{n,x}$.

The composition of two holomorphic families is again a holomorphic family (compare [BO00], Subsection 2.2).

2.1.5 We now consider the dual situation. Let

$$\cdots\to E'_n\to E'_{n+1}\to\dots\ ,$$

$$\cdots\to F'_n\to F'_{n+1}\to\dots$$

be direct systems of holomorphic locally trivial bundles of dual Fréchet spaces defined over some open subset $U\subset\mathbb{C}$. Let $E':=\mathrm{colim}E'_n$, $F':=\mathrm{colim}F'_n$.

Definition 2.2 *A family of maps* $\phi_z:F'_z\to E'_z$, $z\in U$, *is called holomorphic (meromorphic) if for each* $x\in U$ *and* $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$ *there exists a neighbourhood* $U_{x,n}\subset U$ *of* x, $m(n)\in\mathbb{N}$, *and a holomorphic (meromorphic) bundle map* $\Phi'_n:(F'_n)_{|U_{x,n}}\to(E'_{m(n)})_{|U_{x,n}}$ *such that for each* $y\in U_{x,n}$ *the restriction of* ϕ'_y *to* $(F'_n)_y$ *is the composition of* $(\Phi'_n)_y$ *with the natural map* $(E'_{m(n)})_y\to E'_y$.

Again the composition of two such families is a holomorphic (meromorphic) family. The adjoint of a holomorphic family is again a holomorphic (meromorphic) family.

2.2 Embedding

2.2.1 The symmetric spaces X considered in the present paper belong to a series of symmetric spaces. Let X^n denote the n'th space of the series. We will use the superscript ^{n} as a decoration of symbols for other objects in order to indicate that they are associated to X^n. For a number of arguments we need that δ^n_Γ is sufficiently negative. Note that $\delta^n_\Gamma \to -\infty$ as $n \to \infty$. E.g., we will first obtain a meromorphic continuation of $ext^{\Gamma,n+k}$ for sufficiently large k, and then use the propositions below in order to conclude that $ext^{\Gamma,n}$ has a meromorphic continuation, too.

2.2.2 The main point of this subsection is to explain that the concept of embedding is compatible with the function and distribution spaces introduced in 1.5.2 and 1.5.6. Furthermore we need compatibility with the push-down and extension maps. Note that the concept of embedding is only applied to the spherical case $\sigma = 1$.

2.2.3 We have embeddings $X^n \hookrightarrow X^{n+1}$ and $i : \partial X^n \hookrightarrow \partial X^{n+1}$. In order to have compatible embeddings $G^n \hookrightarrow G^{n+1}$ of the groups we assume at this point that G^n is one of

$$\{Spin(1,n), SO(1,n)_0, SU(1,n), Sp(1,n)\} \ .$$

If we realize the last three groups of the list using $\mathbb{F}$-valued matrices, $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}\}$, then the embedding $G^n \hookrightarrow G^{n+1}$ is the usual embedding into the left upper corner. We have compatible Iwasawa decompositions such that $A = A^n = A^{n+1}$, and in particular $P^n \hookrightarrow P^{n+1}$. Let ζ be $\alpha/2$, α, and 2α in the cases $X = H\mathbb{R}^n$, $X = H\mathbb{C}^n$, and $X = H\mathbb{H}^n$, respectively. Then $\zeta = \rho^{n+1} - \rho^n$, and we have $(V_{1^{n+1}_\lambda})_{|P^n} = V_{1^n_{\lambda-\zeta}}$, and hence $V(1^{n+1}_\lambda, \varphi)_{|\partial X^n} = V(1^n_{\lambda-\zeta}, \varphi)$.

2.2.4 Let

$$i^* : C^\infty(\partial X^{n+1}, V(1^{n+1}_\lambda, \varphi)) \to C^\infty(\partial X^n, V(1^n_{\lambda-\zeta}, \varphi))$$

be the restriction of sections. It is a Γ-equivariant and surjective continuous linear map.

Proposition 2.3 *There exists a meromorphic family of maps*

$$i^*_\Gamma : B_\Gamma(1^{n+1}_\lambda, \varphi) \to B_\Gamma(1^n_{\lambda-\zeta}, \varphi)$$

such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C^\infty(\partial X, V(1^{n+1}_\lambda, \varphi)) \arrow[r, "i^*"] \arrow[d, "\pi_*^{\Gamma,n+1}"] & C^\infty(\partial X^n, V(1^n_{\lambda-\zeta}, \varphi)) \arrow[d, "\pi_*^{\Gamma,n}"] \\
B_\Gamma(1^{n+1}_\lambda, \varphi) \arrow[r, "i^*_\Gamma"] & B_\Gamma(1^n_{\lambda-\zeta}, \varphi)
\end{tikzcd} \ .$$

If Γ does not have cusps of full rank, then i^*_Γ is holomorphic.

This proposition will be proved in various stages. First we consider a version for the spaces associated to the cusps, see Lemma 3.38. The global result is stated in Lemma 4.12.

2.2.5 In the following we explain the construction of i^*_Γ. If all cusps of Γ as a subgroup of G^n have smaller rank, then i^*_Γ is induced by the ususal restriction $i^*_\Gamma : C^\infty(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(1^{n+1}_\lambda, \varphi)) \to C^\infty(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(1^n_{\lambda-\zeta}, \varphi))$, and both $\pi_*^{\Gamma,n}$ and $\pi_*^{\Gamma,n+1}$ are given as the average of sections over Γ. The relation $i^*_\Gamma \circ \pi_*^{\Gamma,n+1} = \pi_*^{\Gamma,n} \circ i^*$ is obvious in the domain of convergence $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > \delta^{n+1}_\Gamma + \delta_\varphi$, and follows by meromorphic continuation for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$. We postpone the proof of the fact that i^*_Γ really maps $B_\Gamma(1^{n+1}_\lambda, \varphi)$ to $B_\Gamma(1^n_{\lambda-\zeta}, \varphi)$ until these spaces are defined.

2.2.6 If Γ has cusps of full rank (when considered as a subgroup of G^n), then i^*_Γ is the sum of two maps $(i^*_\Gamma)_1 : B_\Gamma(1^{n+1}_\lambda, \varphi) \to B_\Gamma(1^n_{\lambda-\zeta}, \varphi)_1$ and $(i^*_\Gamma)_2 : B_\Gamma(1^{n+1}_\lambda, \varphi) \to R_\Gamma(1^n_{\lambda-\zeta}, \varphi)_{max}$. The map $(i^*_\Gamma)_1$ is the restriction of smooth sections, and we can apply the argument above in order to show commutativity of the part of the commutative diagram involving $(\pi_*^{\Gamma,n})_1$ and $(i^*_\Gamma)_1$.

2.2.7 We define $(i^*_\Gamma)_2$ such that the corresponding diagram is commutative. We choose $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ so large that $E_{\infty_{P^n}}(1^n_{-\lambda+\zeta}, \tilde{\varphi}) \subset C^{-k}(\partial X^n, V(1^n_{-\lambda+\zeta}, \tilde{\varphi}))$ for all $P^n \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{max,n}$. For $f \in B_\Gamma(1^{n+1}_\lambda, \varphi)$ the component $i^*_\Gamma(f)_{2,P^n} \in R^{U_{P^n}}(1^n_{\lambda-\zeta}, \varphi)$ is characterized by

$$\langle \phi, i^*_\Gamma(f)_{2,P^n} \rangle = \langle res_k^{U_{P^{n+1}},n+1} \circ i_*(\phi), T_{P^{n+1}}(f) \rangle$$

for all $\phi \in E_{\infty_{P^n}}(1^n_{-\lambda+\zeta}, \tilde{\varphi})$, where $P^{n+1} \subset G^{n+1}$ is the unique parabolic subgroup containing P^n, and

$$T_{P^{n+1}} : C^\infty(B^{n+1}_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(1^{n+1}_\lambda, \varphi)) \to C^\infty(B^{n+1}_{U_{P^{n+1}}}, V_{B^{n+1}_{U_{P^{n+1}}}}(1^{n+1}_\lambda, \varphi))$$

is the natural map defined using the map $e_{P^{n+1}}$ and a cut-off function $\chi_p \in C^\infty(B_\Gamma)$ which is supported in B_p and one on a neighbourhood of infinity of B_p. This definition is independent of the choice of k. In order to show that $(i^*_\Gamma)_2 \circ \pi_*^{\Gamma,n+1} = (\pi_*^{\Gamma,n})_2 \circ i^*$ we compute in the domain of convergence for generic λ (so that the restrictions are defined)

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \phi, (i^*_\Gamma)_2 \circ \pi_*^{\Gamma,n+1}(f) \rangle &= \langle res_k^{U_{P^{n+1}},n+1} \circ i_*(\phi), T^*_{P^{n+1}} \circ \pi_*^{\Gamma,n+1}(f) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{g \in \Gamma^{P^{n+1}}} \langle res_k^{U_{P^{n+1}},n+1} \circ i_*(\phi), \chi_{P^{n+1}} \circ \pi_*^{U_{P^{n+1}},n+1}(\pi^{1^{n+1}_\lambda,\varphi}(g) f) \rangle \\
&\overset{(*)}{=} \sum_{g \in \Gamma^{P^{n+1}}} \langle i_*(\phi), \pi^{1^{n+1}_\lambda,\varphi}(g) f \rangle \\
&= \sum_{g \in \Gamma^{P^{n+1}}} \langle \pi^{1^{n+1}_{-\lambda+\zeta},\tilde{\varphi}}(g^{-1}) \phi, i^*(f) \rangle \\
&= \langle \phi, (\pi_*^{\Gamma,n})_2 \circ i^*(f) \rangle \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma^{P^{n+1}}$ denotes any system of representatives of $U_{P^{n+1}} \backslash \Gamma$, and $\chi_{P^{n+1}} := e^*_{P^{n+1}} \chi_p$ (see Def. 1.2 for the map e_p). In order to get the equality marked by $(*)$ we use that

$$(1 - \chi_{P^{n+1}}) \circ res_k^{U_{P^{n+1}},n+1} \circ i_*(\phi) = 0$$

and

$$ext^{U_{P^{n+1}},n+1} \circ res_k^{U_{P^{n+1}},n+1} \circ i_*(\phi) = i_*(\phi)\ .$$

□

2.2.8 Let i_* and i_*^Γ denote the dual maps to i^* and i_Γ^*. Dualizing Prop. 2.3 we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4 *We have a commutative diagram of meromorphic families of maps*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(1^n_\lambda,\varphi)) \arrow[r, "i_*"] & C^{-\infty}(\partial X^{n+1}, V(1^{n+1}_{\lambda-\zeta},\varphi)) \\
D_\Gamma(1^n_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[u, "ext_*^{\Gamma,n}"] \arrow[r, "i_*^\Gamma"] & D_\Gamma(1^{n+1}_{\lambda-\zeta},\varphi) \arrow[u, "ext_*^{\Gamma,n+1}"]
\end{tikzcd}\ .$$

2.2.9

Lemma 2.5 *There exists holomorphic families of continuous maps*

$$j^* : C^{-\infty}(\partial X^{n+1}, V(1^{n+1}_{\lambda-\zeta},\varphi)) \to C^{-\infty}(\partial X^n, V(1^n_\lambda,\varphi))$$

and

$$j_* : C^{\infty}(\partial X^n, V(1^n_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi)) \to C^{\infty}(\partial X^{n+1}, V(1^{n+1}_{-\lambda+\zeta},\tilde\varphi))$$

such that $j^*\circ i_* = \mathrm{id}$, $i^*\circ j_* = \mathrm{id}$.

Proof. We choose a tubular neighbourhood $T : \mathbb{F}\times\partial X^n \hookrightarrow \partial X^{n+1}$ of ∂X^n such that $T(\{0\}\times\partial X^n) = \partial X^n$. Furthermore we choose a cut-off function $\chi\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{F})$ such that $\chi(0)=1$. Then we define the map $t : C^\infty(\partial X^n, V(1^n_{\rho^n},\tilde\varphi)) \to C^\infty(\partial X^{n+1}, V(1^{n+1}_{\rho^{n+1}},\tilde\varphi))$ setting $(tf)(T(u,x)) := \chi(u)f(x)$ and extending this function by zero outside the tubular neighbourhood. Here we use the canonical identifications $C^\infty(\partial X^n, V(1^n_{\rho^n},\tilde\varphi)) = C^\infty(\partial X^n)\otimes V_{\tilde\varphi}$ and $C^\infty(\partial X^{n+1}, V(1^{n+1}_{\rho^{n+1}},\varphi)) = C^\infty(\partial X^{n+1})\otimes V_{\tilde\varphi}$.

We choose a positive section $s_{n+1}\in C^\infty(\partial X^{n+1}, V(1^{n+1}_{\rho^{n+1}+\alpha}))$ and put $i^*s_{n+1} =: s_n \in C^\infty(\partial X^n, V(1^n_{\rho^n+\alpha}))$. Then we define $\Phi_{\rho^n} : C^\infty(\partial X^n, V(1^n_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi)) \to C^\infty(\partial X^n, V(1^n_{\rho^n},\tilde\varphi))$ and $\Phi_{\rho^{n+1}} : C^\infty(\partial X^{n+1}, V(1^{n+1}_{-\lambda+\zeta},\tilde\varphi)) \to C^\infty(\partial X^{n+1}, V(1^{n+1}_{\rho^{n+1}},\tilde\varphi))$ as multiplication by $s_n^{(\rho^n+\lambda)/\alpha}$ and $s_{n+1}^{(\rho^{n+1}+\lambda-\zeta)/\alpha}$, respectively. We define $j_* := \Phi^{-1}_{\rho^{n+1}}\circ t\circ\Phi_{\rho^n}$, and j^* as the adjoint of j_*. It is now easy to check that j_* and j^* have the required properties. □

2.2.10 If G^n does not belong to the list $\{Spin(1,n), SO(1,n)_0, SU(1,n), Sp(1,n)\}$, then there is a finite covering $p : \tilde{G}^n \to G^n$ with $\tilde{G}^n \in \{Spin(1,n), SO(1,n)_0, SU(1,n), Sp(1,n)\}$. In this case can find a normal subgroup $\Gamma^0 \subset \Gamma$ of finite index and a discrete subgroup $\tilde{\Gamma}^0 \subset \tilde{G}^n$ such that p induces an isomorphism from $\tilde{\Gamma}^0$ to Γ^0. Indeed, using Selberg's Lemma we can take a torsion-free subgroup $\tilde{\Gamma}^0$ of $p^{-1}(\Gamma)$ of finite index and set $\Gamma^0 := p(\tilde{\Gamma}^0)$.

We can apply the concept of embedding to the subgroup $\tilde{\Gamma}^0$. In order to transfer results for $\tilde{\Gamma}^0$ to Γ we use averages over the finite group Γ/Γ^0.

2.3 Twisting

2.3.1 Twisting is an important technical device of the present paper. We explain in 2.3.11 and 2.3.12 how this concept is used. But first we must introduce some notation.

2.3.2 If (π, V_π) is a finite-dimensional representation of G, then we can form the bundles $V(\sigma_\lambda \otimes \pi, \varphi)$ and $V(\sigma_\lambda, \pi \otimes \varphi)$. There is an isomorphism $T : V(\sigma_\lambda \otimes \pi, \varphi) \xrightarrow{\sim} V(\sigma_\lambda, \pi \otimes \varphi)$, which is given on the level of sections by $T : C^\infty(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda \otimes \pi, \varphi)) \xrightarrow{\sim} C^\infty(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \pi \otimes \varphi))$, $T(f)(g) := \pi(g) f(g)$.

2.3.3 Given an irreducible representation σ of M and $\mu_0 \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ there exists a finite-dimensional representation $(\pi_{\sigma,\mu}, V_{\pi_{\sigma,\mu}})$ of G with highest A-weight $\mu \ge \mu_0$ such that $V_\sigma = V_{\pi_{\sigma,\mu}}(\mu)$ as representations of M. Here $V_{\pi_{\sigma,\mu}}(\mu)$ denotes the subspace of $V_{\pi_{\sigma,\mu}}$ on which A acts with weight μ. Note that $V_{\pi_{\sigma,\mu}}(-\mu) = V_{\sigma^w}$ as representations of M.

2.3.4 If σ is a representation of M of the form $\sigma' \oplus (\sigma')^w$, where σ' irreducible and not equivalent to its Weyl conjugate, then given $\mu_0 \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ there exist $(\pi_{\sigma',\mu}, V_{\pi_{\sigma',\mu}})$ and $(\pi_{(\sigma')^w,\mu}, V_{\pi_{(\sigma')^w,\mu}})$ for suitable $\mu \ge \mu_0$. In this case we set $\pi_{\sigma,\mu} := \pi_{\sigma',\mu} \oplus \pi_{(\sigma')^w,\mu}$. In connection with twisting without further notice we will always assume that σ is Weyl-invariant and either irreducible or of the form $\sigma' \oplus (\sigma')^w$, where σ' is irreducible and not Weyl invariant. Note that $\pi_{\tilde{\sigma},\mu} = \tilde{\pi}_{\sigma,\mu}$.

2.3.5 We have an embedding of P-modules $V_{\sigma_\lambda} \hookrightarrow V_{1_{\lambda+\mu}} \otimes V_{\pi_{\sigma,\mu}}$ and a corresponding embedding of Γ-equivariant bundles $V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \hookrightarrow V(1_{\lambda+\mu} \otimes \pi_{\sigma,\mu}, \varphi)$. Composing this embedding with the isomorphism T (introduced in 2.3.2) we obtain the Γ-equivariant embedding

$$i_{\sigma,\mu} : C^{\pm\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \hookrightarrow C^{\pm\infty}(\partial X, V(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)) \ .$$

Similarly we have a projection of P-modules $V_{1_{\lambda-\mu}} \otimes V_{\pi_{\sigma,\mu}} \to V_{\sigma_\lambda}$ and a corresponding projection of Γ-equivariant bundles $V(1_{\lambda-\mu} \otimes \pi_{\sigma,\mu}, \varphi) \to V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$. Composing this projection with the inverse of T we obtain the Γ-equivariant projection

$$p_{\sigma,\mu} : C^{\pm\infty}(\partial X, V(1_{\lambda-\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)) \to C^{\pm\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \ .$$

2.3.6 Since $i_{\sigma,\mu}$ and $p_{\sigma,\mu}$ are induced by homomorphisms of Γ-equivariant bundles restriction to Γ-equivariant sections over Ω_Γ provides the embedding

$$i^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu} : C^\infty(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)) \hookrightarrow C^\infty(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi))$$

and the projection

$$p^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu} : C^\infty(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(1_{\lambda-\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)) \to C^\infty(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)) \ .$$

In Lemma 4.5 will show that $i^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}$ (resp. $p^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}$) maps $B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)_1$ (resp. $B_\Gamma(1_{\lambda-\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)_1$) to $B_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)_1$ (resp. $B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)_1$). Hence we can consider the adjoint of

$$p^\Gamma_{\tilde\sigma,\mu} : B_\Gamma(1_{-\lambda-\mu},\pi_{\tilde\sigma,\mu}\otimes\tilde\varphi)_1 \to B_\Gamma(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi)_1$$

which will be denoted by by

$$(i^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu})_1 : D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)_1 \to D_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)_1 \ .$$

In a similar manner the adjoint of

$$i^\Gamma_{\tilde\sigma,\mu} : B_\Gamma(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi)_1 \to B_\Gamma(1_{-\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\tilde\sigma,\mu}\otimes\tilde\varphi)_1$$

will be a map

$$(p^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu})_1 : D_\Gamma(1_{\lambda-\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)_1 \to D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)_1 \ .$$

2.3.7 In the presence of cusps of full rank we must take into account their contribution to the function spaces (see 1.5.2). It is clear that $i_{\sigma,\mu}$ (resp. $p_{\sigma,\mu}$) maps $E_{\infty_P}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ (resp. $E_{\infty_P}(1_{\lambda-\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)$) to $E_{\infty_P}(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)$ (resp. $E_{\infty_P}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$). We get second components

$$\begin{aligned}(i^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu})_2 &: R_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)_{max} \to R_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\varphi)_{max}\\ (p^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu})_2 &: R_\Gamma(1_{\lambda-\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)_{max} \to R_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)_{max}\end{aligned}$$

Combining all these maps we obtain maps

$$\begin{aligned}i^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu} &: D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \to D_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)\\ p^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu} &: D_\Gamma(1_{\lambda-\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi) \to D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)\end{aligned}$$

and dually corresponding maps between spaces of functions.

2.3.8 Using the isomorphism T we transfer the action $\pi^{1_{-\lambda-\mu}\otimes\pi_{\tilde\sigma,\mu},\mathrm{id}_{\tilde\varphi}}$ of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ to

$$C^\infty(\partial X, V(1_{-\lambda-\mu},\pi_{\tilde\sigma,\mu}\otimes\tilde\varphi)) \ .$$

Since this action commutes with Γ and is implemented by local operators we obtain an action of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ on $C^\infty(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(1_{-\lambda-\mu},\pi_{\tilde\sigma,\mu}\otimes\tilde\varphi))$ as well. We will show that $B_\Gamma(1_{-\lambda-\mu},\pi_{\tilde\sigma,\mu}\otimes\tilde\varphi)_1$ is a $Z(\mathfrak{g})$-invariant subspace of $C^\infty(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(1_{-\lambda-\mu},\pi_{\tilde\sigma,\mu}\otimes\tilde\varphi))$. By duality we obtain an action of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ on $D_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)_1$. Since $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ also acts on $E_{\infty_P}(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)$, $P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{max}$, the space $D_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)$ has the structure of a $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$-module.

2.3.9 Let $\Omega \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the Casimir operator. Let $\{\sigma^i | i \in I_\nu\}$, be the set of Weyl-invariant representations of M (irreducible or sum of two non-Weyl invariant irreducible) occuring in the restriction of $V_{\pi_{\sigma,\mu}}(\nu)$ to M, where we set $I_\mu := \{1\}$. Let $\nu_i(\lambda) := \pi^{\sigma^i_{\lambda+\nu}}(\Omega) \in \mathbb{C}$ be the eigenvalue of the Casimir operator on the principal series representation $\pi^{\sigma^i_{\lambda+\nu}}$. We set $I := \bigcup_{\nu \neq \mu} I_\nu$ and define the meromorphic function

$$\mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}} \ni \lambda \mapsto \Pi(\lambda) := 1 - \prod_{i \in I} \frac{\Omega - \nu_i(\lambda)}{\nu_1(\lambda) - \nu_i(\lambda)} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \ .$$

This function has a finite number of poles all contained in the subset $I_\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{a}^*$. Furthermore, we define

$$Z(\lambda) := \pi^{1_{\lambda+\mu} \otimes \pi_{\sigma,\mu}, \mathrm{id}_\varphi}(\Pi(\lambda)) \ .$$

If $\lambda \notin I_\mathfrak{a}$ (or more precisely, if $\Pi(\lambda)$ is regular), then $Z(\lambda)$ is a projection.

2.3.10 For $\lambda \in I_\mathfrak{a}$ we will show in Lemma 4.15 that

$$i^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu} : D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to \ker\{Z(\lambda) : D_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi) \to D_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)\} =: \ker_\Gamma(Z(\lambda))$$

is an isomorphism. We then define the continuous map

$$j^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu} : D_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi) \stackrel{1-Z(\lambda)}{\longrightarrow} \ker_\Gamma(Z(\lambda)) \stackrel{(i^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu})^{-1}}{\longrightarrow} D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \ .$$

In Lemma 4.15 we will furthermore show that as a function of λ the maps $j^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}$ form a meromorphic family of continuous maps which is holomorphic on $\mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus I_\mathfrak{a}$. If Γ is trivial, then we omit the superscript and write $j_{\sigma,\mu}$ for $j^{\{1\}}_{\sigma,\mu}$.

2.3.11 We now explain by examples how the concept of twisting is applied. Assume that we have obtained a meromorphic continuation of the extension $ext^\Gamma : D_\Gamma(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(1_\lambda, \varphi))$ to some half-plane $W = \{\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > \lambda_0\}$ for all admissible twists φ. Then we can obtain a meromorphic continuation of $ext^\Gamma : D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$ to some larger half plane $W - \mu_0$, $\mu_0 \geq 0$, and for all σ as follows. We choose $(\pi_{\sigma,\mu}, V_{\pi_{\sigma,\mu}})$ for some $\mu \geq \mu_0$. Then we can define a meromorphic family $\widetilde{ext}^\Gamma : D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$ for $\lambda \in W - \mu_0$ by the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \arrow[r, "i^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}"] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{ext}^\Gamma"] & D_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)) \arrow[d, "ext^\Gamma"] \\
C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) & C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)) \arrow[l, "j_{\sigma,\mu}"']
\end{tikzcd} .$$

We then show that $ext^\Gamma = \widetilde{ext}^\Gamma$ for all λ with sufficiently large real part. Thus $\widetilde{ext}^\Gamma$ provides a meromorphic continuation of ext^Γ.

2.3.12 Assume that we have defined the scattering matrix $S^\Gamma_\lambda : D_\Gamma(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to D_\Gamma(1_{-\lambda}, \varphi)$ in the spherical case for all admissible twists φ. Then we could define the scattering matrix $S^\Gamma_\lambda : D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to D_\Gamma(\sigma_{-\lambda}, \varphi)$ for all σ using the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \arrow[r, "i^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}"] \arrow[d, "S^\Gamma_\lambda"] & D_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi) \arrow[d, "S^\Gamma_{\lambda+\mu}"] \\
D_\Gamma(\sigma_{-\lambda}, \varphi) & D_\Gamma(1_{-\lambda-\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi) \arrow[l, "p^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}"']
\end{tikzcd} . \qquad (2)$$

For generic $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$ we have a well-defined map $res^\Gamma : {}^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)) \to D_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)$, and we can define $res^\Gamma : {}^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \to D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ using the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{}^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \arrow[r, "i_{\sigma,\mu}"] \arrow[d, "res^\Gamma"] & {}^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)) \arrow[d, "res^\Gamma"] \\
D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) & D_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi) \arrow[l, "j^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}"']
\end{tikzcd} .$$

We then check that S^Γ_λ defined by (2) coincides with $res^\Gamma \circ J_\lambda \circ ext^\Gamma$.

2.4 Holomorphic vector bundles over $\mathbb{C}$

2.4.1 It is a general fact that each finite-dimensional holomorphic vector bundle over $\mathbb{C}$ or a half-plane is trivial. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and E be a finite-dimensional holomorphic vector bundle over U. Furthermore let $U \times V \to U$ be a trivial bundle of Fréchet spaces over U. By $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ we denote the corresponding sheaves of holomorphic sections. Let $\phi : E \to V$ be a meromorphic family of linear maps. The assertions of the following lemma are well-known in the case where V is finite-dimensional.

Lemma 2.6 *1. There exists a unique finite-dimensional subbundle $F \subset U \times V$ such that ϕ factors over a meromorphic family of maps $\psi : E \to F$ which is surjective for generic $z \in U$.*

2. If we have meromorphic families of bundle maps $Z_E : E \to E$ and $Z_V : V \to V$ such that $\phi \circ Z_E = Z_V \circ \phi$, then Z_V restricts to a meromorphic family of bundle maps of F.

3. Furthermore, if $U = \mathbb{C}$ or a half-plane, then there exists a meromorphic right-inverse $\eta : F \to E$ such that $\phi \circ \eta = \mathrm{id}_F$.

Proof. The proof of this lemma will occupy the remainder of the present subsection. The main point which we will explain in detail is the reduction to the finite-dimensional case.

2.4.2 We first show that F exists. Let ϕ have singularities in the discrete subset $A \subset U$, and let n_z, $z \in A$, denote the order of the corresponding singularity. We consider the divisor $D := \sum_{z\in A} n_z z$, the associated line bundle $L(D)$, and its sheaf of sections $\mathcal{L}(D)$. Let $\mathcal{V}(D)$ be the sheaf of sections of $V \otimes L(D)$. Then ϕ induces a holomorphic map $\phi_D : E \to V \otimes L(D)$. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the quotient sheaf

$$\mathcal{E} \stackrel{\phi_D}{\to} \mathcal{V}(D) \to \mathcal{W} \to 0$$

and denote by $Tor(\mathcal{W})$ its torsion subsheaf. We define $\mathcal{F}(D)$ as the kernel

$$0 \to \mathcal{F}(D) \to \mathcal{V}(D) \to \mathcal{W}/Tor(\mathcal{W}) \to 0 \ .$$

There is a natural factorization of ϕ_D over $\psi_D : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{F}(D)$.

2.4.3 We claim that $\mathcal{F}(D)$ is a coherent sheaf. Let $x \in U$. If $v \in \mathcal{V}(D)_x$, then let $lp(v) \in V$ denote the leading part of v at x. Note that $lp(v) = 0$ implies that $v = 0$ since a Laurent series must start somewhere.

We define the subspace $Z \subset V$ as the set of all leading parts $lp(\phi_D(e))$, $e \in \mathcal{E}_x$.

2.4.4 We now show that $\dim(Z) < \infty$. We choose a connected neighbourhood $U_x \subset U$ of x and a holomorphic trivialization $E_{|U_x} = U_x \times E_x$. Let $\phi = \sum_{n\geq m} \phi_n z^n$ denote the Laurent expansion of ϕ in this trivialization, where $\phi_n \in \mathrm{Hom}(E_x, V)$. Then using that $\dim E_x < \infty$ we have

$$Z = \phi_m(E_x) + \phi_{m+1}(\ker \phi_m) + \phi_{m+2}(\ker \phi_m \cap \ker \phi_{m+1}) + \cdots + \phi_{m+k}(\bigcap_{i=0}^{k-1} \ker \phi_{m+i}) \ ,$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is sufficiently large such that $\bigcap_{i=0}^{k-1} \ker \phi_{m+i} = \bigcap_{i=0}^{l-1} \ker \phi_{m+i}$ for all $l \geq k$. This proves that $\dim(Z) < \infty$.

2.4.5 We choose some closed subspace $Y \subset V$ of finite codimension such that $Z \cap Y = \{0\}$. We consider the composition $\overline{\phi}_D : E \stackrel{\phi}{\to} V \to V/Y$. We form the quotient of sheaves

$$\mathcal{E} \stackrel{\overline{\phi}_D}{\to} \mathcal{V}(D)/\mathcal{Y}(D) \to \tilde{\mathcal{W}} \to 0 \ ,$$

and we define $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(D)$ as the kernel

$$0 \to \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(D) \to \mathcal{V}(D)/\mathcal{Y}(D) \to \tilde{\mathcal{W}}/Tor(\tilde{\mathcal{W}}) \to 0 \ .$$

2.4.6 Consider the following commutative diagram of sheaves on U_x:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{Y}(D) \arrow[r, "\cong"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{Y}(D) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{E}/\ker\phi_D \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & \mathcal{V}(D) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{W} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{E}/\ker\overline{\phi}_D \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{V}(D)/\mathcal{Y}(D) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \tilde{\mathcal{W}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The two lower rows are exact by construction. We now show that the left column is exact. We have a sequence

$$\ker(\phi_D) \subset \ker(\overline{\phi_D}) \subset \mathcal{E}$$

of inclusioins of torsion-free sheaves. In particular, $\ker(\phi_D)$ and $\ker(\overline{\phi_D})$ are sheaves of holomorphic sections of vector bundles on U_x. If we show that the inclusion induces an isomorphism

$$\ker(\phi_D)_x \cong \ker(\overline{\phi_D})_x \ , \tag{3}$$

then we conclude an isomorphism of sheaves $\ker(\phi_D) \cong \ker(\overline{\phi_D})$ after shrinking U_x, if necessary. To see (3) consider $h \in \ker(\overline{\phi}_D)_x$. Then $lp(\phi_D(h)) \in Y$. Since on the other hand $lp(\phi_D(h)) \in Z$ we conclude that $lp(\phi_D(h)) = 0$, hence $h \in \ker(\phi_D)_x$.

We now conclude that the last column is exact, too.

2.4.7 We now consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Tor\mathcal{W} \arrow[r, "\cong"] \arrow[d] & Tor\tilde{\mathcal{W}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{Y}(D) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & \mathcal{W} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \tilde{\mathcal{W}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \ . \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{Y}(D) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{W}/Tor\mathcal{W} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \tilde{\mathcal{W}}/Tor\tilde{\mathcal{W}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\mathcal{Y}(D)$ is torsion-free we have $\mathcal{Y}(D) \cap Tor\mathcal{W} = 0$ This implies the isomorphism in the upper row. It follows that all rows and columns of this diagram are exact.

2.4.8 We now consider the following diagram of sheaves on U_x:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{Y}(D) \arrow[r, "\cong"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{Y}(D) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{F}(D) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & \mathcal{V}(D) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{W}/Tor\mathcal{W} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(D) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{V}(D)/\mathcal{Y}(D) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \tilde{\mathcal{W}}/Tor\tilde{\mathcal{W}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The rows and the middle column are exact. We just have shown that the right column is exact.

2.4.9 We conclude that the natural map $\mathcal{F}(D) \to \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(D)$ is an isomorphism of sheaves. This proves the claim 2.4.3 since $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(D)$ is obviously coherent.

2.4.10 Since $\mathcal{F}(D)$ is torsion-free it is the sheaf of sections of a holomorphic vector bundle $F(D)$ (here we use the fact that the base space is smooth and one-dimensional). By construction $\mathcal{F}(D)/\psi_D(\mathcal{E})$ is torsion and therefore $\psi_{D,x} : E_x \to F(D)_x$ is surjective for generic $x \in U$. We define $F := F(D) \otimes L(-D)$ and let $\psi : E \to F$ be the corresponding meromorphic family of maps. The uniqueness part and assertion 2. are left to the reader.

2.4.11 We now construct the meromorphic right-inverse η. Note that we can assume that E and F are trivial. We fix trivializations and a constant Hermitian metric on E. Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $\phi : E_z \to F_z$ is regular and surjective. Let P be the orthogonal projection onto the orthogonal complement of $\ker \phi_z$. We extend P constantly over U and let $P(E)$ be the range of P. The composition $\phi \circ P : P(E) \to F$ is invertible at z and therefore has a meromorphic family of inverses $\eta : F \to P(E) \subset E$. □

2.4.12

Definition 2.7 *The bundle F constructed in Lemma 2.6 is called the image bundle of ϕ.*

3 Pure cusps

3.1 Geometry of cusps

3.1.1 In this subsection we analyze the geometry of cusps. First we recall the following theorem of Auslander.

Theorem 3.1 ([Aus61], [Apa97]) *Let* N *be a connected, simply-connected nilpotent Lie group and* M *be a compact group of automorphisms of* N*. Furthermore let* $U \subset N \rtimes M$ *be a discrete subgroup and* $U^* := \overline{NU}_0 \cap U = p^{-1}_{|U}(\overline{p(U)_0})$*, where* $p : N \rtimes M \to M$ *is the projection,* $()_0$ *stands for connected component of the identity, and ”*$\bar{.}$*” means the closure of the set in the argument. Then*

1. $U^* \subset U$ *is a normal subgroup of finite index.*

2. *There exists* $b \in N$ *and a connected subgroup* $N_V \subset N$ *such that* $(U^*)^b := bU^*b^{-1}$ *acts effectively and cocompactly by translations on* N_V*, i.e. there is lattice* $V^* \subset N_V$ *and an isomorphism* $\theta : (U^*)^b \to V^*$ *such that* $u.x = \theta(u)x$*,* $x \in N_V$*, where* $(N \rtimes M) \times N \ni (a, x) \mapsto a.x \in N$ *denotes the natural action of* $N \rtimes M$ *on* N*.*

3. *The element* $b \in N$ *of 2. can be choosen such that* U^b *leaves the space* N_V *invariant.*

4. $M_{U^0} := \overline{p(U^*)} \subset M$ *is a torus.*

The third assertion is due to Apanasov [Apa97].

3.1.2 We now apply Theorem 3.1 to a discrete torsion-free subgroup $U \subset P$ of a parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$ such that P is U-cuspidal. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \to N \to P \xrightarrow{l} L \to 0 \ , \tag{4}$$

where N is the nil-radical of P. The group L decomposes as $L = M \times A$, and we denote by $l_M : P \to M$ the composition of l with the projection from L to M. Let $E := l^{-1}(M \times \{1\})$. If we choose a split $s_1 : M \to E$ of the sequence $0 \to N \to E \xrightarrow{l_M} M \to 0$, then $m \in M$ acts by the automorphism $s_1(m)$ on N, and we have an isomorphism $T_{s_1} : E \to N \rtimes_{s_1} M$. Since P is U-cuspidal we have $U \subset E$. Applying Theorem 3.1 to $T_{s_1}(U)$ we obtain a subgroup $T_{s_1}(U)^* \subset T_{s_1}(U)$ of finite index, $b \in N$, a connected subgroup N_V, a lattice $V^* \subset N_V$, and an isomorphism $\theta : bT_{s_1}(U)^*b^{-1} \to V^*$ such that $bT_{s_1}(U)b^{-1}$ leaves N_V invariant and acts by translations on N_V via θ.

3.1.3 If we replace the split s_1 and N_V by $b^{-1}N_Vb$ and $s := b^{-1}s_1b$, then $T_s(U)$ itself leaves N_V invariant, and $T_s(U)^*$ acts by translations on N_V.

From now on we use the split s in order to identify M with the subgroup $s(M) \subset E \subset P$, to write E as the product NM, and to indentify $T_s(U)$ with U.

3.1.4 Any element $u \in U^*$ ($u \in U$) can be written as $n_u m_u \in NM$ such that $n_u \in N_V$ and m_u centralizes (normalizes) N_V.

We have $V^* := \{n_u | u \in U^*\} \subset N_V$. The map $V^* \ni n_u \mapsto m_u \in M$ defines a homomorphism $m^* : V^* \to M_{U^0}$.

Lemma 3.2 *There exists a subgroup $V \subset V^*$ of finite index such that the restriction $m := m^*_{|V}$ extends to a homomorphism $m : N_V \to M_{U^0}$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : V^* \to N_V/[N_V, N_V]$ be the projection. Then $\pi(V^*) \subset N_V/[N_V, N_V]$ is a lattice (see e.g. [Rag72], proof of Thm. 2.10). Let $g_1, \dots g_n \in V^*$, $n = \dim(N_V/[N_V, N_V])$ be such that $\pi(g_1), \dots, \pi(g_n)$ generate the lattice $\pi(V^*)$. We define $V := \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \rangle$. Furthermore we put $X_i := \log(g_i) \in \mathfrak{n}_V$ and consider $\pi(X_i) \in \mathfrak{n}_V/[\mathfrak{n}_V, \mathfrak{n}_V]$. Then $\pi(X_i)$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{n}_V/[\mathfrak{n}_V, \mathfrak{n}_V]$, and $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ generate the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_V$. We conclude that N_V is the smallest connected group containing V, and by [Rag72], Ch.2, V is a lattice in N_V. Therefore $V \subset V^*$ has finite index.

We claim that $V \cap [N_V, N_V] = [V, V]$. Let $g \in V \cap [N_V, N_V]$. Then there are finite sequences $i_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $e_k \in \{1, -1\}$, $k = 1, \dots r$, such that $g = g_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots g_{i_r}^{e_r}$. Applying π we obtain $1 = \pi(g_{i_1})^{e_1} \dots \pi(g_{i_r})^{e_r}$. Using the fact that $N_V/[N_V, N_V]$ is free abelian we conclude that there is a permutation $\sigma \in S_r$ such that $1 = g_{i_{\sigma(1)}}^{e_{\sigma(1)}} \dots g_{i_{\sigma(r)}}^{e_{\sigma(r)}}$. We conclude that $1 = g$ modulo $[V, V]$, i.e. $g \in [V, V]$. This proves the claim.

We first define the derivative $dm : \mathfrak{n}_V \to \mathfrak{m}_{U^0}$ of m as the composition

$$\mathfrak{n}_V \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{n}_V/[\mathfrak{n}_V, \mathfrak{n}_V] \xrightarrow{q} \mathfrak{m}_{U^0} \ ,$$

where q is given by $q(\pi(X_i)) := Z_i$, $i = 1, \dots n$, where $Z_i \in \mathfrak{m}_{N_V}$ is any element satisfying $\exp(Z_i) = m(g_i)$. Integrating the derivative we obtain a representation $\tilde{m} : N_V \to M_{U^0}$ such that $m(g_i) = \tilde{m}(g_i)$, $i = 1 \dots, n$. Since M_{U^0} is a torus we see that m must factor over $[V, V]$ and conclude that $m = \tilde{m}$. This finishes the proof of the lemma. □

3.1.5 We consider the subgroup of finite index $U^1 := \{vm(v) | v \in V\} \subset U$.

Definition 3.3 *We define U^0 to be the largest normal subgroup of U such that $U^0 \subset U^1 \subset U$, i.e.*

$$U^0 := \bigcap_{u \in U} (U^1)^u \ .$$

$U^0 \subset U$ has finite index, too. The torus M_{U^0} coincides with $\overline{m(N_V)}$.

Definition 3.4 *We set $P_{U^0} := N_V M_{U^0}$. Furthermore we define $M_U := \overline{l_M(U)}$ and $P_U := N_V M_U$.*

Then $U \subset P_U$, $M_{U^0} \subset M_U$ has finite index, and M_U normalizes N_V.

3.1.6 We have an exact sequence

$$0 \to E \to P \stackrel{l_A}{\to} A \to 0 ,$$

where the decomposition $E = NM$ is already fixed by the split s. The split extends uniquely to a split $s : MA \to P$ of (4) and induces a split of the sequence above. In particular we obtain a Langlands decomposition $P = NAM$, where we identify A with its image by s. The split furthermore defines an action of A on N by automorphisms commuting with the action of M.

3.1.7 We call the cusp associated to $U \subset P$ regular if we can choose s such that N_V is A-invariant (compare Definition 1.3).

Lemma 3.5 *If X is a real or complex hyperbolic space, then every cusp is regular.*

Proof. If X is real-hyperbolic, then for any split s the group A acts on $\mathfrak{n}$ as multiplication by scalars. Any subspace, in particular $\mathfrak{n}_V$, is invariant with respect to A.

We now consider the case that $X = H\mathbb{C}^n$. Assume that we have chosen a split s. Then we can decompose $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{2\alpha}$ with respect to the action of A such that $a \in A$ acts on $\mathfrak{n}_{i\alpha}$ as multiplication by $a^{i\alpha}$. Here $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha$ is a symplectic vector space of dimension $2(n-2)$, where the symplectic form with values in the one-dimensional space $\mathfrak{n}_{2\alpha}$ is given by the commutator. Taking M_{U^0}-invariants we obtain a decomposition $\mathfrak{n}^{M_{U^0}} = \mathfrak{n}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_2$, where $\mathfrak{n}_1 \subset \mathfrak{n}_\alpha$ is a symplectic subspace ([GS77], Prop. 4.2.1). If $\mathfrak{n}_1 = \{0\}$, then $\mathfrak{n}_V = \mathfrak{n}_2$ is invariant with respect to A, and we are done. We now assume that $\mathfrak{n}_1 \neq \{0\}$. We have two cases. If $\mathfrak{n}_2 \subset \mathfrak{n}_V$, then $\mathfrak{n}_V = \mathfrak{n}_V \cap \mathfrak{n}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_2$, and this space is invariant with respect to A. Thus we assume that $\mathfrak{n}_2 \not\subset \mathfrak{n}_V$. Since $\dim(\mathfrak{n}_2) = 1$ we then have $\mathfrak{n}_2 \cap \mathfrak{n}_V = \{0\}$. Let $p_i : \mathfrak{n}_V \to \mathfrak{n}_i$ denote the projections. Then $p_1 : \mathfrak{n}_V \to \mathfrak{n}_1$ is injective. Let $\lambda : \mathfrak{n}_1 \to \mathfrak{n}_2$ be a linear extension of $p_2 \circ p_1^{-1} : p_1(\mathfrak{n}_V) \to \mathfrak{n}_2$. Since the symplectic form is non-degenerate there exists a unique $Y \in \mathfrak{n}_1$ such that $\lambda(X) = [Y, X]$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{n}_1$.

Let $h := \exp(-Y) \in N^{M_{U^0}}$. We claim that $\mathfrak{n}_V^h \subset \mathfrak{n}_1$. Indeed, if $X \in \mathfrak{n}_V$, then $p_2(X^h) = p_2(X - [Y, X]) = \lambda(p_1(X)) - [Y, X] = [Y, X] - [Y, X] = 0$.

If we replace the split $s : M \times A \to P$ by hsh^{-1}, then $\mathfrak{n}_V$ gets replaced by $\mathfrak{n}_V^h$. Thus by an appropriate choice of the split we can assume that $\mathfrak{n}_V \subset \mathfrak{n}_1$, and we are done. □

3.1.8 The next lemma shows that regularity of cusps is a proper restriction in the case of $H\mathbb{H}^n$.

Lemma 3.6 *If $X = H\mathbb{H}^n$, $n \geq 2$, then there exist non-regular cusps.*

Proof. It suffices to provide an example for $n = 2$. We can identify $\mathfrak{n} = \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathrm{Im}_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{H})$ ($\mathrm{Im}_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{H})$ denoting the imaginary quaternions), and the commutator of $X, Y \in \mathbb{H}$ is given by

$[X,Y] = \bar{X}Y - \bar{Y}X \in \mathrm{Im}_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{H})$. Implicitly we have fixed some split $s \times t$ such that $a \in A$ acts on $\mathbb{H}$ as multiplication by a^{α} and on $\mathrm{Im}_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{H})$ by $a^{2\alpha}$. Let $1, I, J, K$ be a base of the copy of $\mathbb{H}$ and i, j, k be the base of $\mathrm{Im}_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{H})$. Then we consider $\mathfrak{n}_V = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{1, I+j, i\}$ and let $U \subset N_V$ be any lattice. It is not possible to conjugate this subspace into an A-invariant one. □

3.1.9 Assume that $U \subset P$ defines a regular cusp.

Definition 3.7 *We define* $\rho_U \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ *by* $\rho_U(H) := \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{ad}(H)_{|\mathfrak{n}_V})$, *where* $\mathfrak{n}_V$ *is the Lie algebra of* N_V. *Furthermore, we set* $\rho^U := \rho - \rho_U$.

3.2 Schwartz spaces

3.2.1 In this subsection we start the description of our function spaces. Here we introduce the Schwartz spaces $S_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$. We will show that these spaces form trivial holomophic bundles of Fréchet spaces for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$, and that they are compatible with twisting. The Schwartz spaces are basic building blocks of the function spaces $B_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ which we will define later (see Subsection 3.4) .

3.2.2 We fix a representative $w \in K$ of the non-trivial element of the Weyl group $W(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ such that $w^2 = 1$. Let $\infty_P \in \partial X$ be the fixed point of P and $0_P := w\infty_P$. The subset $\Omega_U = \Omega_P = \partial X \setminus \infty_P$ is the N-orbit of 0_P (see Subsection 1.2 for notation). Indeed, the map $N \ni x \mapsto xw\infty_P \in \Omega_U$ is a diffeomorphism from N to Ω_U.

3.2.3 Let (σ, V_σ) be a finite-dimensional unitary representation of M. Given $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ we form the representation $(\sigma_\lambda, V_{\sigma_\lambda})$ of P (see 1.3.2). Furthermore, let (φ, V_φ) be an admissible twist (see Definition 1.5).

3.2.4 The action of A on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n})$ induces a grading. We choose a basis $\{A_i\}$ of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n})$ such that the subset $\{A_i \mid \deg(A_i) \le d\alpha\}$ spans $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n})^{\le d\alpha}$. Furthermore, we choose a norm $|.|$ on V_φ. For any $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $k \in \mathbb{R}$, and compact subset $W \subset N \setminus N_V$ (see Theorem 3.1 for the definition of N_V) we define the seminorm $q_{W,d,k}$ on $C_c^\infty(B_U, V_{B_U}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$ by

$$q_{W,d,k}(f) := \sup_{\{i \mid \deg(A_i) \le d\alpha\}} \sup_{x \in W} \sup_{a \in A_+} a^{k\alpha - 2(\lambda - \rho^U)} |\varphi(a)^{-1} f(x^a A_i w)| \ .$$

Here we consider a section of $V_{B_U}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ as a U-invariant function on $G \setminus P$ with values in $V_{\sigma_\lambda} \otimes V_\varphi$ satisfying the corresponding invariance conditions with respect to the right P-action, and where $u \in U$ acts by $(\pi^{\sigma_\lambda, \varphi}(u) f)(xw) = \varphi(u) f(u^{-1}xw)$.

3.2.5 We fix W and another compact subset $W' \subset N$ such that $W' \cup W^{A_+}$ projects surjectively onto B_U. We add an arbitrary C^d-norm over W' to $q_{W,d,k}$ in order to obtain a norm $\|.\|_{k,d}$. We first define $S_{U,k,d}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ to be the Banach space closure of $C_c^\infty(B_U, V_{B_U}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$ with respect to

$\|.\|_{k,d}$. This Banach space is independent (up to equivalent norms) of the choices of W, W', $|.|$, and the base of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n})$.

Definition 3.8 *We define* $S_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ *to be the intersection of the spaces* $S_{U,k,d}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ *for all* $d\in\mathbb{N}_0$. *The space* $S_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ *is a Fréchet space which is topologized by the countable set of norms* $\|.\|_{k,d}$, $d\in\mathbb{N}_0$.

The space $S_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ is a space of smooth sections with a fixed growth rate at infinity of B_U measured by $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$.
3.2.6 We now show that the family of spaces $\{S_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)\}_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}}$ forms a trivial holomorphic bundle of Fréchet spaces. To this end we construct a certain holomorphic family of functions s^z, $z\in\mathbb{C}$. In the proof of Lemma 3.10 we use multiplication by these functions in order to identify the Schwartz spaces for different $\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$.
3.2.7

Lemma 3.9 *There exists a positive* P_U*-invariant function* $s\in C^\infty(N)$ *such that for any compact subset* $W\subset N\setminus N_V$ *there is* $a_0\in A$ *with* $s(x^a)=a^{2\alpha}s(x)$ *for all* $a\geq a_0$ *and* $x\in W$.

Proof. Let $\bar N:=N^w$ and consider the decomposition

$$G\setminus wP=\bar NMAN\ ,\quad g=\bar n(g)m(x)a(x)n(x)\ .$$

Note that $a(xw)$ satisfies $a(x^aw)=a^2a(xw)$ for all $a\in A$ and $x\in N\setminus\{1\}$ (see (6) below). We consider the function $N\setminus\{1\}\ni x\mapsto a(xw)^\alpha$ as a positive function $s_1\in C^\infty(N\setminus\{1\})$. Let $s_2\in C^\infty(N)$ be any positive function. Using a partition of unity we glue s_1 and s_2 to obtain a positive function $s_3\in C^\infty(N)$ which coincides with s_1 outside of a compact subset W_1 of N. We now choose $z\in\mathbb{R}$ such $z<-\rho_U/\alpha$ and define s_4 as the average

$$s_4(x):=\int_{P_U}s_3^z(y.x)dy$$

(see Definition 3.4 for P_U and Theorem 3.1, (2) for the action $(y,x)\mapsto y.x$). The integral converges (compare Lemma 3.16) and defines a positive P_U-invariant smooth function on N. If $W\subset N\setminus N_V$ is compact, then there is $a^0\in A$ such that $(N_VW)^{aM_U}\cap W_1=\emptyset$ for all $a\geq a_0$. If $a\geq a_0$ and $x\in W$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}s_4(x^a)&=\int_{P_U}s_3^z(y.x^a)dy\\&=\int_{M_U}\int_{N_V}s_3^z(vx^{au})dvdu\\&=a^{2\rho_U}\int_{M_U}\int_{N_V}s_3^z((vx)^{au})dvdu\\&=a^{2(z\alpha+\rho_U)}s_4(x)\ .\end{aligned}$$

We define s as the $\alpha/(z\alpha+\rho_U)$'th power of s_4. □

3.2.8 We now consider the function s constructed in Lemma 3.9 as a section $s \in C^\infty(\Omega_P, V(1_{\rho+\alpha}))$ by defining $s(xw) := s(x)$.

If $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, then the bundle $V(1_\lambda)$ is a complex line bundle which can be written as the complexification of a trivial G-equivariant real line bundle $V(1_\lambda)^{\mathbb{R}}$. It makes sense to speak of a positive section of $V(1_\lambda)^{\mathbb{R}}$. A section of $V(1_\lambda)$ is called positive if it is a positive section of the real subbundle $V(1_\lambda)^{\mathbb{R}}$. In particular, the section s of $V(1_{\rho+\alpha})$ constructed above is positive.

3.2.9 For each $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we can form s^z, which is a section of $V(1_{\rho+z\alpha})$. There is a natural identification $V(\sigma_\lambda) \otimes V(1_{\rho+z\alpha}) \cong V(\sigma_{\lambda+z\alpha})$. Multiplication by s^z identifies $C^\infty(\Omega_P, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$ with $C^\infty(\Omega_P, V(\sigma_{\lambda+z\alpha}, \varphi))$.

Lemma 3.10 *For each $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ multiplication by s^z defines a continuous map from $S_{U,k,d}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ to $S_{U,k,d}(\sigma_{\lambda+z\alpha}, \varphi)$. The family $\{S_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)\}_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}}}$ is a trivial holomorphic bundle of Fréchet spaces.*

Proof. Since s is U-invariant and non-vanishing multiplication by s^z is an isomorphism of $C^\infty(B_U, V_{B_U}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$ with $C^\infty(B_U, V_{B_U}(\sigma_{\lambda+z\alpha}, \varphi))$ and of the subspaces of sections with compact support. It suffices to show that there is a constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $f \in C^\infty_c(B_U, V_{B_U}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$ we have $\|s^z f\|_{k,d} \le C\|f\|_{k,d}$. This follows from the Leibniz rule and the following estimate. Let $A \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n})$ be homogeneous of degree $d\alpha$. Then for any compact subset $W \subset N \setminus N_V$ there is a constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a_0 \in A$ such that for $x \in W$ and $a \ge a_0$

$$\begin{aligned} |s^z(x^a A w)| &= |s^z((xA^{a^{-1}})^a w)| \\ &= a^{(2z-d)\alpha}|s^z(xAw)| \\ &\le Ca^{(2z-d)\alpha} . \end{aligned}$$

For any λ_0 we now define the trivialization $\Phi_{\lambda_0} : \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}}} S_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to S_{U,k}(\sigma_{\lambda_0}, \varphi) \times \mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that the restriction of Φ_{λ_0} to the fibre $S_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ is multiplication by $s^{(\lambda_0-\lambda)/\alpha}$. The transition map $\Phi_{\lambda_0} \circ \Phi^{-1}_{\lambda_1}$ is multiplication by $s^{(\lambda_0-\lambda_1)/\alpha}$ and thus independent of λ. In particular, it is a holomorphic family of continuous maps. □

3.2.10 The Schwartz space is the space of smooth rapidly decaying sections on B_U.

Definition 3.11 *We define the Schwartz space $S_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ as the intersection of the spaces $S_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ over all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

3.2.11 Since the trivializations Φ_λ are compatible with the inclusions $S_{U,k'}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \hookrightarrow S_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$, $k' \ge k$, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.12 *The family* $\{S_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)\}_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}}$ *is a trivial holomorphic vector bundle of Fréchet spaces.*

3.2.12 Note that the inclusions $S_{U,k+1}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \hookrightarrow S_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ are compact. The proof of this fact is a simple application of the Lemma of Arzela-Ascoli. The compactness of these inclusions imply the following fact.

Corollary 3.13 *The Schwartz space* $S_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ *is a Montel space. In particular, it is reflexive.*

3.2.13 If the cusp associated to $U \subset P$ has full rank, then we have for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$S_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) = S_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) = C^\infty(B_U, V_{B_U}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)) \ .$$

3.2.14 Next we show that the Schwartz spaces are compatible with twisting. Let σ be a Weyl invariant representation of M and $(\pi_{\sigma,\mu}, V_{\pi_{\sigma,\mu}})$ be a finite-dimensional representation of G as in 2.3.3. See 2.3.9 for the definition of $Z(\lambda)$ and $\Pi(\lambda)$.

Lemma 3.14

1. *The Schwartz space* $S_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ *coincides with the closed subspace of* ${}^UC^\infty(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))$ *of sections which vanish at* ∞_P *of infinite order.*

2. *We have holomorphic families of continuous maps*

$$\begin{aligned} \{i^U_{\sigma,\mu}\} &: S_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \to S_U(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi) \\ \{p^U_{\sigma,\mu}\} &: S_U(1_{\lambda-\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi) \to S_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \ . \end{aligned}$$

3. $S_U(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)$ *is a* $Z(\mathfrak{g})$*-module.*

4. *If* $\lambda \notin I_\mathfrak{a}$*, then* $\{i^U_{\sigma,\mu}\}$ *maps* $S_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ *isomorphically onto*

$$\ker\{Z(\lambda) : S_U(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi) \to S_U(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)\} \ ,$$

and the restriction of $\{p^U_{\sigma,\mu}\}$ *to*

$$\ker\{\tilde Z(\lambda) : S_U(1_{\lambda-\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi) \to S_U(1_{\lambda-\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)\}$$

is an isomorphism onto $S_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ *(here* $\tilde Z(\lambda)$ *is the adjoint of* $\pi^{1_{-\lambda+\mu}\otimes\tilde\pi_{\sigma,\mu},\mathrm{id}_\varphi}(\Pi(\lambda))$*).*

5. *The composition*

$$\{j^U_{\sigma,\mu}\} : S_U(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi) \stackrel{1-Z(\lambda)}{\to} \ker(Z(\lambda)) \stackrel{(\{i^U_{\sigma,\mu}\})^{-1}}{\to} S_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$$

which is intitially defined for $\lambda\not\in I_{\mathfrak a}$ extends to a meromorphic family of continuous maps. Similarly, the composition

$$\{q^U_{\sigma,\mu}\} : S_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \stackrel{(\{p^U_{\sigma,\mu}\})^{-1}}{\to} \ker(\tilde{Z}(\lambda)) \to S_U(1_{\lambda-\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)$$

extends to a meromorphic family of continuous maps.

Proof. We leave the proof of 1. at this place to the interested reader. Alternatively the assertion can be considered as an immediate consequence of the material of Subsection 3.3.

3.2.15 2. follows from 1. and the fact that $\{i^U_{\sigma,\mu}\}$ and $\{p^U_{\sigma,\mu}\}$ are induced by an inclusion

$$i_{\sigma,\mu} : V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)\to V(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)$$

and a projection

$$p_{\sigma,\mu} : V(1_{\lambda-\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)\to V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$$

of bundles. Another argument for the assertion about $\{i^U_{\sigma,\mu}\}$ would be to check that $\{i^U_{\sigma,\mu}\}$ maps $S_{U,k,d}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ continuously to $S_{U,k',d}(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)$, $k'=k+\mu/\alpha$, for all $k,d\in\mathbb{N}_0$ by comparing the norms explicitly. A similar argument works for $\{p^U_{\sigma,\mu}\}$ as well.

3.2.16 In order to see 3. note that the action of $cZ(\mathfrak g)$ is implemented by differential operators. Using the fact that the action $\pi^{1_{\lambda+\mu}\otimes\pi_{\sigma,\mu},\mathrm{id}_\varphi}$ of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak g)$ commutes with the action $\pi^{1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi}$ of P_UA which is used in order to characterize the growth of elements of $S_{U,k}(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)$ one could alternatively check that this space is a $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak g)$-module.

3.2.17 We now prove the first assertion of 4. and leave the second to the reader since the argument is similar. The representation $V_{1_{\lambda+\mu}}\otimes V_{\pi_{\sigma,\mu}}$ of P fits into an exact sequence

$$0\to V_{\sigma_\lambda}\stackrel{i_{\sigma,\mu}}{\to} V_{1_{\lambda+\mu}}\otimes V_{\pi_{\sigma,\mu}}\to W\to 0\ ,$$

which induces a corresponding exact sequence of bundles

$$0\to V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)\stackrel{i_{\sigma,\mu}}{\to} V(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)\to V(w,\varphi)\to 0\ , \tag{5}$$

where w denotes the representation of P on W. Using 1. we obtain an exact sequence of sections which vanish of infinite order at ∞_P. Going over to U-invariant sections we obtain the exact sequence

$$0\to S_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)\stackrel{\{i^U_{\sigma,\mu}\}}{\to} S_U(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)\stackrel{p}{\to} S_U(w,\varphi)\ .$$

The action of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ commutes with p. The operator $\Pi(\lambda)$ is designed such that $\pi^{w,\varphi}(\Pi(\lambda))=1$ and $\pi^{\sigma_\lambda,\varphi}(\Pi(\lambda))=0$ (note that $\lambda\not\in I_{\mathfrak{a}}$). Since $\{i^U_{\sigma,\mu}\}$ is a morphism of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$-modules we see that $\{i^U_{\sigma,\mu}\}$ maps to $\ker(Z(\lambda))$. In order to show that it is onto take $f\in\ker(Z(\lambda))$. Then

$$p(f)=p((1-Z(\lambda))f)=(1-\pi^{w,\varphi}(\Pi(\lambda)))p(f)=0\ ,$$

and f is in the range of $\{i^U_{\sigma,\mu}\}$.

3.2.18 Finally we show the first assertion of 5. and leave the second to the reader since the argument is similar, again. We choose a holomorphic family of splits $j:V(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)\to V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ of the sequence of bundles (5) (not necessarily U-invariant). The split j induces a holomorphic family of maps $J:C^\infty(\partial X,V(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi))\to C^\infty(\partial X,V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))$. The map J is compatible with the subspaces of sections vanishing of infinite order at ∞_P. We define the meromorphic family of continuous maps $\tilde{j}^U_{\sigma,\mu}$ as the restricition of $J\circ(1-Z(\lambda))$ to $S_U(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)$. Since

$$\tilde{j}^U_{\sigma,\mu}\circ i^U_{\sigma,\mu}=J\circ(1-Z(\lambda))\circ i^U_{\sigma,\mu}=\mathrm{id}$$

and the restriction of $\tilde{j}^U_{\sigma,\mu}$ to $\ker(1-Z(\lambda))$ vanishes we see by 4. that $\tilde{j}^U_{\sigma,\mu}$ maps to $S_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$, and that it coincides with $\{j^U_{\sigma,\mu}\}$ for $\lambda\not\in I_{\mathfrak{a}}$. □

3.3 Asymptotics for the trivial group

3.3.1 We consider the decomposition $G\setminus wP=\bar{N}MAN$, $g=\bar{n}(g)m(g)a(g)n(g)$. There is a unique diffeomorphism $F:N\backslash\{1\}\to\bar{N}\backslash\{1\}$ such that $nw\in F(n)MAN$. Indeed, $F(n)=\bar{n}(nw)$. One can check that

$$\begin{aligned} a(n^{ma}w) &= a^2a(nw) \\ m(n^a w) &= m(nw) \\ F(n^{ma}) &= F(n)^{ma}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

3.3.2 Let (φ,V_φ) be an admissible twist for U. For simplicity we normalize the restriction of φ to A such that the lowest A-weights of all its irreducible coponents are zero. Let $l_\varphi\in\mathfrak{a}^*$ be the highest weight of φ.

3.3.3 In the present subsection we describe the space $C^\infty(\partial X,V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))=:B_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ as an extension

$$0\to S_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)\to B_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)\to R_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)\to 0\ .$$

The Schwartz space $S_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ coincides with the subspace of $C^\infty(\partial X,V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))$ of all section that vanish at ∞_P of infinite order. Note that $\bar{N}$ is diffeomorphic to $\partial X\setminus 0_P$ by $\bar{n}\mapsto\bar{n}\infty_P$

(recall that $0_P = w\infty_P$). Using a theorem of E. Borel to the effect that each formal power series can be realized as a Taylor series of a smooth function we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \to S_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \to C^\infty(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)) \overset{TS}{\to} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{U}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}), V_{\sigma_\lambda}\otimes V_\varphi) \to 0 \ , \tag{7}$$

where TS is given by $TS(f)(A) := f(Ae)$.

3.3.4 The space $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{U}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}), V_{\sigma_\lambda}\otimes V_\varphi)$ admits an action of AM_U by $(u.f)(A) := (\sigma_\lambda(u)\otimes \varphi(u))f(A^{u^{-1}})$. With respect to the action of A it can be decomposed as

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{U}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}), V_{\sigma_\lambda}\otimes V_\varphi) = \prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{U}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}), V_{\sigma_\lambda}\otimes V_\varphi)^n \ ,$$

where A acts on the M_U-module $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{U}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}), V_{\sigma_\lambda}\otimes V_\varphi)^n$ with weight $\rho-\lambda+\alpha n$.

3.3.5 By definition a function $p : \bar{N} \to V_{\sigma_\lambda}\otimes V_\varphi$ is a polynomial iff $p\circ\exp : \bar{\mathfrak{n}} \to V_{\sigma_\lambda}\otimes V_\varphi$ is a polynomial. Such a function p is called homogeneous of degree n, iff

$$(\sigma_\lambda(a)\otimes\varphi(a))p(\bar{n}^{a^{-1}}) = a^{\rho-\lambda+n\alpha}p(\bar{n}) \ .$$

3.3.6 The space $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{U}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}), V_{\sigma_\lambda}\otimes V_\varphi)^n$ can be identified AM_U-equivariantly with the space of polynomials $\mathrm{Pol}(\bar{N}, V_{\sigma_\lambda}\otimes V_\varphi)^n$ on $\bar{N}$ with values in $V_{\sigma_\lambda}\otimes V_\varphi$ being homogeneous of degree n. Here a polynomial $p\in \mathrm{Pol}(\bar{N}, V_{\sigma_\lambda}\otimes V_\varphi)^n$ corresponds to the map $\mathcal{U}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}) \ni A \mapsto p(Ae) \in V_{\sigma_\lambda}\otimes V_\varphi$.

3.3.7 If a homogeneous polynomial $p \in \mathrm{Pol}(\bar{N}, V_{\sigma_\lambda}\otimes V_\varphi)^n$ is considered as a section f_p of $V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ defined over $\partial X\setminus\{0_P\}$, then we have for $x\in N$

$$f_p(xw) = f_p(F(x)m(xw)a(xw)n(xw)) = \sigma(m(xw))^{-1}a(xw)^{\lambda-\rho}f_p(F(x)) \ .$$

In particular, for $x\in N$, $x\neq 1$, and $a\in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(a)^{-1}f_p(x^a w) &= \varphi(a)^{-1}a(x^a w)^{\lambda-\rho}\sigma(m(x^a w))^{-1}f_p(F(x^a))\\ &= a^{2(\lambda-\rho)-n\alpha}a(xw)^{\lambda-\rho}\sigma(m(xw))^{-1}f_p(F(x)) \ .\end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.15 *We define* $A_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n \subset C^\infty(\partial X\setminus\{\infty_P,0_P\}, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))$ *as the subspace of sections* f *satisfying*

$$\varphi(a)^{-1}f(x^a w) = a^{2(\lambda-\rho)-n\alpha}f(xw) \tag{8}$$

for all $x\in N\setminus\{1\}$, $a\in A$. *We define the subspace* $R_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n \subset A_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n$ *as the subspace spanned by the sections* f_p *corresponding to* $p\in\mathrm{Pol}(\bar{N},V_\varphi)^n$. *We further define* $R_{\{1\},k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^k R_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n$ *and* $R_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) := \prod_{n=0}^\infty R_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n$.

3.3.8 The spaces $A_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n$ for the various λ can be identified using multiplication by suitable powers of the function $xw\mapsto a(xw)^\alpha$ and therefore form a trivial vector bundle of Fréchet spaces over $\mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$. The spaces $R_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n$ form trivial finite-dimensional subbundles trivialized by the identification with the trivial bundles $\mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}\times\mathrm{Pol}(\bar{N},V_\sigma\otimes V_\varphi)^n \to \mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$. Thus $R_{\{1\},k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ has the structure of a trivial holomorphic vector bundle.

3.3.9 We fix a smooth cut-off function $\chi \in C^\infty(A)$ such that $\chi(a) = 0$ in a neighbourhood of A_- and $\chi(a) = 1$ if $a^\alpha > 2$. Multiplication by the function

$$\Omega_P \ni x\,0_P \mapsto \chi(a(xw))$$

defines inclusions $L : R_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)^n \to C^\infty(B_{\{1\}}, V_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$ for each n, and summing these maps up we obtain inclusions

$$L : R_{\{1\},k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to C^\infty(B_{\{1\}}, V_{B_{\{1\}}}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \ .$$

In the present paper the symbol L is used for various maps of this kind. It will always be clear from the context which version of L is meant.

3.3.10 If $f \in S_{\{1\},k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$, then

$$\lim_{a\to\infty} a^{k\alpha-2(\lambda-\rho)}\varphi(a)^{-1} f(x^a w) = 0$$

uniformly for x in compact subsets of $N \setminus \{1\}$. Thus $L(R_{\{1\},k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$ intersects $S_{\{1\},k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ trivially. We define

$$B_{\{1\},k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) := S_{\{1\},k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \oplus L(R_{\{1\},k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \ .$$

This space fits into the exact sequence

$$0 \to S_{\{1\},k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to B_{\{1\},k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \stackrel{AS}{\to} R_{\{1\},k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to 0 \ , \tag{9}$$

where AS takes the finite asymptotic expansion. To AS applies the same remark as as above for L. This symbol appears in various versions which will be denoted by the same symbol, and it will be clear from the context which version is meant.

3.3.11 The sequence (9) is split by L and defines $B_{\{1\},k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ as a topological vector space and the family of spaces $\{B_{\{1\},k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)\}_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}}$ as a trivial bundle of Fréchet spaces. Moreover, we have continuous and compact inclusions $B_{\{1\},k+1}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \hookrightarrow B_{\{1\},k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$. The intersection of these spaces over all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is the Fréchet and Montel space $B_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$, which concides with $C^\infty(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$ because of exactness of (7). Note that the sequence

$$0 \to S_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to B_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to R_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to 0$$

does not admit any continuous split.

3.3.12 Though this is clearly possible we will not attempt to trivialize the family of spaces $B_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$. But we keep in mind that $B_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ is an intersection of trivial holomorphic bundles (see 2.1.4). Below we will obtain a similar description of the spaces $B_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$.

3.4 The spaces $B_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$

3.4.1 In the present subsection σ is any finite-dimensional representation of M, and φ denotes a twist. Below we define the spaces $B_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$. In particular, we construct the spaces $R_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ which describe the asymptotic behaviour of elements of $B_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$. For simplicity we assume that the twist φ is normalized as in 3.3.2.

3.4.2 We assume that $U\subset P$ defines a cusp of smaller rank. The case of cusps of full rank will be discussed in Subsection 3.7. We want to define a map $\pi_*^{P_U}: A_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n\to C^\infty(\Omega_P\setminus N_V\{0_P\},V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))$ by

$$\pi_*^{P_U}(f):=\int_{P_U}\pi^{\sigma_\lambda,\varphi}(u)f du\ .$$

If $x\not\in N_V$, then the integrand $(\pi^{\sigma_\lambda,\varphi}(u)f)(xw)$ is well-defined for all $u\in P_U$. We will see below that this integral converges for $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda)<\rho^U-l_\varphi/2$. Let $S(N_V):=\{x\in N_V|a(xw)=1\}$ (see 3.3.2 for a definition of l_φ and Definition 3.7 for ρ^U).

3.4.3 Employing the assumption that N_V is invariant under conjugation by A (regularity of the cusp, Definition 1.3) we can consider polar coordinates $S(N_V)\times A\ni(\xi,a)\mapsto\xi^a\in N_V$ of $N_V\setminus\{1\}$.

Lemma 3.16 *There is a measure $d\xi$ on $S(N_V)$ such that the Haar measure dx of N_V is given by $a^{2\rho_U}dad\xi$.*

Proof. There is a family of measures $d\xi(a)$ on $S(N_V)$ such that for any $f\in C_c(N_V)$ we have

$$\int_{N_V}f(x)dx=\int_A\int_{S(N_V)}f(\xi^a)d\xi(a)a^{2\rho_U}da\ .$$

We compute for any $b\in A$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{N_V}f(x^{b^{-1}})dx &= b^{2\rho_U}\int_{N_V}f(x)dx\\ &= b^{2\rho_U}\int_A\int_{S(N_V)}f(\xi^a)d\xi(a)a^{2\rho_U}da\ ,\\ \int_{N_V}f(x^{b^{-1}})dx &= \int_A\int_{S(N_V)}f(\xi^{ab^{-1}})d\xi(a)a^{2\rho_U}da\\ &= b^{2\rho_U}\int_A\int_{S(N_V)}f(\xi^a)d\xi(ab)a^{2\rho_U}da\ .\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $d\xi(ab)=d\xi(a)$ for all $b\in A$ and hence $d\xi(a)=d\xi(1)=:d\xi$. □

3.4.4 Recall the the Definition 3.4 of $P_U = N_V M_U$. We introduce the abbreviation

$$f_0 := \int_{M_U} \pi^{\sigma_\lambda,\varphi}(t) f dt \ .$$

Then we can write for $x \notin N_V$ and using the homogeneity (8)

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_*^{P_U}(f)(xw) &= \int_A \int_{S(N_V)} \varphi(\xi^a)^{-1} f_0(\xi^a xw) a^{2\rho_U} d\xi da && (10) \\ &= \int_A \int_{S(N_V)} \varphi(a)\varphi(\xi)^{-1}\varphi(a)^{-1} f_0(a\xi a^{-1} xw) a^{2\rho_U} d\xi da \\ &= \int_A \int_{S(N_V)} \varphi(a)\varphi(\xi)^{-1}\varphi(a)^{-1} f_0(a\xi x^{a^{-1}} a^{-1} w) a^{2\rho_U} d\xi da \\ &= \int_A \int_{S(N_V)} \varphi(a)\varphi(\xi)^{-1} f_0(\xi x^{a^{-1}} w) a^{2(\lambda-\rho^U)-n\alpha} d\xi da \ . && (11) \end{aligned}$$

We decompose the outer integral into the integrals over A_+ and A_- and obtain $\pi_+^{P_U}(f)$, $\pi_-^{P_U}(f)$ such that $\pi_*^{P_U}(f) = \pi_+^{P_U}(f) + \pi_-^{P_U}(f)$. It is clear from (10) that $\pi_-^{P_U}(f)$ converges for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$. We conclude from (11) that $\pi_+^{P_U}(f)$ converges for $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < \rho^U + (n\alpha - l_\varphi)/2$.

In this domain of convergence we compute for $b \in A$

$$\varphi(b)^{-1}\pi_*^{P_U}(f)(x^b w) = b^{2(\lambda-\rho^U)-n\alpha}\pi_*^{P_U}(f)(xw) \ .$$

3.4.5 Motivated by this calculation we make the following definition.

Definition 3.17 *We define $A_{P_U}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)^n$ to be the space of all P_U-invariant $f \in C^\infty(\Omega_P \setminus N_V 0_P, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$ satisfying*

$$\varphi(a)^{-1} f(x^a w) = a^{2(\lambda-\rho^U)-n\alpha} f(xw)$$

for all $a \in A$, $x \in N \setminus N_V$.

3.4.6

Lemma 3.18 *The family of spaces $\{A_{P_U}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)^n\}_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*}$ forms a trivial holomorphic family of Fréchet and Montel spaces.*

Proof. For some $z \in \mathbb{R}$ with $z < -\rho_U/\alpha$ we define the function

$$s_1(x) := \int_{P_U} a(y.xw)^{z\alpha} dy \ .$$

We further define s as the $\alpha/(z\alpha + \rho_U)$'th power of s_1. Now we consider the function s as a section $s \in C^\infty(\Omega_P \setminus N_V 0_P, V(1_{\rho+\alpha}))$ defining $s(xw) := s(x)$. Multiplication by s^μ defines an continuous isomorphism of $A_{P_U}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)^n$ with $A_{P_U}(\sigma_{\lambda+\mu}, \varphi)^n$. We employ these isomorphisms in order to define a holomorphic trivialization of the bundle. □

3.4.7 For $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < \rho^U + (n\alpha - l_\varphi)/2$ we have defined above a holomorphic family of continuous maps

$$\pi_*^{P_U} : A_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)^n \to A_{P_U}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)^n \ .$$

Lemma 3.19 *The family $\pi_*^{P_U}$ extends meromorphically to all of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ with at most first order poles in the set $\rho^U + \frac{n\alpha - l_\varphi}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0$. The residues are finite-dimensional.*

Proof. Recall the decomposition $\pi_*^{P_U} = \pi_+^{P_U} + \pi_-^{P_U}$ introduced in 3.4.4. We have already seen that $\pi_-^{P_U}$ has a holomorphic continuation. It suffices to show that $\pi_+^{P_U}$ has a meromorphic continuation.

Consider $f \in A_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)^n$. Let

$$F(x) := \int_{S(N_V)} \varphi(\xi)^{-1} f_0(\xi x w) d\xi$$

(see 3.4.4 for the definition of f_0). This function is smooth in a small neighbourhood of $1 \in N$ and on $N \setminus N_V$. Using the Taylor formula for each $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we can write $F(x^a) = \sum_{q=0}^r F_q(x) a^{q\alpha} + a^{(r+1)\alpha} R_r(x,a)$, where F_q is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $q\alpha$ on N and $R_r(x,a)$ is uniformly bounded as $a \to 0$. We write $\pi_+^{P_U}(f)(xw) := \int_{A_+} \varphi(a) F(x^{a^{-1}}) a^{2(\lambda-\rho^U)-n\alpha} da$ and insert the expansion for F in order to obtain

$$\pi_+^{P_U}(f)(xw) := J_r^1(f)(xw) + J_r^2(f)(xw) \ ,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_r^1(f)(xw) &:= \sum_{q=0}^r \int_{A_+} \varphi(a) a^{2(\lambda-\rho^U)-(q+n)\alpha} da F_q(x) \\ J_r^2(f)(xw) &:= \int_{A_+} \varphi(a) R_r(x, a^{-1}) a^{2(\lambda-\rho^U)-(r+1+n)\alpha} da \ . \end{aligned}$$

The integral J_r^2 converges for $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < \rho^U + (n+r+1)\alpha/2 - l_\varphi/2$. In order to evaluate J_r^1 we introduce the operator $B := (d/da)_{|a=1}\varphi(a) \in \mathrm{End}(V_\varphi)$. In order to define this derivative we embed A into the multiplicative group of $\mathbb{R}$. Since φ is assumed to be algebraic as a representation of A the eigenvalues of B are integral. We have

$$J_r^1(f)(xw) = -\sum_{q=0}^r (B + 2(\lambda - \rho^U) - (n+q)\alpha)^{-1} F_q(x) \ .$$

In particular we see that J_r^1 has a meromorphic continuation to all of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$. Since we can choose r arbitrarily large we obtain a meromorphic continuation of $\pi_+^{P_U}$ to all of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$. □

3.4.8 Recall the Definition 3.15 of the subspace of polynomials $R_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n \subset A_1(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n$. We consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & A_1(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n \arrow[d, "\pi_*^{P_U}"] \\
? \arrow[r] & A_{P_U}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n
\end{tikzcd} .$$

We can complete the diagram using the concept of an image bundle Definition 2.7.

Definition 3.20 *We define $R_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n \subset A_{P_U}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n$ as the image bundle of the restriction of $\pi_*^{P_U}$ to $R_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n$. We define the meromorphic family of maps*

$$[\pi_*^U] : R_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n \to R_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n$$

to be induced by $\pi_*^{P_U}$. Furthermore, we define $R_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^k R_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n$ and let

$$[\pi_*^U] : R_{\{1\},k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \to R_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$$

denote the corresponding meromorphic family of maps.

Similar to the usage of AS and L the symbol $[\pi_*^\Gamma]$ denotes various versions of the push-down on the level of asymptotic terms. It will be clear from the context wich version is meant.

3.4.9 Using the second assertion of Lemma 2.6 we choose once and for all meromorphic families of right-inverses

$$[Q] : R_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n \to R_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n$$

of $[\pi_*^U]$.

3.4.10 Let $\chi \in C^\infty(B_U)$ be a cut-off function such that $1-\chi$ has compact support, and which vanishes in a neighbourhood of $U\backslash(P_U 0_P)$. We can assume that χ is P_U-invariant (otherwise we replace it by the average $xw \mapsto \int_{U\backslash P_U} \chi(u^{-1}xw)du$). Multiplication by χ defines an inclusion $L : R_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \to C^\infty(B_U, V_{B_U}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))$. As in Subsection 3.3 we see that $L(R_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)) \cap S_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) = \{0\}$.

3.4.11

Definition 3.21 *We define the Fréchet space*

$$B_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) := S_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \oplus L(R_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)) \ .$$

Furthermore we define the Fréchet and Montel space $B_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ to be the intersection of the spaces $B_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note that $B_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ fits into the split exact sequence

$$0 \to S_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \to B_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \overset{AS}{\to} R_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \to 0\ , \tag{12}$$

where AS takes the finite asymptotic expansion. Since the spaces $S_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ and $R_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ form trivial holomorphic bundles we can employ the split L of the sequence in order to equip the family of spaces $\{B_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)\}_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}}$ with the structure of a trivial holomorphic bundle over $\mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$. The space $B_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ fits into the exact sequence

$$0 \to S_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \to B_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \to R_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \to 0 \tag{13}$$

which does not admit any continuous split. The spaces $B_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ form a projective limit of locally trivial holomorphic bundles in the sense of 2.1.4.

3.4.12 We now show compatibility of the spaces $B_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ with twisting. We assume that σ is Weyl invariant as in 2.3.4. Let $(\pi_{\sigma,\mu},V_{\pi_{\sigma,\mu}})$ be a finite-dimensional representation of G as in 2.3.3. Recall the notation $p_{\sigma,\mu}$ and $i_{\sigma,\mu}$ from 2.3.5.

Lemma 3.22 *1. For each $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$ we have the following commutative diagrams*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n \arrow[r, "i^n_{\sigma,\mu}"] \arrow[d, "{[\pi^U_*]}"] & R_{\{1\}}(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)^m \arrow[d, "{[\pi^U_*]}"] \\
R_{\{U\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n \arrow[r, "{[i^U_{\sigma,\mu}]}"] & R_{\{U\}}(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)^m
\end{tikzcd} \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n \arrow[d, "{[\pi^U_*]}"] & R_{\{1\}}(1_{\lambda-\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)^m \arrow[l, "p^n_{\sigma,\mu}"'] \arrow[d, "{[\pi^U_*]}"] \\
R_{\{U\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n & R_{\{U\}}(1_{\lambda-\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)^m \arrow[l, "{[p^U_{\sigma,\mu}]}"']
\end{tikzcd}\ ,$$

where $m:=n+\mu/\alpha$.

2. We have holomorphic families of continuous maps

$$i^U_{\sigma,\mu}: B_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)\to B_U(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)$$

and

$$p^U_{\sigma,\mu}: B_U(1_{\lambda-\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)\to B_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)\ .$$

3. $B_U(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)$ is a $Z(\mathfrak{g})$-module.

4. If $\lambda\notin I_\mathfrak{a}$, then $i^U_{\sigma,\mu}$ maps $B_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ isomorphically onto

$$\ker\{Z(\lambda): B_U(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)\to B_U(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)\}\ ,$$

and the restriction of $p^U_{\sigma,\mu}$ *to*

$$\ker\{\tilde{Z}(\lambda) : B_U(1_{\lambda-\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi) \to B_U(1_{\lambda-\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)\}$$

is an isomorphism onto $B_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ *(here* $\tilde{Z}(\lambda)$ *is the adjoint of* $\pi^{1_{-\lambda+\mu}\otimes\tilde{\pi}_{\sigma,\mu},\mathrm{id}_{\tilde{\varphi}}}(\Pi(\lambda))$*).*

5. *The composition*

$$j^U_{\sigma,\mu} : B_U(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi) \overset{1-Z(\lambda)}{\to} \ker_U(Z(\lambda)) \overset{(i^U_{\sigma,\mu})^{-1}}{\to} B_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$$

which is initially defined for $\lambda \notin I_{\mathfrak{a}}$ *extends to a meromorphic family of continuous maps. Similarly, the composition*

$$q^U_{\sigma,\mu} : B_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \overset{(p^U_{\sigma,\mu})^{-1}}{\to} \ker(\tilde{Z}(\lambda)) \to B_U(1_{\lambda-\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)$$

extends to a meromorphic family of continuous maps.

Proof.
3.4.13 We consider the first diagram of 1. and leave the second to the reader since the argument is similar. First one checks that the inclusion $i_{\sigma,\mu}$ induces an inclusion

$$i^{P_U,n}_{\sigma,\mu} : \mathcal{A}_{P_U}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)^n \to \mathcal{A}_{P_U}(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)^m$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $m := n+\mu/\alpha$. Now we obtain diagram (14), where the map $[i^U_{\sigma,\mu}]$ is the restriction of $i^{P_U,n}_{\sigma,\mu}$ which is well-defined by the naturality of the image bundle construction Lemma 2.6.
3.4.14 Assertion 2. follows from Lemma 3.14, 2. and 1. Indeed, $i^U_{\sigma,\mu}$ maps $B_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ to

$$\{i^U_{\sigma,\mu}\}(\{S_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)\}) + L([i^U_{\sigma,\mu}](R_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))) \subset B_{U,k'}(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi) \ ,$$

where $k' = k + \mu/\alpha$. The argument for the second assertion of 2. is similar.
3.4.15 In order to prove 3. we first show that the spaces $R_U(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)^m$ are a $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules. Note that the representations $\pi^{1_{\lambda+\mu}\otimes\pi_{\sigma,\mu},\mathrm{id}_\varphi}$ of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\pi^{1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi}$ of AP_U commute. Since we employ the latter representation in order to define homogeneities we see that the spaces $A_{P_U}(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)^m$ are $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules. The space $R_{\{1\}}(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)$ is clearly a $Z(\mathfrak{g})$-module since it is the quotient of $C^\infty(\partial X, V(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi))$ by the submodule $S_{\{1\}}(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)$ (Lemma 3.14, 3.). Thus the subspaces $R_{\{1\}}(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)^m$ are $Z(\mathfrak{g})$-modules. We obtain the $Z(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure on $R_U(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)^m$ from Lemma 2.6 since $\pi^{P_U}_*$ is $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$-equivariant.

Assertion 3. now follows from Lemma 3.14, 3. and the fact that for any $A \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ the commutator $[\pi^{1_{\lambda+\mu}\otimes\pi_{\sigma,\mu},\mathrm{id}_\varphi}(A), \chi]$ is a differential operator with compactly supported coefficients on B_U.

3.4.16 We now prove the first assertion of 4. and leave the second to the reader. The exact sequence of bundles (5) induces an exact sequence

$$0 \to R_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)^n \overset{[i^U_{\sigma,\mu}]}{\to} R_U(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)^m \xrightarrow{p} R_U(w, \varphi)^k \ ,$$

where $m = n+\mu/\alpha$ and we employ an appropriate definition of homogeneity for the last space. If $\lambda \notin I_{\mathfrak{a}}$, then we have $\ker(Z(\lambda) : R_U(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)^m \to R_U(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)^m) = \ker(p)$. For these λ the map $[i^U_{\sigma,\mu}]$ identifies $R_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)^n$ with the kernel of $Z(\lambda)$. If $f \in B_U(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)$ satisfies $Z(\lambda)(f) = 0$, then $Z(\lambda)AS(f) = 0$. From what was shown above and (13) it follows that there exists $g \in B_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ such that $[i^U_{\sigma,\mu}] \circ AS(g) = AS(f)$. Then $f - i^U_{\sigma,\mu}(g) \in S_U(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)$, and by Lemma 3.14, 4. there exists $h \in S_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ such that $\{i^U_{\sigma,\mu}\}(h) = f - i^U_{\sigma,\mu}(g)$. Thus $f = i^U_{\sigma,\mu}(h + g)$, and this finishes the proof of 4.

3.4.17 We now prove the first assertion of 5. and leave the second to the reader. We employ the notation introduced in the proof of Lemma 3.14, 5. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there is $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $J : S_{U,m}(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi) \to S_{U,n}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ is a holomorphic family of maps. We define the meromorphic family of continuous maps $\tilde{j}^U_{\sigma,\mu} : B_{U,m}(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi) \to B_{U,n}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ by

$$\tilde{j}^U_{\sigma,\mu}(f) := L \circ [i^U_{\sigma,\mu}]^{-1} \circ AS \circ (1 - Z(\lambda))(f) + \{j^U_{\sigma,\mu}\}(f - L \circ AS \circ (1 - Z(\lambda))(f)) \ .$$

Then $\tilde{j}^U_{\sigma,\mu} \circ i^U_{\sigma,\mu}(f) = f$ for any $f \in B_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$. Since $\tilde{j}^U_{\sigma,\mu}$ vanishes on $\ker(1 - Z(\lambda))$ we conclude that the restriction of $\tilde{j}^U_{\sigma,\mu}$ to $B_U(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)$ coincides with $j^U_{\sigma,\mu}$ for $\lambda \notin I_{\mathfrak{a}}$. This proves 5. □

3.5 The push-down for Schwartz spaces

3.5.1 In this subsection we show that the push-down induces a map between Schwartz spaces

$$\{\pi^U_*\} : S_{\{1\},k}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to S_{U,k}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \ .$$

Note that we only consider the spherical case $\sigma = 1$.

Lemma 3.23 *1. The push-down $\{\pi^U_*\}$ converges for* $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < \rho^U + (k\alpha - l_\varphi)/2$.

2. For $k_1 < k$ it induces a holomorphic family of maps $\{\pi^U_*\} : S_{\{1\},k}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to S_{U,k_1}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$.

Proof.

3.5.2 Let $f \in C^\infty_c(B_{\{1\}}, V_{B_{\{1\}}}(1_\lambda, \varphi))$. Then $\{\pi^U_*\}(f) = \sum_{u\in U} \pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(u)f$ converges and defines an element of $C^\infty_c(B_U, V_{B_U}(1_\lambda, \varphi))$.

3.5.3 Let $h \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $W \subset N \setminus N_V$ be compact, $|.|$ be a $\varphi(M_U)$-invariant norm on V_φ, and $D \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n})^{\le d\alpha}$ (see 3.2.4 for notation). Then we consider the seminorm

$$q_{W,D,h}(f) := \sup_{x \in W} \sup_{a \in A_+} a^{h\alpha - 2(\lambda - \rho^U)} |\varphi(a)^{-1}(f)(x^a D w)| \ .$$

The first assertion of the Lemma will easily follow from estimates of the form

$$q_{W,D,k}(\{\pi_*^U\} f) < C \|f\|_{k,d} \ ,$$

and the second assertion will follow from the convergence of sums of the form

$$\sum_{u \in U} q_{W,D,k_1}(\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(u) f) < C \|f\|_{k,d} \ .$$

3.5.4 If $u \neq 1$, then we can write $u^{-1} = m_u \xi_u^{b_u}$ for suitable $\xi_u \in S(N_V)$, $b_u \in A$, and $m_u \in M_U$ (see 3.4.2 for the notation $S(N_V)$). There is a constant $C \in R$ such that for all $f \in C_c^\infty(B_{\{1\}}, V_{B_{\{1\}}}(1_\lambda, \varphi))$, $x \in W$, $a \in A$, $1 \neq u \in U$ with $b_u \ge a$ we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(a)^{-1} \pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(u) f(x^a D w)| &= |\varphi(a)^{-1} \varphi(\xi_u^{b_u})^{-1} f(m_u \xi_u^{b_u} x^a D w)| \\ &= |\varphi(a^{-1} b_u) \varphi(\xi_u)^{-1} \varphi(b_u^{-1}) f(m_u (\xi_u x^{a b_u^{-1}})^{b_u} D w)| \\ &\le C \|f\|_{d,k} |\varphi(a^{-1} b_u)| b_u^{2(\lambda - \rho) - k\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

and for $b_u \le a$

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(a)^{-1} \pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(u) f(x^a D w)| &= |\varphi(a)^{-1} \varphi(\xi_u^{b_u})^{-1} f(m_u \xi_u^{b_u} x^a D w)| \\ &= |\varphi(\xi_u^{a^{-1} b_u})^{-1} \varphi(a)^{-1} f(m_u (\xi^{b_u a^{-1}} x)^a D w)| \\ &\le C \|f\|_{d,k} a^{2(\lambda - \rho) - k\alpha} \ . \end{aligned}$$

3.5.5 If $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < \rho^U + (k\alpha - l_\varphi)/2$, then summing up these estimates over U and estimating the sum by an integral over P_U we obtain $C_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that all $x \in W$, $a \in A^+$, $f \in C_c^\infty(B_{\{1\}}, V_{B_{\{1\}}}(1_\lambda, \varphi))$

$$|\varphi(a)^{-1} \{\pi_*^{U^0}\}(f)(x^a D w)| < C_1 a^{2(\lambda - \rho^U) - k\alpha} \ .$$

This implies

$$q_{W,D,k}(\{\pi_*^U\} f) < C_1 \|f\|_{k,d} \ .$$

3.5.6 Multiplying the two inequalities above by $a^{k_1\alpha - 2(\lambda - \rho^U)}$ and taking the supremum over x and a we obtain $q_{W,D,k_1}(\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(u) f) < b_u^{-2\rho_U - (k - k_1)\alpha}$. Summing this over U and estimating the sum over U by the integral over P_U we obtain

$$\sum_{u \in U} q_{W,D,k_1}(\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(u) f) < C \|f\|_{k,d} \ .$$

□

3.5.7 Recall (Definition 3.11) that $S_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ is the intersection of the spaces $S_{\{1\},k}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Hence Lemma 3.23 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 3.24 *We have a holomorphic family of maps*

$$\{\pi_*^U\} : S_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to S_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)$$

defined on all of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$.

3.5.8 We now turn to the problem of constructing a right-inverse of the push-down for Schwartz spaces. Its adjoint plays an important role in the construction of the restriction map. Below we encounter the effect of a loss of regularity $(-2\rho_U)$. This is one of the places where the presence of cusps makes the theory much more complicated in comparison with the convex cocompact case.

3.5.9

Lemma 3.25 *For all* $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *satisfying* $k_1\alpha < k\alpha - 2\rho_U$ *there exists a holomorphic family of maps*

$$\{Q\} : S_{U,k}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to S_{\{1\},k_1}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$$

such that $\{\pi_*^U\} \circ \{Q\}$ *is the natural inclusion* $S_{\{1\},k}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \hookrightarrow S_{\{1\},k_1}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$.

Proof. We define a holomorphic family $\{Q\}$ of right-inverses of $\{\pi_*^U\}$. We employ a cut-off function $\chi^U \in C^\infty(\Omega_P)$ with $\sum_{u \in U} u^*\chi^U = 1$, and such that $\chi^U(xD)$ is bounded for each $D \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n})$. Here we have identified Ω_P with N. Then χ^U considered as a function on N can be derived with respect to the left invariant differential operator D.

To see that such a function exists we equip $\Omega_P \cong N$ with a MN-invariant Riemannian metric such that U acts isometrically. Note that the Riemannian manifold $U\backslash N$ admits a lower bound of the injectivity radius.

For $f \in S_{U,k}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ we define $\{Q\}(f)$ to be the lift of f to Ω_P multiplied by χ^U. It is then easy to see that $\{Q\} : S_{U,k}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to S_{\{1\},k_1}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ for all $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ satisfying $k_1\alpha < k\alpha - 2\rho_U$. Therefore we obtain a holomorphic family of maps $\{Q\} : S_U(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to S_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$. By definition $\{\pi_*^U\} \circ \{Q\} = \mathrm{id}$. □

3.6 Push down for cusps of smaller rank

3.6.1 We assume that the cusp associated to $U \subset P$ does not have full rank. In order to construct the push-down it remains to extend $\{\pi_*^U\}$ (constructed in Lemma 3.23) for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$

from $S_{\{1\},k}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$ to $B_{\{1\},k}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & S_{\{1\},k}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\{\pi_*^U\}"] & B_{\{1\},k}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r, "AS"] \arrow[d, "\pi_*^U"] & R_{\{1\},k}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "{[\pi_*^U]}"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & S_{U,k}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r] & B_{U,k}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r, "AS"] & R_{U,k}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r] & 0 \ .
\end{tikzcd}$$

The main technical result in this direction is the following Proposition 3.26.

3.6.2 Note that $R_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^n$ is a finite-dimensional representation of the torus M_{U^0} (see 3.1.5 for the explanation of M_{U^0}). Let $\mathcal{X}(M_{U^0})$ denote the set of characters of M_{U^0}. For any $\theta \in \mathcal{X}(M_{U^0})$ let $R_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^n_\theta$ be the subspace of all $f \in R_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^n$ such that

$$\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(t)f = \theta(t)f \ .$$

Then we have a finite decomposition

$$R_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^n = \bigoplus_{\theta\in\mathcal{X}(M_{U^0})} R_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^n_\theta \ .$$

3.6.3 Recall the construction of L from 3.4.10. Furthermore see Definition 3.20 for $[\pi_*^{U^0}]$, Definition 3.7 for ρ^U and 3.3.2 for l_φ.

Proposition 3.26 *The composition*

$$\pi_*^{U^0} \circ L : R_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^n_\theta \to B_{U^0}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$$

converges for $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < \rho^U + (n\alpha - l_\varphi)/2$ *and has a meromorphic continuation to all of* $\mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ *such that* $AS \circ \pi_*^{U^0} \circ L = [\pi_*^{U^0}]$.

Proof.

3.6.4 We decompose the push-down $\pi_*^{U^0}$ into two intermediate maps. The first map is the push-down $\pi_*^{[U^0,U^0]} \circ L : R_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^n_\theta \to B_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$ with respect to the commutator group $[U^0,U^0]$, and the second is a relative push-down $\pi^{U^0/[U^0,U^0]}$. Note that M_{U^0} centralizes $[U^0,U^0]$ (see 3.1.4) and therefore acts on the sequence

$$0 \to S_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \to B_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \to R_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \to 0 \ .$$

The representation of M_{U^0} on $R_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$ is compatible with the decomposition $\bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty R_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^n$.

3.6.5 Let $R_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^n_\theta$ be the subspace of all $f \in R_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^n$ satisfying

$$\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(t)f = \theta(t)f \ .$$

Then we have a further finite decomposition

$$R_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^n = \bigoplus_{\theta\in\mathcal{X}(M_{U^0})} R_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^n_\theta \ .$$

3.6.6 We will first show

Lemma 3.27 *The push-down over $[U^0, U^0]$ defines a meromorphic family of maps*

$$\pi^{[U^0,U^0]} : R_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n_\theta \to S_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \oplus L(R_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n_\theta)$$

such that

$$AS \circ \pi^{[U^0,U^0]} \circ L = [\pi^{[U^0,U^0]}] \ .$$

It is clear that the average $\{\pi_*^{U^0/[U^0,U^0]}\} : S_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to S_{U^0}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ over $U^0/[U^0, U^0]$ converges and depends holomorphically on λ. A simple way to see this formally is to write

$$\{\pi_*^{U^0/[U^0,U^0]}\} = \{\pi_*^{U^0}\} \circ \{Q\} \circ \pi_*^{[U^0,U^0]}$$

using the split $\{Q\}$ constructed in Lemma 3.25 and Corollary 3.24.

Proposition 3.26 now immediately follows from

Lemma 3.28 *The composition*

$$\pi_*^{U^0/[U^0,U^0]} \circ L : R_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n_\theta \to B_{U^0}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$$

defines a meromorphic family of maps such that

$$AS \circ \pi_*^{U^0/[U^0,U^0]} \circ L \circ [\pi_*^{[U^0,U^0]}] = [\pi_*^{U^0}] \ .$$

3.6.7 We now start with the proof of Lemma 3.27. Since M_{U^0} is abelian the homomorphism $m : N_V \to M_{U^0}$ vanishes on $[N_V, N_V]$. Therefore $[U^0, U^0]$ is a discrete subgroup of the center of N. If $f \in R_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n$, then for all $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have $\|L(f)\|_{n,d} < \infty$. Therefore the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 3.23 show that the push-down $\pi_*^{[U^0,U^0]} \circ L$ converges for $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < \rho^{[U^0,U^0]} + (n\alpha - l_\varphi)/2$.

3.6.8 We consider the abelian group $Z := P_{[U^0,U^0]} = [N_V, N_V]$. If we are given an unitary character $\vartheta \in \mathcal{X}(Z)$, then we consider the push-down $\pi_*^{Z,\vartheta}$, which associates to $f \in C^\infty(\partial X, V(1_\lambda, \varphi))$ the average

$$\pi_*^{Z,\vartheta}(f) := \int_Z \vartheta(z)^{-1} \pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(z)(f_{|\Omega}) dz$$

provided the integral converges.

3.6.9 Observe that $[U^0, U^0]$ is a cocompact discrete subgroup of Z. We normalize the Haar measure of Z such that $\mathrm{vol}([U^0, U^0] \backslash Z) = 1$. Let $\mathcal{X}(Z, [U^0, U^0])$ denote the set of all unitary characters of Z which are trivial on $[U^0, U^0]$. We identify $\mathcal{X}(Z)$ with $\imath\mathfrak{z}^*$ and $\mathcal{X}(Z, [U^0, U^0])$ with a lattice in $\imath\mathfrak{z}^*$, where $\mathfrak{z}$ denotes the Lie algebra of Z. Our approach is based on the Poisson summation formula which states that

$$\pi_*^{[U^0,U^0]}(f) = \sum_{\vartheta \in \mathcal{X}(Z,[U^0,U^0])} \pi_*^{Z,\vartheta}(f)$$

in the domain of convergence.

3.6.10 We choose an euclidean structure on $\mathfrak{z}$. This structure induces norms on $\mathfrak{z}$ and $\mathfrak{z}^*$. Furthermore we use the euclidean structure in order to define a Laplace operator $\Delta \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{z})$ which is normalized such that if $\vartheta \in \mathcal{X}(Z)$, then $\vartheta(\Delta^l x) = |\vartheta|^{2l}\vartheta(x)$. Let $COP : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{z}) \to \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{z}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{z})$ denote the coproduct on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{z})$. There are $A_{l,j}, B_{l,j}, C_{l,j} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{z})$ such that

$$(COP \otimes 1) \circ COP(\Delta^l) = \sum_j A_{l,j} \otimes B_{l,j} \otimes C_{l,j} \ .$$

Let $D \mapsto \tilde{D}$ be the canonical antiautomorphism of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{z})$.

3.6.11 Fix $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $f \in R_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n_\theta$. Recall that $L(f)(xw) = \chi(a(xw))f(xw)$, where $\chi \in C^\infty(A)$ was some cut-off function which vanishes on a neighbourhood of A_- and is equal to one near ∞. By $S(Z)$ we denote the unit-sphere in Z. For $\xi \in S(Z)$ and $a \in A$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(\Delta^l \xi^a) L(f)(.w) \\
= \ & \pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(a)\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}((\Delta^l)^{a^{-1}}\xi)\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(a^{-1})\chi(a(.w))f(.w) \\
= \ & a^{\rho-\lambda-4l\alpha}\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(a)\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(\Delta^l\xi)\varphi(a^{-1})\chi(a(a.a^{-1}w))f(a.a^{-1}w) \\
= \ & a^{\lambda-\rho-(n+4l)\alpha}\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(a)\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(\Delta^l)\varphi(\xi)\chi(a^2 a(\xi^{-1}.w))f(\xi^{-1}.w) \\
= \ & a^{\lambda-\rho-(n+4l)\alpha}\sum_j \pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(a)\varphi(A_{l,j}\xi)\chi(a^2 a(\xi^{-1}\tilde{B}_{l,j}.w))f(\xi^{-1}\tilde{C}_{l,j}.w) \\
= \ & a^{2(\lambda-\rho)-(n+4l)\alpha}\sum_j \varphi(a)\varphi(A_{l,j}\xi)\chi(a^2 a(\xi^{-1}\tilde{B}_{l,j}a^{-1}.aw))f(\xi^{-1}\tilde{C}_{l,j}a^{-1}.aw) \ . \qquad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

3.6.12 If $W \subset N$ is any compact subset and $D \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n})$, then there is a constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(\Delta^l \xi^a) L(f)(yDw)| \le C a^{2(\lambda-\rho)-(n+4l)\alpha+l_\varphi}$$

for all $\xi \in S(Z)$ and $a \in A_+$.

If $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda)$ is sufficiently small, then by partial integration for $\vartheta \in \mathcal{X}(Z, [U^0, U^0])$, $\vartheta \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_*^{Z,\vartheta}(L(f)) &= \int_Z \vartheta(x)^{-1}\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(x)L(f)dx \\
&= \frac{1}{|\vartheta|^{2l}}\int_Z \vartheta(\Delta^l x)^{-1}\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(x)L(f)dx \\
&= \frac{1}{|\vartheta|^{2l}}\int_Z \vartheta(x)^{-1}\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(\Delta^l x)L(f)dx \\
&= \frac{1}{|\vartheta|^{2l}}\int_{S(Z)}\int_A \vartheta(\xi^a)^{-1}\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(\Delta^l\xi^a)L(f)a^{2\rho_{[U^0,U^0]}}da d\xi \ .
\end{aligned}$$

By the estimate above the integral converges locally uniformly on $\{\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < \rho^{[U^0,U^0]} + (n + 4l)\alpha/2 - l_\varphi/2\}$, and for any compact subset of this region, $D \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n})$, and compact subset $W \subset N$ there is a constant C_1 such that

$$|\pi_*^{Z,\vartheta}(L(f))(yDw)| \le \frac{C_1}{|\vartheta|^{2l}}$$

for all $y \in W$.

3.6.13 Choosing $2l > \dim(Z)$ we see that the sum

$$\sum_{0 \neq \vartheta \in \mathcal{X}(Z,[U^0,U^0])} \pi_*^{Z,\vartheta}(L(f))$$

converges in $C^\infty(\Omega_P, V(1_\lambda, \varphi))$.

3.6.14 Refining the estimates above we now show that this sum in fact converges in the space of rapidly decreasing functions. Let $W_1 \subset N \setminus Z$ be a compact subset and $D \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n})$. It immediately follows from (15), that there is a constant C_2 such that for all $\xi \in S(Z)$, $a, b \in A_+$, with $a \geq b$ and $y \in W_1$

$$|\varphi(b)^{-1}(\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(\Delta^l \xi^a)L(f))(y^b Dw)| \leq C_2 a^{2(\lambda-\rho)-(n+4l)\alpha} |\varphi(ab^{-1})| \ .$$

Let $COP(D) = \sum_h D_h^l \otimes D_h^r$ denote the coproduct of D, where here $COP : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}) \to \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n})$.

We find a constant $C_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $\xi \in S(Z)$, $a, b \in A_+$ with $a \leq b$ and $y \in W_1$

$$\begin{aligned} & |\varphi(b)^{-1}(\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(\Delta^l \xi^a)L(f))(y^b Dw)| \\ = \ & a^{2(\lambda-\rho)-(n+4l)\alpha} |\sum_{j,h} \varphi(ab^{-1})\varphi(A_{l,j}\xi)\chi(a^2 a(\xi^{-1}\tilde{B}_{l,j}a^{-1}y^b D_h^l aw)) f(\xi^{-1}\tilde{C}_{l,j}a^{-1}y^b D_h^r aw)| \\ = \ & a^{-4l\alpha} b^{2(\lambda-\rho)-n\alpha} |\sum_{j,h} \varphi((A_{l,j}\xi)^{ab^{-1}})\chi(b^2 a((\xi^{-1}\tilde{B}_{l,j})^{b^{-1}a} y (D_h^l)^{b^{-1}} w)) f((\xi^{-1}\tilde{C}_{l,j})^{b^{-1}a} y (D_h^r)^{b^{-1}} w)| \\ \leq \ & C_3 b^{2(\lambda-\rho)-(n+4l)\alpha} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Using this estimate we see that

$$\varphi(b)^{-1} \sum_{0 \neq \vartheta \in \mathcal{X}(Z,[U^0,U^0])} \pi_*^{Z,\vartheta}(L(f))(y^b Dw)$$

can be estimated by $Cb^{2(\lambda-\rho^{[U^0,U^0]})-(n+4l)\alpha}$, where C can be choosen uniformly for $y \in W_1$ and λ in compact subsets of $\{\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < \rho^{[U^0,U^0]} + (n+4l)\alpha/2 - l_\varphi/2\}$. Since we can choose l arbitrary large we obtain a holomorphic continuation of the sum above to all of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$. Moreover we see that this sum is rapidly decreasing with respect to b.

3.6.15 We now consider $\pi_*^{Z,0}(L(f))$. If $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda)$ is sufficiently small then we can write

$$\pi_*^{Z,0}(L(f)) = \int_A \int_{S(Z)} \pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(\xi^a)L(f) d\xi a^{2\rho_{[U^0,U^0]}} da \ .$$

We again employ the relation

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(\xi^a)L(f)(yw) \\ = \ & a^{2(\lambda-\rho)-n\alpha}\varphi(a)\varphi(\xi)\chi(a^2 a(\xi^{-1}a^{-1}yaw)) f(\xi^{-1}a^{-1}yaw) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$F(a,y) := \int_{S(Z)} \varphi(\xi)\chi(a^2 a(\xi^{-1}yw))f(\xi^{-1}yw)d\xi$$

is a smooth function of y near $y=0$ which is independent of a for large a. Let

$$F(a, y^{a^{-1}}) = \sum_{q=0}^{r} F_q(y)a^{-q\alpha} + a^{-(r+1)\alpha}R_r(a,y)$$

be the asymptotic expansion for large $a \in A$ obtained from the Taylor series of $F(a,y)$ at $y=0$. The remainder $R_r(a,y)$ remains bounded as $a \to \infty$. We write

$$\pi_*^{Z,1}(L(f))(yw) = I_+(y) + I_-(y) \ ,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_+(y) &:= \int_{A_+} a^{2(\lambda-\rho^{[U^0,U^0]})-n\alpha}\varphi(a)F(a,y^{a^{-1}})da \\ I_-(y) &:= \int_{A_-}\int_{S(Z)} \pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(\xi^a)L(f)(yw)d\xi a^{2\rho_{[U^0,U^0]}}da \ . \end{aligned}$$

The integral I_- converges for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ and defines a holomorphic family of smooth functions. We write $I_+(y) := J_r^1(y) + J_r^2(y)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} J_r^1(y) &:= \int_{A_+} a^{2(\lambda-\rho^{[U^0,U^0]})-n\alpha}\varphi(a)\sum_{q=0}^{r}F_q(y)a^{-q\alpha}da \\ J_r^2(y) &:= \int_{A_+} a^{2(\lambda-\rho^{[U^0,U^0]})-n\alpha}\varphi(a)a^{-(r+1)\alpha}R_r(a,y)da \ . \end{aligned}$$

The integral J_r^2 converges for $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < \rho^{[U^0,U^0]} + (n+r+1)\alpha/2 - l_\varphi/2$ and defines a smooth function in y. The integral J_r^1 can be evaluated:

$$J_r^1(y) = -\sum_{q=0}^{r}(B + 2(\lambda - \rho^{[U^0,U^0]}) - (n+q)\alpha)^{-1}F_q(y) \ ,$$

where $B := \frac{d}{da}_{|a=1}\varphi(a) \in \mathrm{End}(V_\varphi)$ (compare with the proof of Lemma 3.19). It obviously defines a meromorphic family of smooth functions. Since we can choose r arbitrary large we obtain a meromorphic continuation of $\pi_*^{Z,0}(L(f))$ to all of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$. Note that if $y \in N \setminus Z$ and $b \in A$ is sufficiently large, then we have $\pi_*^{Z,0}(L(f))(y^b w) = \pi_*^{P_{[U^0,U^0]}}(f)(y^b w)$, where $\pi_*^{P_{[U^0,U^0]}}$ was discussed in Subsection 3.4. We conclude that $\pi_*^{Z,0}(L(f)) \in S_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \oplus L(R_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^n)$.

We have shown that

$$\pi_*^{[U^0,U^0]} \circ L : R_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^n \to S_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \oplus L(R_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^n_\theta) \subset B_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$$

has a meromorphic continuation to all of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ such that $AS \circ \pi_*^{[U^0,U^0]} \circ L = [\pi_*^{[U^0,U^0]}]$. This finishes the proof of the lemma. □

3.6.16 We now prove Lemma 3.28 in a similar manner. Since M_{U^0} centralizes N_V we can form the direct product $P_1 := P_{U^0}/Z = M_{U^0}T$ where $T := N_V/Z$ (the group Z was defined in 3.6.8). By construction of U^0 there is a lattice $V_1 \subset T$ and a homomorphism $m : T \to M_{U^0}$ such that $U^0/[U^0, U^0] = \{m(v)v | v \in V_1\} \subset P_1$. For $f \in R_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n_\theta$ (this space is defined in 3.6.5) we have

$$\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(m(v)v)L(f)(yw) = \theta^m(v)\varphi(\tilde{v})f(\tilde{v}^{-1}yw) ,$$

where $\tilde{v} \in N$ is any lift of $v \in T$, and $\theta^m = \theta \circ m \in \mathcal{X}(T)$.

3.6.17 We normalize the Haar measure on T such that $\mathrm{vol}(V_1\backslash T) = 1$. Given a unitary character $\vartheta \in \mathcal{X}(T)$, we consider the push-down $\pi_*^{T,\vartheta}$, which associates to $f \in C^\infty(B_Z, V_{B_Z}(1_\lambda, \varphi))$ the average

$$\pi_*^{T,\vartheta}(f) := \int_T \vartheta(z)^{-1}\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(z) f dz$$

provided the integral converges. Note that we define $L : R_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n_\theta \to B_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ using a Z-invariant cut-off function (recall that $Z = P_{[U^0,U^0]}$). It follows from the proof of Lemma 3.27 that all $f \in R_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n_\theta$ are Z-invariant. Therefore $L(f) \in C^\infty(B_Z, V_{B_Z}(\theta, \varphi))$ and $\pi_*^{T,\vartheta}(L(f))$ is well-defined (up to convergence).

3.6.18 We fix an isomorphism of abelian groups $T \cong \mathbb{R}^{\dim(T)}$ preserving the Haar measure such that we obtain an euclidean structure on T and its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$. As before let $\mathcal{X}(T, V_1)$ denote the set of all unitary characters of T which are trivial on V_1. We identify $\mathcal{X}(T)$ with $\imath\mathfrak{t}^*$ and $\mathcal{X}(T, V_1)$ with a lattice in $\imath\mathfrak{t}^*$. If $f \in R_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n_\theta$, then by the Poisson summation formula

$$\pi_*^{U^0/[U^0,U^0]}(L(f)) = \sum_{\vartheta\in\mathcal{X}(T,V_1)} \pi_*^{T,\vartheta-\theta^m}(L(f))$$

in the domain of convergence.

3.6.19 Note that if $\theta^m \in \mathcal{X}(T, V_1)$, then $\theta = 0$ by the construction of M_{U^0}. If $\theta \neq 0$, then will show that $\sum_{\vartheta\in\mathcal{X}(T,V_1)} \pi_*^{T,\vartheta-\theta^m}(L(f))$ gives rise to a rapidly decreasing section for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$. If $\theta = 0$, then again $\sum_{0\neq\vartheta\in\mathcal{X}(T,V_1)} \pi_*^{T,\vartheta-\theta^m}(L(f))$ is rapidly decreasing. The remaining term $\pi_*^{T,0}(L(f))$ contributes to $S_{U^0}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \oplus L(R_{U^0}(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n_\theta)$ and depends meromorphically on λ.

3.6.20 Observe that A normalizes Z. This is a consequence of the assumption that the cusp is regular, and that the Langlands decomposition of P is adapted (Definition 1.3). It is in fact the reason for making this assumption. We see that A acts on T. In particular $\mathfrak{t}^*$ decomposes into two eigenspaces $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}_1^* \oplus \mathfrak{t}_2^*$ (we write $\vartheta = \vartheta_1 \oplus \vartheta_2$ for the corresponding decomposition of $\vartheta \in \mathfrak{t}^*$) with respect to A such that A acts on $\mathfrak{t}_i^*$ by $a^{i\alpha}$, $i = 1, 2$. We define the A-homogeneous "norm" on $\mathfrak{t}^*$ by $|\vartheta| := (|\vartheta_1|^4 + |\vartheta_2|^2)^{1/2}$. Furthermore we use the euclidean structure on $\mathfrak{t}_i$ in order to define Laplace operators $\Delta_i \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t}_i)$ and the A-homogeneous operator $\Delta := \Delta_1^2 + \Delta_2$. We fix the normalizations such that for $\vartheta \in \mathcal{X}(T)$ we have $\vartheta(\Delta^l x) = |\vartheta|^{2l}\vartheta(x)$. Let $\rho_{U^0/[U^0,U^0]} \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ be such that A acts on $\Lambda^{max}\mathfrak{t}$ by the character $a^{2\rho_{U^0/[U^0,U^0]}}$. Note that $\rho_{U^0/[U^0,U^0]} + \rho_{[U^0,U^0]} = \rho_U$.

3.6.21 Let $COP : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t}) \to \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t})$ denote the coproduct on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t})$. There are $A_{l,j}, B_{l,j}, C_{l,j} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t})$ such that

$$(COP \otimes 1) \circ COP(\Delta^l) = \sum_j A_{l,j} \otimes B_{l,j} \otimes C_{l,j} \ .$$

Let $D \mapsto \tilde{D}$ be the canonical antiautomorphism of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t})$.

Recall that $L(f)(xw) = \chi(xw)f(xw)$, where χ is Z-invariant. By $S(T)$ we denote the unit-sphere in T. For $\xi \in S(T)$ and $a \in A$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(\Delta^l \xi^a)L(f)(.w) \\
= \ & \pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(a)\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}((\Delta^l)^{a^{-1}}\xi)\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(a^{-1})\chi f(.w) \\
= \ & a^{\rho-\lambda-4l\alpha}\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(a)\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(\Delta^l\xi)\varphi(a^{-1})\chi(a.a^{-1}w)f(a.a^{-1}w) \\
= \ & a^{\lambda+\rho-2\rho^{[U^0,U^0]}-(n+4l)\alpha}\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(a)\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(\Delta^l)\varphi(\xi)\chi(a\xi^{-1}.a^{-1}w)f(\xi^{-1}.w) \\
= \ & a^{\lambda+\rho-2\rho^{[U^0,U^0]}-(n+4l)\alpha}\sum_j \pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(a)\varphi(A_{l,j}\xi)\chi(a\xi^{-1}\tilde{B}_{l,j}.a^{-1}w)f(\xi^{-1}\tilde{C}_{l,j}.w) \\
= \ & a^{2(\lambda-\rho^{[U^0,U^0]})-(n+4l)\alpha}\sum_j \varphi(a)\varphi(A_{l,j}\xi)\chi(a\xi^{-1}\tilde{B}_{l,j}a^{-1}.w)f(\xi^{-1}\tilde{C}_{l,j}a^{-1}.aw) \ . \qquad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

If $W \subset N$ is any compact subset and $D \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n})$, then there is a constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(\Delta^l\xi^a)L(f)(yDw)| \leq Ca^{2(\lambda-\rho^{[U^0,U^0]})-(n+4l)\alpha+l_\varphi}$$

for all $\xi \in S(T)$ and $a \in A_+$.

3.6.22 If $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda)$ is sufficiently small, then by partial integration for $\vartheta \in \mathcal{X}(T, V_1)$, $\vartheta - \theta^m \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_*^{Z,\vartheta-\theta^m}(L(f)) &= \int_Z (\vartheta-\theta^m)^{-1}(x)\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(x)L(f)dx \\
&= \frac{1}{|\vartheta-\theta^m|^{2l}}\int_T (\vartheta-\theta^m)^{-1}(\Delta^l x)\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(x)L(f)dx \\
&= \frac{1}{|\vartheta-\theta^m|^{2l}}\int_T (\vartheta-\theta^m)^{-1}(x)\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(\Delta^l x)L(f)dx \\
&= \frac{1}{|\vartheta-\theta^m|^{2l}}\int_{S(T)}\int_A (\vartheta-\theta^m)^{-1}(\xi^a)\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(\Delta^l\xi^a)L(f)a^{2\rho_{U^0/[U^0,U^0]}}da d\xi \ .
\end{aligned}$$

By the estimate above the integral converges locally uniformly on $\{\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < \rho^U + (n+4l)\alpha/2 - l_\varphi/2\}$, and for any compact subset of this region, $D \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n})$, and compact subset $W \subset N$ there is a constant C_1 such that

$$|\pi_*^{T,\vartheta-\theta^m}(L(f))(yDw)| \leq \frac{C_1}{|\vartheta-\theta^m|^{2l}}$$

for all $y \in W$.

3.6.23 Choosing $2l > \dim(T)$ we see that the sum

$$\sum_{\vartheta \in \mathcal{X}(T,V_1), \vartheta - \theta^m \neq 0} \pi_*^{T,\vartheta-\theta^m}(L(f))$$

converges in $C^\infty(\Omega, V(1_\lambda, \varphi))$.

3.6.24 Refining the estimates above we now show that this sum in fact converges in the space of rapidly decreasing functions. Let $W_1 \subset N \setminus N_V$ be a compact subset and $D \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n})$. It immediately follows from (16), that there is a constant C_2 such that for all $\xi \in S(T)$, $a, b \in A_+$, with $a \geq b$ and $y \in W_1$

$$|\varphi(b)^{-1}(\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(\Delta^l \xi^a)L(f))(y^b Dw)| \leq C_2 a^{2(\lambda - \rho^{[U^0,U^0]}) - (n+4l)\alpha} |\varphi(ab^{-1})| \ .$$

Let $COP(D) = \sum_h D_h^l \otimes D_h^r$ denote the coproduct of D, where here $COP : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}) \to \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n})$.

We find a constant C_3 such that for all $\xi \in S(T)$, $a, b \in A_+$, with $a \leq b$ and $y \in W_1$

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\varphi(b)^{-1}(\pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(\Delta^l \xi^a)L(f))(y^b Dw)| \\
= \ & a^{2(\lambda-\rho^{[U^0,U^0]})-(n+4l)\alpha} |\sum_{j,h} \varphi(ab^{-1})\varphi(A_{l,j}\xi)\chi(a\xi^{-1}\tilde{B}_{l,j}a^{-1}y^b D_h^l w) f(\xi^{-1}\tilde{C}_{l,j}a^{-1}y^b D_h^r aw) \\
= \ & a^{-4l\alpha} b^{2(\lambda-\rho^{[U^0,U^0]})-n\alpha} |\sum_{j,h} \varphi((A_{l,j}\xi)^{ab^{-1}})\chi(a\xi^{-1}\tilde{B}_{l,j}a^{-1}y^b D_h^l w) f((\xi^{-1}\tilde{C}_{l,j})^{b^{-1}a} y (D_h^r)^{b^{-1}} w) \\
\leq \ & C_3 b^{2(\lambda-\rho^{[U^0,U^0]})-(n+4l)\alpha} \ .
\end{aligned}$$

Using this estimate we see that

$$\varphi(b)^{-1} \sum_{\vartheta \in \mathcal{X}(T,V_1), \vartheta - \theta^m \neq 0} \pi_*^{T,\vartheta-\theta^m}(L(f))(y^b Dw)$$

can be estimated by $C b^{2(\lambda-\rho^U)-(n+4l)\alpha}$, where C can be choosen uniformly for $y \in W_1$ and λ in compact subsets of $\{\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < \rho^U + (n+4l)\alpha/2 - l_\varphi/2\}$. Since we can choose l arbitrary large we obtain a holomorphic continuation of the sum above to all of $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ and that it is rapidly decreasing with respect to b.

3.6.25 Let now $\theta = 0$ and consider $\pi_*^{T,0}(L(f))$. We write for $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda)$ sufficiently small

$$\pi_*^{T,0}(L(f)) = \int_A \int_{S(T)} \pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(\xi^a)L(f) d\xi a^{2\rho_{U^0}/[U^0,U^0]} da \ .$$

We again employ

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(\xi^a)L(f)(yw) \\
= \ & a^{2(\lambda-\rho^{[U^0,U^0]})-n\alpha} \varphi(a)\varphi(\xi)\chi(a\xi^{-1}y^{a^{-1}}a^{-1}w) f(\xi^{-1}a^{-1}yaw) \ .
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$F(a,y) := \int_{S(T)} \varphi(\xi)\chi(a\xi^{-1}ya^{-1}w)f(\xi^{-1}yw)d\xi$$

is a smooth function of y near $y=0$ which is independent of a for large a. Let

$$F(a,y^{a^{-1}}) = \sum_{q=0}^{r} F_q(y)a^{-q\alpha} + a^{-(r+1)\alpha}R_r(a,y)$$

be the asymptotic expansion for large $a \in A$ obtained from the Taylor series of $F(a,y)$ at $y=0$. The remainder $R_r(a,y)$ remains bounded as $a \to \infty$. We write

$$\pi_*^{T,0}(L(f))(yw) = I_+(y) + I_-(y) \ ,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_+(y) &= \int_{A_+} a^{2(\lambda-\rho^U)-n\alpha}\varphi(a)F(a,y^{a^{-1}})da \\ I_-(y) &= \int_{A_-}\int_{S(T)} \pi^{1_\lambda,\varphi}(\xi^a)L(f)(yw)d\xi a^{2\rho_{U^0/[U^0,U^0]}}da \ . \end{aligned}$$

The integral I_- converges for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ and defines a holomorphic family of smooth functions. We write $I_+(y) := J_r^1(y) + J_r^2(y)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} J_r^1(y) &:= \int_{A_+} a^{2(\lambda-\rho^U)-n\alpha}\varphi(a)\sum_{q=0}^{r} F_q(y)a^{-q\alpha}da \\ J_r^2(y) &:= \int_{A_+} a^{2(\lambda-\rho^U)-n\alpha}\varphi(a)a^{-(r+1)\alpha}R_r(a,y)da \ . \end{aligned}$$

The integral J_r^2 converges for $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < \rho^U + (n+r+1)\alpha/2 - l_\varphi/2$ and defines a smooth function in y. The integral J_r^1 can be evaluated:

$$J_r^1(y) = \sum_{q=0}^{r}(B + 2(\lambda-\rho^U) - (n+q)\alpha)^{-1}F_q(y) \ .$$

It obviously defines a meromorphic family of smooth functions. Since we can choose r arbitrary large we obtain a meromorphic continuation of $\pi_*^{U,1}(L(f))$ to all of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$.

3.6.26 Let now $f \in R_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda,\varphi)_0^n$. If $y \in N \setminus T$ and $b \in A$ is sufficiently large, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_*^{T,0} \circ L \circ [\pi_*^{U^0/[U^0,U^0]}](f)(y^b) &= \pi_*^{T,0} \circ \pi_*^{Z,0}(f)(y^b) \\ &= \pi_*^{P_{U^0}}(f)(y^b) \\ &= [\pi_*^{U^0}](f)(y^b) \ . \end{aligned}$$

We now have shown that if $f \in R_{[U^0,U^0]}(1_\lambda,\varphi)_\theta^n$, then $\pi_*^{U^0/[U^0,U^0]}(f) \in S_{U^0}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \oplus L(R_{U^0}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^n)$, and that $\pi_*^{U^0/[U^0,U^0]}$ depends meromorphically on λ. □

3.6.27 The finite group U/U^0 acts on $S_{U^0,k}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$ and $R_{U^0}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^n$. We can define $\{\pi^{U/U^0}\} : S_{U^0,k}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \to S_{U,k}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$, $\pi^{U/U^0} : B_{U^0}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \to B_U(1_\lambda,\varphi)$, and $[\pi_*^{U/U^0}] : R_{U^0}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^n \to R_U(1_\lambda,\varphi)^n$. Using $\pi_*^U := \pi_*^{U/U^0} \circ \pi_*^{U^0}$ and Proposition 3.26 (and its proof) we obtain

Proposition 3.29 *The composition*

$$\pi_*^U \circ L : R_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^n_\theta \to B_U(1_\lambda,\varphi)$$

converges for $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < \rho^U + (n\alpha - l_\varphi)/2$ *and has a meromorphic continuation to all of* $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ *such that* $AS \circ \pi_*^U \circ L = [\pi_*^U]$. *If* $\theta \neq 0$, *then it is in fact a holomorphic family of maps* $\pi_*^U \circ L : R_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^n_\theta \to S_U(1_\lambda,\varphi)$. *If* $\theta = 0$, *then* $\pi_*^U \circ L$ *has at most first order poles in the set* $\rho^U + \frac{n\alpha - l_\varphi}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0$.

3.6.28 Combining Proposition 3.29 with Lemma 3.23 we obtain

Corollary 3.30 *Assume that the cusp associated to* $U \subset P$ *does not have full rank. Then for any* $k_1 < k$ *the push-down* $\pi_*^U : B_{\{1\},k}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \to B_{U,k_1}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$ *forms a meromorphic family of continuous maps with finite-dimensional singularities defined on* $\{\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < \rho^U + (k\alpha - l_\varphi)/2\}$. *It fits into the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & S_{\{1\},k}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\{\pi_*^U\}"] & B_{\{1\},k}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r, "AS"] \arrow[d, "\pi_*^U"] & R_{\{1\},k}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "{[\pi_*^U]}"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & S_{U,k}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r] & B_{U,k}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r, "AS"] & R_{U,k}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r] & 0 .
\end{tikzcd}$$

Moreover we have a meromorphic family of maps $\pi_*^U : B_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \to B_U(1_\lambda,\varphi)$ *with finite-dimensional singularities and defined on all of* $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ *such that*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & S_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\{\pi_*^U\}"] & B_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r, "AS"] \arrow[d, "\pi_*^U"] & R_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "{[\pi_*^U]}"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & S_U(1_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r] & B_U(1_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r, "AS"] & R_U(1_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r] & 0 .
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative.

3.7 Push-down for cusps of full rank and for general σ

3.7.1 In this subsection (σ, V_σ) denotes a Weyl-invariant representation of M as in 2.3.4.

Let $C^{-\infty}(\infty_P, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)) \subset C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))$ be the space of distributions which are supported on ∞_P. This space can be identified P_U-equivariantly with the tensor product of a generalized Verma module by V_φ

$$(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p})} V_{\sigma_{\lambda+2\rho}}) \otimes V_\varphi$$

such that $(X \otimes s \otimes v) \in (\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p})} V_{\sigma_{\lambda+2\rho}}) \otimes V_\varphi$ maps $f \in C^\infty(\partial X, V(\tilde{\sigma}_{-\lambda},\tilde{\varphi}))$ to $(s \otimes v)(f(Xe))$. We can further identify $C^{-\infty}(\infty_P, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))$ with $R_{\{1\}}(\tilde{\sigma}_{-\lambda},\tilde{\varphi})^*$.

3.7.2 We now assume that the cusp associated to $U \subset P$ has full rank. In this case N is the Zariski closure of U^0. The space $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p})} V_{\sigma_{\lambda+2\rho}}) \otimes V_\varphi$ carries an algebraic representation of N. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} {}^U[(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p})} V_{\sigma_{\lambda+2\rho}}) \otimes V_\varphi] &\subset {}^{U^0}[(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p})} V_{\sigma_{\lambda+2\rho}}) \otimes V_\varphi] \\ &= {}^{N}[(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p})} V_{\sigma_{\lambda+2\rho}}) \otimes V_\varphi] \end{aligned}$$

is finite-dimensional since the space of highest weight vectors of the $\mathfrak{g}$-module $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p})} V_{\sigma_{\lambda+2\rho}}$ is finite-dimensional [Jan79].

3.7.3 Let $\mathcal{E}_{\infty_P}(\sigma, \varphi)$ denote the sheaf of holomorphic families $f_\nu \in {}^U C^{-\infty}(\infty_P, V(\sigma_\nu, \varphi))$. Since $\mathcal{E}_{\infty_P}(\sigma, \varphi)$ is torsion-free it is the space of sections of a unique holomorphic vector bundle $E_{\infty_P}(\sigma, \varphi)$ over $\mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$. By $E_{\infty_P}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ we denote the fibre of $E_{\infty_P}(\sigma, \varphi)$ at $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$. We will discuss this bundle in detail in Lemma 3.40.

3.7.4 We now define the function space $B_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ in the case of a cusp of full rank.

Definition 3.31 *We define* $R_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) := E_{\infty_P}(\tilde{\sigma}_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})^*$. *Furthermore we set*

$$B_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) := S_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \oplus R_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \ .$$

Let $AS : B_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to R_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ *be the projection and* $L : R_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to B_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ *be the inclusion.*

These families of spaces form trivial holomorphic bundles of Fréchet and Montel spaces over $\mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$.

3.7.5

Definition 3.32 *We define*

$$[ext^U] : E_{\infty_P}(\tilde{\sigma}_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi}) \hookrightarrow R_{\{1\}}(\tilde{\sigma}_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})^*$$

as the natural inclusion. We define the push-down

$$[\pi^U_*] : R_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to R_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$$

to be the adjoint of $[ext^U]$. *Furthermore we define*

$$(\pi^U_*)_1 : C^\infty(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \to S_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$$

by $(\pi^U_*)_1(f) := \sum_{u \in U} \pi^{\sigma_\lambda, \varphi}(u)(f_{|\Omega})$ *(convergence provided). Finally we set*

$$\pi^U_* := (\pi^U_*)_1 \oplus [\pi^U_*] : C^\infty(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \to B_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \ .$$

Note that $[\pi^U_*]$ is a holomorphic family of surjective maps. Once and for all we fix a right-inverse $[Q]$ of $[\pi^U_*]$. We leave it to the interested reader to show that the statements of Lemma 3.22 hold true for cusps of full rank as well.

3.7.6

Lemma 3.33 *The push-down* $(\pi^U_*)_1 : C^\infty(\partial X, V(1_\lambda, \varphi)) \to S_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ *converges for* $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < -l_\varphi/2$ *and has a meromorphic continuation to all of* $\mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$ *with finite-dimensional singularities.*

Proof. One checks that the corresponding parts of the proofs of Lemma 3.23 and Proposition 3.26 apply to the case of cusps of full rank as well. $\square$

3.7.7 Note that in Subsection 3.6 we have considered the push-down in the spherical case $\sigma = 1$. We now deal with the general case using the concept of twisting. We assume that $U \subset P$ defines a cusp of smaller rank and consider a Weyl invariant σ (see 2.3.4). We show the existence of a meromorphic family of push-down maps

$$\pi^U_* : C^\infty(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \to B_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$$

using twisting. We employ a finite-dimensional representation $(\pi_{\sigma,\mu}, V_{\pi_{\sigma,\mu}})$ of G as in 2.3.3. Note that

$$\pi^U_* : C^\infty(\partial X, V(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)) \to B_U(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)$$

is $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$-equivariant. Therefore we can make the following definition:

Definition 3.34 *If* $\lambda \notin I_\mathfrak{a}$, *then using Lemma 3.22, 4., we define the push-down*

$$\pi^U_* : C^\infty(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \to B_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$$

by the following coummutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & C^\infty(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \arrow[r, "i_{\sigma,\mu}"] \arrow[d, "\pi^U_*"] & C^\infty(\partial X, V(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)) \arrow[r, "Z(\lambda)"] \arrow[d, "\pi^U_*"] & C^\infty(\partial X, V(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)) \arrow[d, "\pi^U_*"] \\
0 \arrow[r] & B_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \arrow[r, "i^U_{\sigma,\mu}"] & \mathcal{B}_U(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi) \arrow[r, "Z(\lambda)"] & \mathcal{B}_U(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)
\end{tikzcd} .$$

3.7.8 It is clear that in the domain of convergence $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) \ll 0$ this definition coincides with Definition 1.8. In order to see that π^U_* extends to a meromorphic family note that $i^U_{\sigma,\mu}$ admits a meromorphic family of left inverses $j^U_{\sigma,\mu}$ (Lemma 3.22, 5.), and that we can express the push-down for σ through the spherical push-down by $j^U_{\sigma,\mu} \circ \pi^U_* \circ i^U_{\sigma,\mu}$.

3.7.9 We claim that π^U_* has finite-dimensional singularities. Note that given $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that the restriction of π^U_* to $S_{\{1\},k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \cap B_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ converges for all $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < \nu$. The rank of the singularities of π^U_* for $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < \nu$ is bounded by the codimension of $S_{\{1\},k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \cap B_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ in $B_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ which is finite. This proves the claim.

3.7.10 The following corollary is a consequence of the discussion above and Corollary 3.30.

Corollary 3.35 *Let $U \subset P$ define a regular cusp and φ be an admissible twist. Then the push-down is a meromorphic family of continuous maps $\pi_*^U : B_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \to B_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ with finite-dimensional singularities such that*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & S_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\{\pi_*^U\}"] & B_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r, "AS"] \arrow[d, "\pi_*^U"] & R_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "{[\pi_*^U]}"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & S_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r] & B_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r, "AS"] & R_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r] & 0 .
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative.

3.8 Compatibility with embedding

3.8.1 In this subsection we assume that G^n belongs to the list

$$\{Spin(1,n), SO(1,n)_0, SU(1,n), Sp(1,n)\} .$$

First assume that $U \subset P$ defines a cusp of smaller rank. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_{\{1\}}(1^{n+1}_\lambda,\varphi)^m \arrow[r, "i^*"] \arrow[d, "\pi_*^{P_U^{n+1}}"] & A_{\{1\}}(1^n_{\lambda-\zeta},\varphi)^m \arrow[d, "\pi_*^{P_U^n}"] \\
A_{P_U}(1^{n+1}_\lambda,\varphi)^m \arrow[r, "i_U^*"] & A_{P_U}(1^n_{\lambda-\zeta},\varphi)^m
\end{tikzcd} .$$

In fact, commutativity is obvious in the domain of convergence.

3.8.2 By the definition of the spaces $R_{\{1\}}(1^{n+1}_\lambda,\varphi)$, $R_{\{1\}}(1^n_{\lambda-\zeta},\varphi)$ as the spaces of asymptotics of smooth sections and the fact that that i^* maps smooth sections to smooth sections we obtain U-equivariant maps $R_{\{1\}}(1^{n+1}_\lambda,\varphi)^m \xrightarrow{i^*} R_{\{1\}}(1^n_{\lambda-\zeta},\varphi)^m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

It follows from the naturality of the image bundle Lemma 2.6 that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R_{\{1\}}(1^{n+1}_\lambda,\varphi)^m \arrow[r, "i^*"] \arrow[d, "{[\pi_*^{U,n+1}]}"] & R_{\{1\}}(1^n_{\lambda-\zeta},\varphi)^m \arrow[d, "{[\pi_*^{U,n}]}"] \\
R_U(1^{n+1}_\lambda,\varphi)^m \arrow[r, "i_U^*"] & R_U(1^n_{\lambda-\zeta},\varphi)^m
\end{tikzcd} .$$

3.8.3 On the level of Schwartz spaces we have for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S_{\{1\},k}(1^{n+1}_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r, "i^*"] \arrow[d, "\{\pi_*^{U,n+1}\}"] & S_{\{1\},k}(1^n_{\lambda-\zeta},\varphi) \arrow[d, "\{\pi_*^{U,n}\}"] \\
S_{U,k}(1^{n+1}_\lambda,\varphi) \arrow[r, "i_U^*"] & S_{U,k}(1^n_{\lambda-\zeta},\varphi)
\end{tikzcd} .$$

In order to see that i^* and i_U^* (initially defined on spaces of smooth sections) induce maps between Schwartz spaces one checks that these maps are bounded with respect to the norms $\|.\|_{k,d}$ introduced in 3.2.5. Commutativity of the diagram is clear.

3.8.4 We now easily obtain the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B_{\{1\},k}(1^{n+1}_{\lambda},\varphi) \arrow[r, "i^*"] \arrow[d, "\pi_*^{U,n+1}"] & B_{\{1\},k}(1^{n}_{\lambda-\zeta},\varphi) \arrow[d, "\pi_*^{U,n}"] \\
B_{U,k}(1^{n+1}_{\lambda},\varphi) \arrow[r, "i_U^*"] & B_{U,k}(1^{n}_{\lambda-\zeta},\varphi)
\end{tikzcd} .$$

It gives Proposition 2.3 in the case of a pure cusp of lower rank.

3.8.5 We now assume that $U \subset P^n$ defines a cusp of full rank. We are going to construct a map $i_U^* : B_U(1^{n+1}_{\lambda},\varphi) \to B_U(1^{n}_{\lambda-\zeta},\varphi)$ as the direct sum of

$$(i_U^*)_1 : B_U(1^{n+1}_{\lambda},\varphi) \to S_U(1^{n}_{\lambda},\varphi)$$

and

$$(i_U^*)_2 : B_U(1^{n+1}_{\lambda},\varphi) \to R_U(1^{n}_{\lambda-\zeta},\varphi) \ .$$

While $(i_U^*)_1$ is just the restriction of sections the definition of $(i_U^*)_2$ is more complicated. In order to verify its properties we need some results of Subsection 3.9.

3.8.6 In the following we discuss $(i_U^*)_2$. This map comes as a meromorphic family and will essentially be fixed by the condition

$$\pi_*^{U,n} \circ i^* = i_U^* \circ \pi_*^{U,n+1} \ . \tag{17}$$

The details are as follows.

3.8.7 Since $E_{\infty_P}(1^{n}_{-\lambda+\zeta},\tilde{\varphi})$ (see 3.7.3 for notation) is finite-dimensional it consists of distributions of uniformly bounded order. Further, since these distributions are supported in ∞_P we can choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $E_{\infty_P}(1^{n}_{-\mu+\zeta},\tilde{\varphi})$ pairs trivially with the space $S_{\{1\},k-2\rho_U}(1^{n}_{\mu-\zeta},\varphi)$ for all μ in some compact neighbourhood of λ. Thus we have an inclusion $E_{\infty_P}(1^{n}_{-\mu+\zeta},\tilde{\varphi}) \subset R_{\{1\},k}(1^{n}_{\mu-\zeta},\varphi)^*$ (see Definition 3.15 for notation and use the dual of (9)).

Note that the target of $(i_U^*)_2$ is the dual of $E_{\infty_P}(1^{n}_{-\lambda+\zeta},\tilde{\varphi})$. We define

$$(i_U^*)_2 : B_U(1^{n+1}_{\lambda},\varphi) \to R_U(1^{n}_{\lambda-\zeta},\varphi)$$

by the condition that

$$\langle \phi, (i_U^*)_2(f)\rangle = \langle i_*(\phi), L \circ [Q] \circ AS(f)\rangle \tag{18}$$

for all $\phi \in E_{\infty_P}(1^{n}_{-\lambda+\zeta},\tilde{\varphi})$, where $i_* : C^{-\infty}(\partial X^n, V(1^{n}_{-\lambda+\zeta},\tilde{\varphi})) \to C^{-\infty}(\partial X^{n+1}, V(1^{n+1}_{-\lambda},\tilde{\varphi}))$ is the natural inclusion adjoint to i^* (see 2.2.4), L is the split of (9), $[Q]$ is defined in 3.4.9, and $AS(f) \in R_{\{1\},k}(1^{n}_{\lambda},\varphi)$. This formula defines $(i_U^*)_2(f)$ for generic $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ where $[Q]$ is regular. The meromorphic family is given by

$$(i_U^*)_2 := (i_*)^*_{|E_{\infty_P}(1^{n}_{-\lambda+\zeta},\tilde{\varphi})} \circ L \circ [Q] \circ AS \ .$$

3.8.8

Lemma 3.36 *The definition of $(i^*_U)_2$ is independent of the choice of k, the split L and $[Q]$.*

Proof. Let $L' \circ [Q'] \circ AS'$ be defined with different choices (assume that $k' \geq k$). Let $f_\mu \in B_U(1^{n+1}_\mu, \varphi)$ be the germ of a holomorphic family near λ, and consider a family $\phi_\mu \in E_{\infty_P}(1^n_{-\mu+\zeta}, \tilde\varphi)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & AS \circ \pi^{U,n+1}_*(L' \circ [Q'] \circ AS'(f) - L \circ [Q] \circ AS(f)) \\ \overset{Cor.3.30}{=} \quad & [\pi^{U,n+1}_*] \circ AS(L' \circ [Q'] \circ AS'(f) - L \circ [Q] \circ AS(f)) \\ = \quad & [\pi^{U,n+1}_*]([Q'] \circ AS'(f) - [Q] \circ L \circ AS(f)) \\ = \quad & AS'(f) - AS(f)\ . \end{aligned}$$

We define (see Lemma 3.25 for $\{Q\}$)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \ := \ & L' \circ [Q'] \circ AS'(f) + \{Q\} \circ L(AS'(f) - AS(f)) \\ - \ & L \circ [Q] \circ AS(f)\ . \end{aligned}$$

Then by construction we have

$$\pi^{U,n+1}_*(\Delta) = 0\ . \tag{19}$$

Using results which we will prove (independently of the present stuff) in Subsection 3.9 we show that $\langle i_*(\phi), \Delta\rangle = 0$. Proposition 3.58 states an equality of two spaces defined in 3.9.14 and 3.9.16:

$$\mathrm{Ext}_U(1^{n+1}_{-\mu}, \tilde\varphi) = E_U(1^{n+1}_{-\mu}, \tilde\varphi)\ .$$

On the one hand,

$$E_U(1^{n+1}_{-\mu}, \tilde\varphi) \subset {}^U C^{-\infty}(\partial X^{n+1}, V(1^{n+1}_{-\mu}, \tilde\varphi))$$

is the space of evaluations at μ of holomorphic families of U-invariant distributions. In particular we have $i_*(\phi_\mu) \in E_U(1^{n+1}_{-\mu}, \tilde\varphi)$. On the other hand, for generic μ the space $\mathrm{Ext}_U(1^{n+1}_{-\mu}, \tilde\varphi)$ is contained in the annihilator of the kernel of $\pi^{U,n+1}_*$. Using (19) we obtain $\langle i_*(\phi_\mu), \Delta_\mu\rangle = 0$.

By our choice of k we have $\langle i_*(\phi_\mu), g\rangle = 0$ for every $g \in S_{\{1\},k-2\rho_U}(1^{n+1}_\mu, \varphi)$ and μ near λ since g vanishes at ∞_P with order larger than k. Note that $L(AS'(f_\mu) - AS(f_\mu)) \in S_{U,k}(1^{n+1}_\mu, \varphi)$. Since $\{Q\} \circ L(AS'(f_\mu) - AS(f_\mu)) \in S_{\{1\},k-2\rho_U}(1^{n+1}_\mu, \varphi)$ it follows that

$$\langle i_*(\phi_\mu), \{Q\} \circ L(AS'(f_\mu) - AS(f_\mu))\rangle = 0$$

for generic μ near λ. Finally we conclude for these μ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle i_*(\phi_\mu), L' \circ [Q]' \circ AS'(f_\mu)\rangle \\ & = \ \langle i_*(\phi_\mu), L' \circ [Q'] \circ AS'(f_\mu) + \{Q\} \circ L(AS'(f_\mu) - AS(f_\mu))\rangle \\ & = \ \langle i_*(\phi_\mu), L \circ [Q] \circ AS(f_\mu)\rangle\ . \end{aligned}$$

□

3.8.9

Lemma 3.37 *The equality (17) holds true.*

Proof. Let $f \in B_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda^{n+1}, \varphi)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi_*^{U,n+1}(f - L \circ [Q] \circ AS \circ \pi_*^{U,n+1}(f) \\ &\qquad -\{Q\}(\pi_*^{U,n+1}(f) - \pi_*^{U,n+1} \circ L \circ [Q] \circ AS \circ \pi_*^{U,n+1}(f)) \;=\; 0 \;. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

We have seen in the proof of Lemma 3.36 that $i_*(\phi)$ annihilates the kernel of $\pi_*^{U,n+1}$ (for generic λ, where all the maps are regular). We combine this fact with (20) in order to derive Equality (21) below. For all $\phi \in E_{\infty_P}(1^n_{-\lambda+\zeta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi, AS \circ i_U^* \circ \pi_*^{U,n+1}(f) \rangle &\overset{def}{=} \langle \phi, (i_U^*)_2 \circ \pi_*^{U,n+1}(f) \rangle \\ &\overset{(18)}{=} \langle i_*(\phi), L \circ [Q] \circ AS \circ \pi_*^{U,n+1}(f) \rangle \\ &= \langle i_*(\phi), L \circ [Q] \circ AS \circ \pi_*^{U,n+1}(f) \rangle \\ &\quad +\{Q\}(\pi_*^{U,n+1}(f) - \pi_*^{U,n+1} \circ L \circ [Q] \circ AS \circ \pi_*^{U,n+1}(f)) \rangle \\ &= \langle i_*(\phi), f \rangle \qquad (21) \\ &= \langle \phi, i^*(f) \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi, [\pi_*^{U,n}] \circ AS \circ i^*(f) \rangle \;. \end{aligned}$$

Since clearly $\{\pi_*^{U,n}\} \circ i^* = (i_U^*)_1 \circ \{\pi_*^{U,n+1}\}$ we conclude the required indentity (17). □

3.8.10 Let us combine the results of the present subsection into one statement. Let now $U \subset P^n$ define a cusp of arbitrary rank. Note that $(i_U^*)_2$ and therefore i_U^* may have poles in the case of a cusp of full rank. The following Proposition settles Proposition 2.3 in the case of pure cusps.

Proposition 3.38 *We have the following commutative diagram (to be understood as an identity of meromorphic families if i_U^* has poles)*

$$\begin{tikzcd} C^\infty(\partial X, V(1_\lambda^{n+1}, \varphi)) \arrow[r, "i^*"] \arrow[d, "\pi_*^{U,n+1}"] & C^\infty(\partial X^n, V(1^n_{\lambda-\zeta}, \varphi)) \arrow[d, "\pi_*^{U,n}"] \\ B_U(1_\lambda^{n+1}, \varphi) \arrow[r, "i_U^*"] & B_U(1^n_{\lambda-\zeta}, \varphi) \end{tikzcd} \;. \tag{22}$$

3.9 Extension and restriction

3.9.1 In the present subsection we assume for simplicity that the twist φ is normalized such that all its highest A-weights are zero. This differs from the convention adopted in 3.3.2. Our present convention has the effect that the dual $\tilde{\varphi}$ has the normalization adopted in 3.3.2.

Let l_φ be the highest weight of $\tilde{\varphi}$, i.e. $l_\varphi := l_{\tilde{\varphi}}$.

3.9.2 The space $C^{-\infty}(\infty_P, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))$ carries an action $\pi^{\sigma_\lambda,\varphi}$ of $P_U A$. Using the isomorphism of $P_U A$-modules

$$C^{-\infty}(\infty_P, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)) \cong (\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p})} V_{\sigma_{\lambda+2\rho}}) \otimes V_\varphi$$

and of $M_U A$-modules (compare 3.3.4)

$$(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p})} V_{\sigma_{\lambda+2\rho}}) \otimes V_\varphi \cong \mathcal{U}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}) \otimes V_{\sigma_{\lambda+2\rho}} \otimes V_\varphi$$

given by the PBW-theorem we see that A acts semisimply.

Let $C^{-\infty}(\infty_P, V(\sigma,\varphi))^n$ denote the subspace on which A acts with weight $-\lambda-\rho-n\alpha$. Then we have

$$C^{-\infty}(\infty_P, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))^n = (R_{\{1\}}(\tilde{\sigma}_{-\lambda},\tilde{\varphi})^n)^* \ .$$

3.9.3 Since the action of P_U is algebraic and $U \subset P_U$ is Zariski dense we have

$${}^U C^{-\infty}(\infty_P, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)) = {}^{P_U} C^{-\infty}(\infty_P, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)) \ .$$

Since A normalizes P_U we conclude that ${}^U C^{-\infty}(\infty_P, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))$ is an A-invariant subspace. In particular, we obtain a decomposition

$${}^U C^{-\infty}(\infty_P, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} {}^U C^{-\infty}(\infty_P, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))^n = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} {}^U (R_{\{1\}}(\tilde{\sigma}_{-\lambda},\tilde{\varphi})^n)^* \ .$$

3.9.4 Let $\mathcal{E}_{\infty_P}(\sigma,\varphi)^n$ be the sheaf of holomorphic families $f_\nu \in {}^U(R_{\{1\}}(\tilde{\sigma}_{-\nu},\tilde{\varphi})^n)^*$. This sheaf is torsion-free, and it is therefore the sheaf of holomorphic sections of a holomorphic vector bundle $E_{\infty_P}(\sigma,\varphi)^n$. By $E_{\infty_P}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n$ we denote its fibre at λ. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we define the space $\bar{Q}_(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n$ by the exact sequence

$$0 \to E_{\infty_P}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n \to {}^U(R_{\{1\}}(\tilde{\sigma}_{-\lambda},\tilde{\varphi})^n)^* \to \bar{Q}_{\infty_P}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n \to 0 \ .$$

Furthermore let $E_{\infty_P}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty E_{\infty_P}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n$ and $\bar{Q}_{\infty_P}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty \bar{Q}_{\infty_P}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n$.

Definition 3.39 *We call the elements of $E_{\infty_P}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n$ deformable. An element of ${}^U(R_{\{1\}}(\tilde{\sigma}_{-\lambda},\tilde{\varphi})^n)^*$ is called undeformable, if it represents a nontrivial class in $\bar{Q}_{\infty_P}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)^n$.*

3.9.5 Let $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{m}$. Then $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. By $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ we denote a positive root system which is compatible with the orientation of $\mathfrak{a}$. By $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{h}) \subset \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ we denote the subsystem of roots of $\mathfrak{m}$. For each $\sigma \in \hat{M}$ we define

$$\mathfrak{a}^* \ni d(\sigma) := -\rho + \max\{\frac{\langle \mu_\sigma, \varepsilon\rangle}{\langle \alpha, \varepsilon\rangle} \mid \varepsilon \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h}) \setminus \Delta^+(\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{h})\}\alpha \ , \tag{23}$$

where μ_σ is the highest weight of σ, and $\langle .,. \rangle$ is any Weyl-invariant scalar product on $\mathfrak{h}$. There is a natural action of $P_U A$ on the space $\mathrm{Pol}(N, V_{\sigma^w_{-\lambda}} \otimes V_\varphi)$ of polynomials on N with values in $V_{\sigma^w_{-\lambda}} \otimes V_\varphi$ (compare 3.3.6) given by

$$(man.f)(x) = (\sigma^w_{-\lambda} \otimes \varphi)(man) f((n^{-1}x)^{m^{-1}a^{-1}}), \quad m \in M_U, a \in A, n \in N_V \ .$$

3.9.6

Lemma 3.40 *1. There is a holomorphic family of A-equivariant maps*

$$j_\lambda : E_{\infty_P}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \rightarrow {}^{P_U}\mathrm{Pol}(N, V_{\sigma^w_{-\lambda}} \otimes V_\varphi) \ .$$

2. If $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > d(\sigma)$ *or* $\lambda \notin I_{\mathfrak{a}}$*, then* j_λ *is an isomorphism and* $\bar{Q}_{\infty_P}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) = 0$.

Proof.
3.9.7 Let

$$\hat{J}^w_\lambda : C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda)) \to C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma^w_{-\lambda}))$$

be the unnormalized Knapp-Stein intertwining operator (compare [BO00], Sec. 5, (15)). In order to fix the conventions we recall its definition. The restriction of $\hat{J}^w_\lambda$ to smooth sections is given for $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < 0$ by

$$(\hat{J}^w_\lambda) f(g) = \int_{\bar{N}} f(gw\bar{n}) d\bar{n} \ .$$

For the rest of parameters it is defined by meromorphic continuation, and it extends by continuity to distributions.
3.9.8 By

$$j_\lambda : C^{-\infty}(\infty_P, V(\sigma_\lambda)) \to \mathrm{Pol}(N, V_{\sigma^w_{-\lambda}})$$

we denote the off-diagonal part of the Knapp-Stein intertwining operator. Here we identify $\mathrm{Pol}(N, V_{\sigma^w_{-\lambda}})$ with a subspace of $C^\infty(\Omega_P, V(\sigma^w_{-\lambda}))$ such that $p \in \mathrm{Pol}(N, V_{\sigma^w_{-\lambda}})$ corresponds to $f_p \in C^\infty(\Omega_P, V(\sigma^w_{-\lambda}))$ with $f_p(xw) = p(x)$.

The off-diagonal part of $\hat{J}^w_\lambda$ maps to polynomials since it is P-equivariant and the elements of $C^{-\infty}(\infty_P, V(\sigma_\lambda))$ are P-finite. Alternatively, using the identification

$$C^{-\infty}(\infty_P, V(\sigma_\lambda)) \cong \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p})} V_{\sigma_{\lambda+2\rho}} \ ,$$

we can write

$$j_\lambda(X \otimes v)(n) = \pi^{\sigma^w_{-\lambda}}(X) f_{1_v}(nw) \ ,$$

where $1_v \in \mathrm{Pol}(N, V_{\sigma^w_{-\lambda}})$ is the constant polynomial with value $v \in V_{\sigma^w_{-\lambda}}$.

3.9.9 The map j_λ is in fact $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant, where the action $\pi^{\sigma^w_{-\lambda}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathrm{Pol}(N, V_{\sigma^w_{-\lambda}})$ is induced by the embedding $\mathrm{Pol}(N, V_{\sigma^w_{-\lambda}}) \subset C^\infty(\Omega_P, V(\sigma^w_{-\lambda}))$. Therefore $\ker(j_\lambda)$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-submodule of the Verma module $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p})} V_{\sigma_{\lambda+2\rho}}$. By [Jan79], Satz 1.17, this Verma module is irreducible for $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > d(\sigma)$. If $\lambda \notin I_{\mathfrak{a}}$, then j_λ is injective by [BO00], Lemma 6.7.

3.9.10 Since

$$\dim \mathrm{Pol}(N, V_{\sigma^w_{-\lambda}})^n = \dim C^{-\infty}(\infty_P, V(\sigma_\lambda))^n = \dim(\mathcal{U}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}) \otimes V_{\sigma_\lambda})^n \ ,$$

we conclude that j_λ is in fact an isomorphism. After tensoring with V_φ and taking U-invariants we obtain an isomorphism

$$j_\lambda : {}^U(R_{\{1\}}(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda}, \tilde\varphi)^n)^* \cong {}^{P_U}\mathrm{Pol}(N, V_{\sigma^w_{-\lambda}} \otimes V_\varphi)^n \ .$$

Since j_λ and its inverse depend holomorphically on λ we have

$${}^{P_U}\mathrm{Pol}(N, V_{\sigma^w_{-\lambda}} \otimes V_\varphi)^n \cong E_{\infty_P}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)^n$$

for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ with $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > d(\sigma)$ or $\lambda \notin I_{\mathfrak{a}}$. This proves the lemma. □

3.9.11 Recall the definition of the function spaces $B_{U,k}(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda}, \tilde\varphi)$ given in 3.21.

Definition 3.41 *We define $D_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ to be the dual space to $B_{U,k}(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda}, \tilde\varphi)$. Furthermore let $D_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) := \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} D_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$.*

As a consequence of the corresponding properties of the family of function spaces the family of spaces $D_{U,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$, forms local trivial holomorphic bundles of dual Fréchet spaces. Furthermore, the spaces $D_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ are Montel and form a direct limit of locally trivial holomorphic bundles.

3.9.12 Recall the definition of the push-down Definition 1.8. Its meromorphic continuation was finally established in Corollary 3.35.

Definition 3.42 *We define the extension map*

$$ext^U : D_U(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to D_{\{1\}}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$$

as the adjoint of the push-down

$$\pi^U_* : B_{\{1\}}(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda}, \tilde\varphi) \to B_U(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda}, \tilde\varphi) \ .$$

It follows from the corresponding properties of the push-down that the extension maps form a meromorphic family of continuous maps with finite-dimensional singularities.

3.9.13 In the remainder of the present subsection we discuss the case $\sigma = 1$. Assume that the cusp associated to $U \subset P$ has lower rank. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > -\rho^U + (l_\varphi - k\alpha)/2$ such that

$$\pi_*^U : B_{\{1\},k}(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi}) \to B_{U,k}(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})$$

is regular (compare Corollary 3.30) we also have a map

$$ext^U : D_{U,k}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to D_{\{1\},k}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$$

defined as the adjoint π_*^U. If $k_1 > k$, then $ext^U : D_{U,k}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to D_{\{1\},k_1}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ is a meromorphic family of continuous maps with finite-dimensional singularities defined for $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > -\rho^U + (l_\varphi - k\alpha)/2$.

3.9.14

Definition 3.43 *We define*

$$Ext_U(1_\lambda, \varphi) \subset D_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$$

to be the subspace of all $f \in D_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ *of the form* $ext^U(h)_\lambda$, *where* $h_\mu \in D_U(1_\mu, \varphi)$ *is a meromorphic family defined near* λ *such that* $\mu \mapsto ext^U(h)_\mu$ *is regular at* $\mu = \lambda$. *In a similar manner we define* $Ext_{U,k}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ *to by requiring in addition that* $h_\mu \in D_{U,k}(1_\mu, \varphi)$.

The subspaces $Ext_{U,k}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \subset Ext_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ are defined for $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > -\rho^U + (l_\varphi - k\alpha)/2$. The space $Ext_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ plays the role of the range of the extension.

3.9.15 It is clear that

$$Ext_U(1_\lambda, \varphi) \subset {}^U D_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda, \varphi) .$$

In order to describe to which extent the space ${}^U D_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ is exhausted by $Ext_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ we define $Q_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ to be the following quotient:

$$0 \to Ext_U(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to {}^U D_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to Q_U(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to 0 .$$

The elements in the space $Q_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ turn out to be somewhat uncontrollable. We therefore take much effort to show that this space is trivial under certain conditions.

3.9.16 We now define the space of deformable U-invariant distributions (compare Def. 3.39 for a similar definition with an additional support condition).

Definition 3.44 *We define the subspace*

$$E_U(1_\lambda, \varphi) \subset {}^U D_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$$

as the space of evaluations of germs at λ *of holomorphic families* $f_\nu \in {}^U D_{\{1\}}(1_\nu, \varphi)$. *In a similar manner we define* $E_{U,k}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \subset {}^U D_{\{1\},k}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ *as the subspace of evaluations of families with the additional property that* $f_\nu \in {}^U D_{\{1\},k}(1_\nu, \varphi)$.

3.9.17 We define the space $\bar{Q}_U(1_\lambda,\varphi)$ as the quotient

$$0 \to E_U(1_\lambda,\varphi) \to {}^UD_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \to \bar{Q}_U(1_\lambda,\varphi) \to 0 \ .$$

Definition 3.45 *An element of* ${}^UD_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$ *which represents a non-trivial class in* $\bar{Q}_U(1_\lambda,\varphi)$ *is called undeformable.*

(compare Definition 3.39).

3.9.18 It follows immediately from the definitions that

$$Ext_U(1_\lambda,\varphi) \subset E_U(1_\lambda,\varphi) \ .$$

Hence we have a surjection

$$Q_U(1_\lambda,\varphi) \to \bar{Q}_U(1_\lambda,\varphi) \ .$$

One of the goals of the present subsection is to show that

$$Ext_U(1_\lambda,\varphi) = E_U(1_\lambda,\varphi) \ .$$

Furthermore, we want to show that for many (generic) $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$ every element of ${}^UD_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$ is deformable, i.e. $Q_U(1_\lambda,\varphi) \cong 0$. The results are stated in Proposition 3.58.

3.9.19 Now we come to the definition of a left-inverse of ext^U: the restriction map res^U. We fix $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Recall the construction of the meromorphic family of right-inverses of $[\pi^U_*]$

$$[Q] : R_{U,k}(1_\lambda,\tilde{\varphi}) \to R_{\{1\},k}(1_\lambda,\tilde{\varphi})$$

from 3.4.9. Let $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be such that $k_1\alpha < k\alpha - 2\rho_U$, and let

$$\{Q\} : S_{U,k}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \to S_{\{1\},k_1}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$$

be as in Lemma 3.25. We define a meromorphic family of maps

$$Q : B_{U,k}(1_\lambda,\tilde{\varphi}) \to B_{\{1\},k_1}(1_\lambda,\tilde{\varphi})$$

by

$$Q(h) := \{Q\}\left(h - \pi^U_* \circ L \circ [Q] \circ AS(h)\right) + L \circ [Q] \circ AS(h)$$

Then one easily checks that $\pi^U_* \circ Q$ is just the inclusion $B_{U,k}(1_\lambda,\tilde{\varphi}) \to B_{U,k_1}(1_\lambda,\tilde{\varphi})$.

Definition 3.46 *We define the meromorphic family of restriction maps*

$$res^U : D_{\{1\},k_1}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \to D_{U,k}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$$

as the adjoint of Q*.*

Note that res^U depends on choices (we do not indicate these choices in the notation for the restriction map). In particular, these maps are not compatible if we change k and k_1.

3.9.20 Note that the composition $res^U \circ ext^U$ coincides with the inclusion

$$D_{U,k_1}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \hookrightarrow D_{U,k}(1_\lambda,\varphi)\ .$$

Recall the Definition 3.43 of $Ext_{U,k_1}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$.

Lemma 3.47 *Let $k, k_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$ be such that $res^U : D_{\{1\},k_1}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \to D_{U,k}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$ is defined. Furthermore we assume that $\pi^U_* : B_{\{1\}}(1_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi) \to B_U(1_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi)$ is regular. Then the restriction of res^U to $Ext_{U,k_1}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$ is independent of choices.*

Proof. This follows from $res^U \circ ext^U(f) = f$ and the fact that ext^U is regular at λ. □

3.9.21 Assume that $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$ is such that

$$\pi^U_* : B(1_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi) \to B_U(1_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi)$$

and

$$[Q] : R_U(1_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi)^n \to R_{\{1\}}(1_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi)^n$$

are regular for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We call $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$ satisfying these conditions admissible.

3.9.22 Note that $R_{\{1\}}(1_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi)^*$ is the space of those distribution sections of $V(1_\lambda,\varphi)$ that are supported at the point ∞_P. We define

$$Ext_{\infty_P}(1_\lambda,\varphi) := R_{\{1\}}(1_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi)^* \cap Ext_U(1_\lambda,\varphi)\ .$$

Recall the definition 3.9.15 of the space $Q_U(1_\lambda,\varphi)$. The point of the following lemma is that every element of $Q_U(1_\lambda,\varphi)$ can be represented by an invariant distribution supported in ∞_P. Note that

$$[ext^U] : R_U(1_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi)^* \to {}^U R_{\{1\}}(1_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi)^*$$

generates a subspace of $Ext_{\infty_P}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$, where $[ext^U]$ is the restriction of ext^U to $R_U(1_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi)^*$, or equivalently, the adjoint of $[\pi^U_*]$ (see Def. 3.20).

Lemma 3.48

1. *The family of maps $[ext^U]$ generates all of $Ext_{\infty_P}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$.*
2. *If $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$ is admissible in the sense of 3.9.21, then there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \to Ext_{\infty_P}(1_\lambda,\varphi) \to {}^U R_{\{1\}}(1_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi)^* \to Q_U(1_\lambda,\varphi) \to 0$$

of semisimple A-modules.

Proof. Recall that

$$ {}^U R_{\{1\}}(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})^* = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} {}^U (R_{\{1\}}(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})^n)^* $$

is a weight-decomposition of the A-module. Moreover, we have

$$ [ext^U] : (R_U(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})^n)^* \to (R_{\{1\}}(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})^n)^* \ . $$

If $f \in Ext_{\infty P}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ is represented by $ext^U(h)$ for some meromorphic family $h_\mu \in D_U(1_\mu, \varphi)$, then $\{res^U\}\{ext^U(h)\} = \{h\}$ vanishes at λ, where $\{h\}$ denotes the restriction of h to $S_{\{1\}}(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})$, and $\{res^U\} := \{Q\}^*$. Therefore all non-positive Laurent-coefficients of the expansion of h at λ belong to $R_U(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})^*$. Thus we can choose the family h such that $h_\mu \in R_U(1_{-\mu}, \tilde{\varphi})^*$, and such that $[ext^U](h)_\lambda = f$. This shows that $[ext^U]$ generates $Ext_{\infty P}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$, and that A acts semisimply on $Ext_{\infty P}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$.

3.9.23 It remains to show that any element of $Q_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ can be represented by some element of ${}^U R_{\{1\}}(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})^*$. Let $f \in {}^U D_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$. Then there is $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $f \in {}^U D_{\{1\},k}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$. We choose k_1 such that

$$ \{res^U\} : S_{\{1\},k}(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})^* \to S_{U,k_1}(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})^* $$

is defined. We then put $h := \{res^U\}\{f\}$. Let

$$ T : S_{U,k_1}(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})^* \to D_U(1_\lambda, \varphi) $$

be the split induced by the dual split L. We form $f - ext^U \circ T(h)$. This difference represents the same element in $Q_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ as f, but its restriction to $S_{\{1\}}(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})$ vanishes. Indeed, for g in $S_{\{1\}}(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})$ we have

$$ \langle ext^U \circ T(h), g \rangle = \langle f, \{Q\} \circ \{\pi^U_*\}(g) \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle \ . $$

□

3.9.24

Proposition 3.49 *Let $n \geq 0$. If $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ is sufficiently large, then the inclusion*

$$ Ext_{\infty P}(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n \hookrightarrow {}^U (R_{\{1\}}(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})^n)^* $$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. If U defines a cusp of full rank, then the proposition is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.40 and the definition of $[ext^U]$ (Definition 3.32). Thus we may and will assume in the following that U defines a cusp of smaller rank. Note that both spaces appearing in the proposition are finite-dimensional. The proposition is an immediate consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.50 *If $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ is sufficiently large, then we have the inequality*

$$\dim Ext_{\infty_P}(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n \ge \dim{}^U (R_{\{1\}}(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})^n)^* \ .$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.40,2, if $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ is sufficiently large we have $\dim{}^U(R_{\{1\}}(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})^n)^* = \dim{}^{P_U}\mathrm{Pol}(N, V_{1_{-\lambda}} \otimes V_\varphi)^n$, where the action of AP_U on a polynomial is given by

$$(p\, f)(n) := \varphi(p) f(n^{p^{-1}}) \ .$$

The degree-n subspace of the polynomial maps is characterized by

$$a\, f = a^{-n\alpha} f \ , \quad a \in A \ . \tag{24}$$

We now consider

$$[\pi_*^U] : R_1(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})^n \to R_U(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})^n$$

which is regular for $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda)$ sufficiently large. Its adjoint is

$$[ext^U] : (R_U(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})^*)^n \to Ext_{\infty_P}(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n \ ,$$

and we have $\dim \mathrm{im}[\pi_*^U] = \dim \mathrm{im}[ext^U]$.

3.9.25 Therefore, Lemma 3.50 follows directly from the following lemma.

Lemma 3.51 *If $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ is sufficiently large, then we have*

$$\dim \mathrm{im}[\pi_*^U] \ge \dim{}^{P_U}\mathrm{Pol}(N, V_{1_{-\lambda}} \otimes V_\varphi)^n \ .$$

Proof. Let $\langle ., . \rangle$ be some non-degenerate invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$. We define the linear subspace

$$\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^U := \{Y \in \bar{\mathfrak{n}} \mid \langle [Y, H], X \rangle = 0 \ \forall X \in \mathfrak{n}_V\} \tag{25}$$

and the submanifold $\bar{N}^U := \exp(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^U) \subset \bar{N}$. We furthermore choose a Cartan involution θ of $\mathfrak{g}$ compatible with $\mathfrak{a}$.

Lemma 3.52 *1. The submanifold $\bar{N}^U$ is AM_U-invariant.*

2. The multiplication map $\bar{N}_V \times \bar{N}^U \to \bar{N}$ is a diffeomorphism, where $\bar{N}_V := N_V^\theta$.

3. *The composition*

$$\bar{N} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{N}_V \times \bar{N}^U \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}} \bar{N}^U$$

is an AM_U-equivariant polynomial map.

Proof. The subspace $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^U$ is AM_U-invariant since $\mathfrak{n}_V$ is AM_U-invariant. The first assertion now follows from the AM_U-equivariance of the exponential map

By the A-invariance of $\bar{N}^U$, $\bar{N}_V$ and the equivariance of the multiplication, it suffices to show that the multiplication map is a diffeomorphism near $(1,1)$. Infinitesimally it is given by the map $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_V \times \bar{\mathfrak{n}}^U \to \bar{\mathfrak{n}}$, $(Y_V, Y^U) \mapsto \bar{Y}_V + Y^U$. This map is an isomorphism. In fact, the dimensions of the domain and the target coincide, and $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_V \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}^U = \{0\}$.

The last assertion follows from the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bar{\mathfrak{n}} \arrow[d, "\exp"] & \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_V \times \bar{\mathfrak{n}}^U \arrow[l, "q"'] \arrow[r, "pr"] \arrow[d, "(\exp,\exp)"] & \bar{\mathfrak{n}}^U \arrow[d, "\exp"] \\
\bar{N} & \bar{N}_V \times \bar{N}^U \arrow[l, "mult"'] \arrow[r, "\mathrm{pr}"] & \bar{N}^U
\end{tikzcd}$$

and the fact that q is an AM_U-equivariant polynomial map with a polynomial inverse. $\square$

3.9.26 Note that $\bar{N}_V AM_U$ acts on $\mathrm{Pol}(\bar{N}, V_{1_{-\lambda}} \otimes V_{\tilde{\varphi}})$ by

$$\bar{n}_V am\, f(\bar{n}) = \tilde{\varphi}(am) f((\bar{n}_V^{-1}\bar{n})^{(am)^{-1}}) \ .$$

We now consider the space

$$I(\lambda)^n := {}^{\bar{N}_V M_U}\mathrm{Pol}(\bar{N}, V_{1_{-\lambda}} \otimes V_{\tilde{\varphi}})^n \subset \mathrm{Pol}(\bar{N}, V_{1_{-\lambda}} \otimes V_{\tilde{\varphi}})^n \ ,$$

where the degree n-subspace is distinguished by the condition

$$a\, f = a^{n\alpha} f \ . \tag{26}$$

We have a degree-preserving inclusion

$$I(\lambda)^n \hookrightarrow R_1(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})^n \ .$$

Furthermore, it follows from Lemma 3.52 that the restriction to $\bar{N}^U$ induces an isomorphism

$$I(\lambda)^n \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^{M_U}\mathrm{Pol}(\bar{N}^U, 1_{-\lambda} \otimes V_{\tilde{\varphi}})^n \ .$$

Lemma 3.51 now follows from the following two assertions.

Lemma 3.53 $\dim I(\lambda)^n = \dim {}^{P_U}\mathrm{Pol}(N, V_{1_{-\lambda}} \otimes V_{\varphi})^n$

Lemma 3.54 *If $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ is sufficiently large, then the restriction of $[\pi_*^U]$ to $I(\lambda)^n$ is injective.*

3.9.27 We first show Lemma 3.53. We define $N^U := (\bar{N}^U)^\theta \subset N$. Then we have an A-equivariant diffeomorphism $N_V \times N^U \overset{mult}{\to} N$, and the projection $N \overset{\sim}{\to} N_V \times N^U \overset{\mathrm{pr}}{\to} N^U$ is an AM_U-equivariant polynomial map. These facts follow from Lemma 3.52 by an application of the Cartan involution θ. We conclude that the restriction to N^U induces an isomorphism

$$ {}^{P_U}\mathrm{Pol}(N, V_{1_{-\lambda}} \otimes V_\varphi)^n \overset{\sim}{\to} {}^{M_U}\mathrm{Pol}(N^U, V_{1_{-\lambda}} \otimes V_\varphi)^n \ . $$

Note that there is a canonical AM_U-equivariant isomorphism between $S((\mathfrak{n}^U)^*) \otimes V_\varphi$ and $\mathrm{Pol}(N^U, V_{1_{-\lambda}} \otimes V_\varphi)$. Here $S(.)$ stands for the symmetric algebra. Similarly, we have

$$ S((\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^U)^*) \otimes V_{\tilde{\varphi}} \cong \mathrm{Pol}(\bar{N}^U, V_{1_{-\lambda}} \otimes V_{\tilde{\varphi}}) \ . $$

The natural pairing between $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^U$ and $\mathfrak{n}^U := (\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^U)^\theta$ via the G-invariant form $\langle .,. \rangle$ induces a nondegenerate pairing between the two symmetric algebras above. We conclude that the spaces ${}^{M_U}\mathrm{Pol}(N^U, V_{1_{-\lambda}} \otimes V_\varphi)^n$ and ${}^{M_U}\mathrm{Pol}(\bar{N}^U, V_{1_{-\lambda}} \otimes V_{\tilde{\varphi}})^n \cong I(\lambda)^n$ are each others duals (the pairing is degree-preserving in view of the characterizations (24) and (26)). Lemma 3.53 now follows.

3.9.28 We now start with the proof of Lemma 3.54. First of all note that the push-down (see Def. 3.20)

$$ [\pi^U_*] : I(\lambda)^n \to R_U(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})^n \subset A_U(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})^n $$

is given by a convergent integral

$$ [\pi^U_*](f)(\bar{n}) = \int_{N_V} \varphi(n_V)^{-1} f(\bar{n}(n_V\bar{n})) a(n_V\bar{n})^{-\lambda-\rho} dn_V \ , \bar{n} \in \bar{N} \setminus \{1\} \ . $$

Here we have employed again the Bruhat decomposition $g = \bar{n}(g)m(g)a(g)n(g)$. The vector space $V_{\tilde{\varphi}}$ has a filtration induced by the action of A that is preserved by $M_U N_V$. The induced action of N_V on the associated graded vector space $\mathrm{Gr}(V_{\tilde{\varphi}})$ is trivial. The filtration of $V_{\tilde{\varphi}}$ induces filtrations on $I(\lambda)^n$ and $A_U(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})^n$. From the integral representation of $[\pi^U_*]$ we see that that this map preserves the filtrations and induces a map $\mathrm{Gr}[\pi^*_U] : \mathrm{Gr}I(\lambda)^n \to \mathrm{Gr}A_U(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})^n$. Since injectivity of the associated graded map implies injectivity of a filtration preserving map, Lemma 3.54 is a consequence of

Lemma 3.55 *If $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ is sufficiently large, then*

$$ \mathrm{Gr}[\pi^U_*] : \mathrm{Gr}I(\lambda)^n \to \mathrm{Gr}A_U(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})^n $$

is injective.

3.9.29 Note that

$$\mathrm{Gr}[\pi^U_*](f)(\bar{n}) = \int_{N_V} f(\bar{n}(n_V\bar{n}))a(n_V\bar{n})^{-\lambda-\rho}dn_V \ , \bar{n} \in \bar{N} \setminus \{1\} \ .$$

We define

$$c_\lambda(\bar{n}) := \mathrm{Gr}[\pi^U_*](1)(\bar{n}) = \int_{N_V} a(n_V\bar{n})^{-\lambda-\rho}dn_V \ , \bar{n} \in \bar{N} \setminus \{1\} \ .$$

We will show the following lemma.

Lemma 3.56 *For* $\bar{n}^U \in \bar{N}^U \setminus \exp(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{-2\alpha})$ *we have*

$$\frac{1}{c_\lambda(\bar{n}^U)}\mathrm{Gr}[\pi^U_*](f)(\bar{n}^U) = f(\bar{n}^U) + O(|\lambda|^{-1}) \ .$$

3.9.30 Let us first show that Lemma 3.56 implies 3.55. The natural identification $V_{1_{-\lambda}} \cong \mathbb{C}$ induces identifications $\mathrm{Pol}(\bar{N}^U, 1_{-\lambda} \otimes V_{\tilde{\varphi}})^n \cong \mathrm{Pol}(\bar{N}^U, V_{\tilde{\varphi}})^n$ for all λ.

Note that $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^U \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{-\alpha}$ is non-trivial. Otherwise we would have $\mathfrak{n}_V \cap \mathfrak{n}_\alpha = \mathfrak{n}_\alpha$ and therefore $\mathfrak{n}_V = \mathfrak{n}$, i.e., U would define a cusp of full rank. Hence we can choose a finite sequence of base points $\bar{n}^U_i \in \bar{N}^U \setminus \exp(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{-2\alpha})$ and vectors $v_i \in V_\varphi$, $i = 1, \dots, r := \dim {}^{M_U}\mathrm{Pol}(\bar{N}^U, V_{\tilde{\varphi}})^n$ such that the following map is an isomorphism:

$$\Phi : {}^{M_U}\mathrm{Pol}(\bar{N}^U, V_{\tilde{\varphi}})^n \to \mathbb{C}^r \ , f \mapsto (\langle v_1, f(n^U_1)\rangle, \dots, \langle v_r, f(n^U_r)\rangle) \ .$$

We now consider the composition

$$A(\lambda) := \Phi \circ \frac{1}{c_\lambda}\mathrm{Gr}[\pi^U_*] \circ \Phi^{-1} : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n \ .$$

Lemma 3.56 implies that

$$A(\lambda) = 1 + O(|\lambda|^{-1}) \ .$$

In particular, A is injective, if $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}$ is sufficiently large. This implies the assertion of Lemma 3.55.

3.9.31 We now show Lemma 3.56. Fix $\bar{n}^U \in \bar{N}^U \setminus \exp(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{-2\alpha})$. We set

$$\Psi(n_V) := \log a(n_V\bar{n}^U) \ , \quad g(n_V) := f(\bar{n}(n_V\bar{n}^U))$$

Then we can write

$$\int_{N_V} f(\bar{n}(n_V\bar{n}^U))a(n_V\bar{n}^U)^{-\lambda-\rho}dn_V = \int_{N_V} \mathrm{e}^{(-\lambda-\rho)\Psi(n_V)}g(n_V)dn_V \ .$$

Lemma 3.57 *The function* $\Psi(n_V)$ *has a unique non-degenerate absolute minimum* $\Psi(1) = 0$ *at* $n_V = 1$*. Furthermore, there exists a compact neighbourhood* $K \subset N_V$ *of* 1 *such that* $\alpha(\Psi(n_V)) \geq 1$ *for* $n_V \notin K$*.*

We first show that Lemma 3.57 implies 3.56. We split the integral as $\int_{N_V} = \int_K + \int_{N_V\setminus K}$. Note that $\bar{n}(N_V\bar{n}^U) \subset \bar{N}$ is a pre-compact subset. It follows that g is smooth and uniformly bounded. We approximate the first summand by a Gaussian integral at the minimum of Ψ and get

$$\int_K \mathrm{e}^{(-\lambda-\rho)(\Psi(n_V))} g(n_V) dn_V = \int_K \mathrm{e}^{(-\lambda-\rho)(\Psi(n_V))} dn_V \left(g(0) + O(\lambda^{-1})\right) .$$

Furthermore, $\int_K \mathrm{e}^{(-\lambda-\rho)(\Psi(n_V))} dn_V$ decreases at most as $|\lambda|^{-\dim N_V/2}$. We claim that the contributions $\int_{N_V\setminus K}$ decrease exponentially so that these parts of the integrals can only contribute exponentially small error terms. This claim implies Lemma 3.56.

In order to see the claim we write

$$\int_{N_V\setminus K} \mathrm{e}^{(-\lambda-\rho)(\Psi(n_V))} dn_V = \min_{n_V\in N_V\setminus K} \mathrm{e}^{(-\lambda+\alpha)(\Psi(n_V))} \int_{N_V\setminus K} \mathrm{e}^{(-\alpha-\rho)(\Psi(n_V))} dn_V .$$

The integral on the right-hand side converges, and

$$\min_{n_V\in N_V\setminus K} \mathrm{e}^{(-\lambda+\alpha)(\Psi(n_V))} \leq \mathrm{e}^{-c|\lambda|}$$

for a suitable constant $c > 0$. This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.56 under the assumption of Lemma 3.57.

3.9.32 We now show Lemma 3.57. In order to compute $a(n_V\bar{n}^U)$ we may assume that G is the subgroup of $GL(n+1,\mathbb{F})$ that preserves the $\mathbb{F}$-valued Hermitian scalar product

$$\bar{v}_0 w_n + \bar{v}_n w_0 + \bar{v}_1 w_1 + \cdots + \bar{v}_{n-1} w_{n-1} \tag{27}$$

on the right $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $\mathbb{F}^{n+1}$. We choose

$$A := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{n-1\times n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbb{R}^+ \right\}$$

and get

$$N := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & w & p - \frac{\|w\|^2}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & -\bar{w}^t \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid w \in \mathbb{F}^{n-1}\ , p \in \operatorname{im}\mathbb{F} \right\} .$$

The parametrization of N given here is via the exponential map, if we identify $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{2\alpha} \cong \mathbb{F}^{n-1} \oplus \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{F}$. Furthermore,

$$\bar{N} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\bar{v}^t & 1 & 0 \\ q - \frac{\|v\|^2}{2} & v & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid v \in \mathbb{F}^{n-1}\ , q \in \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{F} \right\} .$$

Since they are A-invariant, the subspaces $\mathfrak{n}_V \subset \mathfrak{n}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^U \subset \bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ are given in this identification as $W \oplus P \subset \mathfrak{n}$ and $V \oplus Q \subset \bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ for real subspaces $W, V \subset \mathbb{F}^{n-1}$ and $P, Q \subset \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{F}$. We consider the invariant form $\langle A, B\rangle := \mathrm{Re}\,\mathrm{Tr} AB$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. Given $W \oplus P$, the space $V \oplus Q$ is characterized by $\langle \mathrm{ad}(V \oplus W)(H), W \oplus H\rangle = 0$ (see (25)). Explicitly,

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \, , \langle \mathrm{ad}(v+q)(H), w+p\rangle = -2\mathrm{Re}(\bar{w}v^t + qp) \ .$$

Therefore we have

$$V = W^{\perp} \ , Q = P^{\perp} \tag{28}$$

with respect to the natural euclidean pairings on $\mathbb{F}^{n-1}$ and $\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{F}$. The vector $e_0 := (1, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{F}^{n+1}$ is the highest weight vector of the standard representation of G with weight α. Similarly, $e_n := (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ is the lowest weight vector with weight $-\alpha$. Let $g = \bar{n}man \in \bar{N}MAN$. If $\langle ., . \rangle$ denotes the $\mathbb{F}$-valued scalar product (27), then

$$\langle ge_0, e_n\rangle = \langle \bar{m}ane_0, e_n\rangle = \langle mane_0, \bar{n}^{-1}e_n\rangle = a^{\alpha}\langle me_0, e_n\rangle \ .$$

The subspace $e_0\mathbb{F} \cong \mathbb{F}$ is invariant under the group M. In particular, we have a homomorphism $\vartheta : M \to \mathbb{F}^*$ such that $me_0 = e_0\vartheta(m)$. It now follows that $\langle me_0, e_n\rangle = \bar{\vartheta}(m)$. Since M is compact, we have $\|\vartheta(m)\| = 1$ and therefore $a^{\alpha} = \|\langle ge_0, e_n\rangle\|$. If we parametrize $(w, p) = n_V \in N_V$ and $(v, q) = \bar{n}^U \in \bar{N}^U$ as above, then we get

$$a(n_V n^U)^{2\alpha} = \|1 - w\bar{v}^t + (p - \frac{\|w\|^2}{2})(q - \frac{\|v\|^2}{2})\|^2 \ . \tag{29}$$

We fix $(v, q) \in V \oplus Q$ with $v \neq 0$. We must show that the right-hand side has a unique absolute minimum 1 at $(w, p) = (0, 0)$, and that this minimum is non-degenerate. Using (28) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Re}(1 - w\bar{v}^t + (p - \frac{\|w\|^2}{2})(q - \frac{\|v\|^2}{2})) &= 1 + \frac{\|v\|^2\|w\|^2}{4} \\ \mathrm{Im}(1 - w\bar{v}^t + (p - \frac{\|w\|^2}{2})(q - \frac{\|v\|^2}{2})) &= pq - w\bar{v}^t - \frac{\|w\|^2}{2}q - \frac{\|v\|^2}{2}p \ . \end{aligned}$$

First of all, $a(n_V n^U)^{2\alpha} \geq 1$ and $a(1)^{2\alpha} = 1$. Moreover, if $a(n_V \bar{n}^U)^{2\alpha} = 1$, then $w = 0$. Since $\mathrm{Re}(\overline{pq}p) = \mathrm{Re}\bar{q}\|p\|^2) = 0$ we have $pq \perp \frac{\|v\|^2}{2}p$. Hence, the equality $a(n_V \bar{n}^U) = 1$ implies in addition to $w = 0$ that also $p = 0$. We thus have shown that $a(n_V \bar{n}^U)^{2\alpha}$ takes its unique absolute minimum at 1. Next we show that it is non-degenerate. The Hessian $h(p, w)$ is the part of the polynomial (29) which is quadratic in (w, p). It can be written as

$$h(w, p) := \|w\|^2 \frac{\|v\|^2}{2} + \|p(q - \frac{\|v\|^2}{2}) - w\bar{v}^t\|^2 \ .$$

If $h(w,p) = 0$, then from the first summand and $v \neq 0$ we get $w = 0$ and $p(q - \frac{\|v\|^2}{2}) = 0$. Since $(q - \frac{\|v\|^2}{2}) \neq 0$ we conclude that $p = 0$. The last assertion of Lemma 3.57 follows from $\lim_{n_V \to \infty} a(n_V \bar{n}^U)^{2\alpha} = \infty$, which is easy to check using the explicit formula (29). This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.57.

We now have also finished the proof of Proposition 3.49. □

3.9.33

Proposition 3.58 *1. We have* $Ext_U(1_\lambda, \varphi) = E_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ *for all* $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$.

2. If $\lambda \notin I_{\mathfrak{a}}$ *or* $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > -\rho$, *then* $Q_U(1_\lambda, \varphi) = 0$.

Proof.
3.9.34 As in3.9.4 let $\mathcal{E}_{\infty_P}(1, \varphi)^n$ be the torsion-free coherent sheaf on $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ of holomorphic families of U-invariant distributions supported on ∞_P, on which A acts by multiplication by the function $\lambda \mapsto a^{\lambda - \rho - n\alpha}$. By $\mathcal{E}xt_{\infty_P}(1, \varphi)^n$ we denote the subsheaf generated by the restriction of $[ext^U]$ to the homogeneous part $(R_U(1_{-.}, \tilde{\varphi})^n)^*$.

The space $Ext_{\infty_P}(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n$ is the geometric fibre of $\mathcal{E}xt_{\infty_P}(1, \varphi)^n$ for the generic set of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$, where $[ext^U]$ is regular.
3.9.35 The sheaf $\mathcal{E}_{\infty_P}(1, \varphi)^n$ is the sheaf of sections of a finite-dimensional trivial holomorphic vector bundle $E_{\infty_P}(1, \varphi)^n \to \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$. The torsion-free subsheaf $\mathcal{E}xt_{\infty_P}(1, \varphi)^n$ corresponds to a bundle $\mathrm{Ext}_{\infty_P}(1, \varphi)^n$.

This discussion shows the following: if the inclusion $Ext_{\infty_P}(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n \hookrightarrow E_{\infty_P}(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n$ is surjective at one point $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$, then it is surjective for generic λ, i.e. outside a discrete set. However, we know from Proposition 3.49 that this inclusion is surjective for many λ, hence it is so generically.
3.9.36 In view of Lemma 3.48, 2. we have

$$E_{\infty_P}(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n / Ext_{\infty_P}(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n \cong \ker\left(Q_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n \to \bar{Q}_{\infty_P}(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n\right) \ . \tag{30}$$

By 3.9.35 the quotient on the left hand side is trivial generically. The same is true for $\bar{Q}_{\infty_P}(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n$ by Lemma 3.40. We conclude that $Q_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n$ is trivial outside a discrete set.
3.9.37 We now prove the first assertion of Prop. 3.58. We know that $Ext_U(1_\lambda, \varphi) \subset E_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)$. Let now $f \in E_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ be given as the value at λ of a meromorphic family $f_\mu \in {}^U D_{\{1\},k}(1_\mu, \varphi)$ for some sufficiently large k. Let res^U be the meromorphic family of continuous maps $res^U : {}^U D_{\{1\},k}(1_\mu, \varphi) :\to D_{U,k_1}(1_\mu, \varphi)$ for suitable $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Definition 3.46). By 3.9.36 for generic

μ we can write $f_\mu = ext^U g_\mu$ for some $g_\mu \in D_{U,k}(1_\mu, \varphi)$. We conclude $ext^U \circ res^U(f_\mu) = ext^U \circ res^U \circ ext^U(g_\mu) = ext^U(g_\mu) = f_\mu$ by Lemma 3.47. Thus

$$ext^U \circ res^U(f_\mu) = f_\mu \quad \text{for all } \mu \; . \tag{31}$$

We conclude that $f \in Ext_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)$.

3.9.38 We now turn to the second assertion. The first assertion implies that the left hand side of (30) is trivial for all λ. Therefore the map $Q_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n \to \bar{Q}_{\infty_P}(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n$ is always injective. We now apply Lemma 3.40. □

3.9.39 The argument that proofs Equation (31) also shows the following.

Lemma 3.59 *The composition $ext^U \circ res^U$ is the identity on $Ext_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)$.*

3.10 The scattering matrix

3.10.1 Recall that the family of intertwining operators (see 3.9.7 for the unnormalized version and [BO00] for normalizations) forms a meromorphic family of operators. It therefore maps (holomorphic) families of invariant sections to (meromorphic) families. It follows that J_λ maps $E_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ to $E_U(1_{-\lambda}, \varphi)$ if $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$ is such that J_λ is regular (e.g. $\lambda \notin I_\mathfrak{a}$, see 1.5.16). By Proposition 3.58 we get a mapping

$$J_\lambda : Ext_U(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to Ext_U(1_{-\lambda}, \varphi) \; . \tag{32}$$

Moreover, for given $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have

$$J_\lambda : Ext_{U,k}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to Ext_{U,k_1}(1_{-\lambda}, \varphi)$$

if $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is sufficiently large.

3.10.2 We can now define the scattering matrix

$$S^U_\lambda : D_U(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to D_U(1_{-\lambda}, \varphi) \; .$$

Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and a compact subset $W \subset \mathfrak{a}^*$. Then we choose $k_0 > k$ and k_1, k_2 such that

$$J_\lambda : Ext_{U,k_0}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to Ext_{U,k_1}(1_{-\lambda}, \varphi)$$

for generic λ (e.g. non-integral) with $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) \in W$ and

$$res^U : D_{\{1\},k_1}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to D_{U,k_2}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$$

is defined (Definition 3.46) as a meromorphic family on W. Then we consider the composition

$$S_\lambda^U := res^U \circ J_\lambda \circ ext^U : D_{U,k}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to D_{U,k_2}(1_{-\lambda}, \varphi) \ .$$

By definition S_λ^U is a meromorphic family of continuous maps. Since

$$J_\lambda \circ ext^U : D_U(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to Ext_U(1_{-\lambda}, \varphi)$$

Lemma 3.47 now implies that S_λ^U is well-defined independently of the choices made for res^U.

3.10.3 Letting k tend to infinity and W run over a sequence of compact subsets exhausting $\mathfrak{a}^*$ we are arrive at the following definition.

Definition 3.60 *We define the scattering matrix as the meromorphic family of continuous maps*

$$S_\lambda^U : D_U(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to D_U(1_{-\lambda}, \varphi) \ ,$$

which is given by the composition

$$S_\lambda^U(f) := res^U \circ J_\lambda \circ ext^U(f)$$

whenever the constituents are regular.

3.10.4 If $-\lambda$ is admissible in the sense of 3.9.21 (with φ replaced by $\tilde{\varphi}$), then the push-down

$$\pi_*^U : B_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda, \tilde{\varphi}) \to B_U(1_\lambda, \tilde{\varphi})$$

is regular and admits a right-inverse. Hence it induces an isomorphism

$$B_U(1_\lambda, \tilde{\varphi}) \cong B_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda, \tilde{\varphi}) / \ker \pi_*^U \ .$$

If $\lambda \notin I_\mathfrak{a}$, then J_λ is regular. We claim that it maps $\ker \pi_*^U$ to the kernel of π_U^* at $-\lambda$. Let $f \in \ker \pi_*^U$ and $\phi \in D_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)$. By (32) there is an $\psi \in D_U(1_{-\lambda}, \varphi)$ such that $J_\lambda \circ ext^U(\phi) = ext^U(\psi)$. We find

$$\langle \pi_*^U \circ J_\lambda(f), \phi \rangle = \langle f, J_\lambda \circ ext^U(\phi) = \langle f, ext^U(\psi) \rangle = \langle \pi_*^U(f), \psi \rangle = 0 \ .$$

The claim follows. Therefore, if $\pm\lambda$ is admissible and non-integral, then the operator J_λ descends to a map

$$\tilde{S}_\lambda^U : B_U(1_\lambda, \tilde{\varphi}) \to B_U(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi}) \ . \tag{33}$$

On the other hand, we have a meromorphic family of maps given by the adjoint of the scattering matrix

$${}^tS_\lambda^U : B_U(1_\lambda, \tilde{\varphi}) \to B_U(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi}) \ .$$

Lemma 3.61 *If $\pm\lambda$ is non-integral and admissible, then we have $\tilde{S}^U_\lambda = {}^tS^U_\lambda$.*

Proof. Recall from Lemma 3.59 that $ext^U \circ res^U$ is the identity on $Ext_U(1_{-\lambda}, \varphi)$. For non-integral λ we have $Ext_U(1_{-\lambda}, \varphi) = {}^UC^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(1_{-\lambda}, \varphi))$ by Proposition 3.58, 2.

The assumptions on λ imply that $\tilde{S}^U_\lambda$ is defined, that $\pi^U_* : B_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda, \tilde{\varphi}) \to B_U(1_\lambda, \tilde{\varphi})$, $ext^U : D_U(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to D_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$, and J_λ are regular. Let $f \in B_U(1_\lambda, \tilde{\varphi})$ be given by $\pi^U_*(F)$, $F \in B_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$. Furthermore let $\phi \in D_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)$. Then we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \tilde{S}^U_\lambda(f), \phi\rangle &= \langle \pi^U_* \circ J_\lambda(F), \phi\rangle \\
&= \langle F, J_\lambda \circ ext^U(\phi)\rangle \\
&= \langle F, ext^U \circ res^U \circ J_\lambda \circ ext^U(\phi)\rangle \\
&= \langle f, S^U_\lambda(\phi)\rangle \\
&= \langle {}^tS^U_\lambda(f), \phi\rangle \ .
\end{aligned}$$

□

3.10.5 Note that the normalization of φ in the present subsection differs from that in 3.3.2, since we want $\tilde{\varphi}$ to be normalized as required there. As a consquence the space $R_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ contains summands with negative index. If we put $k_\varphi := l_\varphi/\alpha$, then we have

$$R_U(1_\lambda, \varphi) = \prod_{n=-k_\varphi}^{\infty} R_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)^n \ , \quad B_U(1_\lambda, \varphi) = \bigcap_{k \ge -k_\varphi} B_{U,k}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \ .$$

3.10.6

Lemma 3.62 *Assume that U defines a cusp of smaller rank. If $l_\varphi < 2\rho^U$, then there is a natural non-degenerate pairing between $B_{U,-k_\varphi}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ and $B_{U,0}(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})$ given by integration over B_U. We obtain an inclusion*

$$B_U(1_\lambda, \varphi) \subset B_{U,-k_\varphi}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \hookrightarrow D_U(1_\lambda, \varphi) \ .$$

Proof.
3.10.7 Let $f \in B_{U,-k_\varphi}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ and $\phi \in B_{U,0}(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})$. Let $W \subset N \setminus N_V$ be any compact subset. Then there exists a constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $\xi \in W$ and $a \in A_+$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle f(\xi^a w), \phi(\xi^a w)\rangle| &= |\langle \varphi(a)\varphi(a)^{-1} f(\xi^a w), \phi(\xi^a w)\rangle| \\
&= |\langle \varphi(a)^{-1} f(\xi^a w), \tilde{\varphi}(a)^{-1}\phi(\xi^a w)\rangle| \\
&\le C\|f\|_{-k_\varphi,0}\|\phi\|_{0,0} a^{l_\varphi - 4\rho^U} \qquad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

(see 3.8 for the definition of the norms).

3.10.8 Let $F \subset N_V$ be any compact fundamental domain for the lattice $V \subset N_V$. We can now write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{U\backslash N} |\langle f(xw), \phi(xw)\rangle| dx \\
= \; & \frac{1}{[U:U^0]} \int_{N_V\backslash N} \int_F |\langle f(yvw), \phi(yvw)\rangle| dvdy \\
= \; & \frac{1}{[U:U^0]} \int_A \int_{S(N_V\backslash N)} \int_F |\langle f(\xi^a vw), \phi(\xi^a vw)\rangle| dvd\xi a^{2\rho^U} da \\
= \; & \frac{1}{[U:U^0]} \int_A \int_{S(N_V\backslash N)} \int_F |\langle f((\xi v^{a^{-1}})^a w), \phi((\xi v^{a^{-1}})^a w)\rangle| dvd\xi a^{2\rho^U} da \\
= \; & I_+ + I_- \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

where $I_\pm$ are the integrals over $A_\pm$, respectively. Inserting (34) we obtain

$$\int_{S(N_V\backslash N)} \int_F |\langle f((\xi v^{a^{-1}})^a w), \phi((\xi v^{a^{-1}})^a w)\rangle| dvd\xi \le C_1 \|f\|_{-k_\varphi,0} \|\phi\|_{0,0} a^{l_\varphi - 4\rho^U}$$

and therefore

$$I_+ \le C_2 \|f\|_{-k_\varphi,0} \|\phi\|_{0,0} \int_{A_+} a^{l_\varphi - 2\rho^U} da \ .$$

The integral on the right-hand side converges since $l_\varphi - 2\rho^U < 0$ by assumption. Since I_- can clearly be estimated by $C_3 \|f\|_{-k_\varphi,0} \|\phi\|_{0,0}$, we have shown the lemma. $\square$

3.10.9 Using the inclusion (Lemma 3.62)

$$B_U(1_\lambda, \varphi) \hookrightarrow D_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)$$

we can consider the restriction of the scattering matrix

$$(S^U_\lambda)_{|B_U(1_\lambda,\varphi)} : B_U(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to D_U(1_{-\lambda}, \varphi) \ .$$

If $f \in B_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)$, then the restriction of the distribution $ext^U(f)$ to Ω_P is smooth. Since J_λ is pseudo-local it it follows that $J_\lambda \circ ext(f)$ is smooth on Ω_P. Hence the restriction of the distribution $S^U_\lambda(f)$ to the Schwartz space $S_U(1_\lambda, \tilde\varphi)$ is given by integration against a smooth section. The following lemma asserts that $S^U_\lambda(f) = {}^tS^U_\lambda(f) \in B_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ considered as an element of $D_U(1_{-\lambda}, \varphi)$ via Lemma 3.62.
3.10.10

Lemma 3.63 *Assume that U defines a cusp of smaller rank and that $l_\varphi < 2\rho^U$. Then we have an equality of meromorphic families*

$$(S^U_\lambda)_{|B_U(1_\lambda,\varphi)} = {}^tS^U_\lambda \ . \qquad (35)$$

Proof. By meromorphic continuation it suffices to show the equality (35) for non-integral λ in the open subset $\{|\mathrm{Re}(\lambda)| < \rho^U - l_\varphi/2\} \subset \mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $-\lambda$ is admissible in the sense of 3.9.21. Then the push-down converges at $\pm\lambda$. Let $f \in B_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda, \tilde{\varphi})$. We consider an element $\phi \in S_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$.

We view $\tilde{S}^U_\lambda \circ \pi^U_*(f)$ (see (33)) as an element of $D_U(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \tilde{S}^U_\lambda \circ \pi^U_*(f), \pi^U_*(\phi)\rangle_{B_U} &= \langle \pi^U_* \circ J_\lambda(f), \pi^U_*(\phi)\rangle_{B_U}\\
&= \langle \pi^U_* \circ J_\lambda(f), \phi\rangle_{\partial X}\\
&= \sum_{u\in U} \langle \pi^{1_{-\lambda},\tilde{\varphi}}(u) J_\lambda(f), \phi\rangle_{\partial X}\\
&= \sum_{u\in U} \langle f, \pi^{1_{-\lambda},\varphi}(u) J_\lambda(\phi)\rangle_{\partial X}\\
&= \langle f, \pi^U_* \circ J_\lambda(\phi)\rangle_{\partial X}\\
&= \langle \pi^U_*(f), \pi^U_* \circ J_\lambda(\phi)\rangle_{B_U}\\
&= \langle ext^U \circ \pi^U_*(f), J_\lambda(\phi)\rangle_{\partial X}\\
&= \langle J_\lambda \circ ext^U \circ \pi^U_*(f), \phi\rangle_{\partial X}\\
&= \langle ext^U \circ res^U \circ J_\lambda \circ ext^U \circ \pi^U_*(f), \phi\rangle_{\partial X}\\
&= \langle S^U_\lambda \circ \pi^U_*(f), \pi^U_*(\phi)\rangle_{B_U} \ .
\end{aligned}$$

Since the $\pi^U_*(\phi)$, $\phi \in S_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ (resp. $\pi^U_*(f) \in B_U(1_\lambda, \tilde{\varphi})$, $f \in B_{\{1\}}(1_\lambda, \tilde{\varphi})$), exhaust $S_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ (resp. $B_U(1_\lambda, \tilde{\varphi})$) we conclude that

$$\tilde{S}^U_\lambda(h) = S^U_\lambda(h)$$

as smooth sections for all $h \in B_U(1_\lambda, \tilde{\varphi})$ and hence

$${}^tS^U_\lambda = (S^U_\lambda)_{|B_U(1_\lambda,\varphi)}$$

by Lemma 3.61. □

3.10.11 Next we show that the scattering matrix is off-diagonally smoothing. Let $\chi, \tilde{\chi}$ be smooth cut-off functions on B_U with compact support such that $\tilde{\chi}\chi = \chi$.

Lemma 3.64 *Assume that U defines a cusp of smaller rank and that $l_\varphi < 2\rho^U$. Then we have a meromorphic family of continuous maps*

$$(1 - \tilde{\chi}) \circ S^U_\lambda \circ \chi : D_U(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to B_U(1_{-\lambda}, \varphi) \ .$$

Proof. We are going employ the fact that J_λ is off-diagonally smoothing. Let $\chi^U \in C^\infty(\Omega_P)$ be a cut-off function such that $\sum_{u\in U} u^*\chi^U \equiv 1$, and such that the restriction of the projection

$\Omega_P \to B_U$ to $\mathrm{supp}(\chi^U)$ is proper. Then there exists a cut-off function $\bar{\chi}$ on ∂X such that $\bar{\chi}\chi\chi^U = 0$ and $\bar{\chi}(1-\tilde{\chi}) = 1-\tilde{\chi}$. Finally we choose a compactly supported cut-off function $\hat{\chi}$ on B_U such that $\chi^U\bar{\chi}\hat{\chi} = 0$ and $\hat{\chi}\chi = \chi$.

Let $\phi \in S_U(1_\lambda, \tilde{\varphi})$ be any test function and $f \in D_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)$. We approximate χf by a sequence of smooth functions f_α with compact support. We can in addition assume that $\mathrm{supp} f_\alpha \subset \{\hat{\chi} = 1\}$ for all α. Then we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle(1-\tilde{\chi})S^U_\lambda(\chi f), \phi\rangle_{B_U} &= \lim\nolimits_\alpha \langle (1-\tilde{\chi})S^U_\lambda f_\alpha, \phi\rangle_{B_U} \\
&\overset{\text{Lemma 3.63}}{=} \lim\nolimits_\alpha \langle (1-\tilde{\chi})^t S^U_\lambda f_\alpha, \phi\rangle_{B_U} \\
&= \lim\nolimits_\alpha \langle f_\alpha, S^U_\lambda((1-\tilde{\chi})\phi)\rangle_{B_U} \\
&= \lim\nolimits_\alpha \langle f_\alpha, \{res^U\} \circ J_\lambda \circ ext^U((1-\tilde{\chi})\phi)\rangle_{B_U} \\
&= \lim\nolimits_\alpha \langle \chi^U f_\alpha, J_\lambda \circ ext^U((1-\tilde{\chi})\phi)\rangle_{\partial X} \\
&= \lim\nolimits_\alpha \langle \chi^U \hat{\chi} f_\alpha, J_\lambda \circ \bar{\chi} ext^U((1-\tilde{\chi})\phi)\rangle_{\partial X} \\
&= \lim\nolimits_\alpha \langle \pi^U_*(\bar{\chi} J_\lambda(\chi^U\hat{\chi} f_\alpha)), (1-\tilde{\chi})\phi\rangle_{B_U} \\
&\overset{(*)}{=} \langle \pi^U_*\left(\bar{\chi} J_\lambda(\chi^U \chi f) - \tilde{\chi}\pi^U_*(\bar{\chi} J_\lambda(\chi\chi^U f))\right), \phi\rangle_{B_U} \ .
\end{aligned}$$

In order to see the equality marked by $(*)$ note that that $\bar{\chi} J_\lambda \hat{\chi}\chi^U$ is a continuous map from $D_U(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ to $B_{\{1\}}(1_{-\lambda}, \varphi)$ (since J_λ is off-diagonal smoothing) and that $\lim_\alpha \chi^U\hat{\chi} f_\alpha = \chi^U \chi f$. The assertion of the Lemma follows from the identity proved above:

$$(1-\tilde{\chi}) \circ S^U_\lambda \circ \chi(.) = \pi^U_*\left(\bar{\chi} J_\lambda(\chi\chi^U .) - \tilde{\chi}\pi^U_*(\bar{\chi} J_\lambda(\chi\chi^U .))\right) \ .$$

□

4 The general case

4.1 The space $B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$

4.1.1 Let $\Gamma \subset G$ be a torsion-free geometrically finite discrete subgroup (see Definition 1.2) such that all its cusps are regular (Definition 1.3). Furthermore let (φ, V_φ) be an admissible twist (Definition 1.5). We are going to employ the notation introduced in Subsection 1.2.

4.1.2 On $\bar{Y}$ we choose a partition of unity $\{\chi_p\}_{p \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma \cup \{0\}}$ such that $\chi_p \in C^\infty(\bar{Y})$ and $\mathrm{supp}(\chi_p) \subset \bar{Y}_p$ for all p. Restriction of these functions to B_Γ gives a partition of unity $\{\chi_p\}_{p \in \mathcal{P}^<_\Gamma \cup \{0\}}$ on B_Γ such that $\mathrm{supp}(\chi_p) \subset B_p$. Here we denote the restriction of χ_p to the boundary by the same symbol χ_p. In a similar manner for $P \in p \in \mathcal{P}^<_\Gamma$ we let $e_P : B_p \to B_{U_P}$ denote the map defined as restriction of the map $e_P : \bar{Y}_p \to \bar{Y}_{U_P}$. Let $\chi_P \in C^\infty(\bar{Y}_{U_P})$ be the cut-off function which is supported on the range of e_P and satisfies $\chi_p = e_P^* \chi_P$.

4.1.3 Using cut-off with χ_p and the map $e_P : B_p \to B_{U_P}$ for $P \in p \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma^<$ we define maps

$$\begin{aligned} T_P &: C^\infty(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \to C^\infty(B_{U_P}, V_{B_{U_P}}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \\ T^P &: C^\infty(B_{U_P}, V_{B_{U_P}}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \to C^\infty(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \ . \end{aligned}$$

4.1.4 We define the Schwartz space for Γ as the space of smooth sections which belong to the Schwartz space 3.11 near all cusps.

Definition 4.1 *We define $S_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ to be the subspace of all $f \in C^\infty(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$ such that $T_P(f) \in S_{U_P,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ for all $P \in p \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma^<$. The inclusion*

$$S_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \hookrightarrow C^\infty(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$$

and the maps

$$T_P : S_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to S_{U_P,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$$

induce on $S_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ the structure of a Fréchet space. Furthermore we define the Fréchet and Montel space

$$S_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) := \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} S_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \ .$$

4.1.5

Lemma 4.2 *The families $\{S_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)\}_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*}$ and $\{S_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)\}_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*}$ form locally trivial holomorphic bundles.*

Proof. Let Φ_{P,λ_0} denote the trivialization constructed in Lemma 3.10 which is given by multiplication by $s_P^{(\lambda_0 - \lambda)/\alpha}$ (here we add the index P to the notation for the section s constructed in Lemma 3.9 in order to indicate its dependence on the parabolic subgroup). Let $s_p := T^P(s_P)$, where $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^<$ represents p. Let $s_0 \in C^\infty(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(1_{\rho+\alpha}, \varphi))$ be any positive section (compare 3.2.8). Then we form $s_\Gamma := \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma} s_p + \chi_0 s_0$. Multiplication by $s_\Gamma^{(\lambda_0 - \lambda)/\alpha}$ defines isomorphisms

$$\Phi_{\Gamma,\lambda_0} : S_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to S_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_{\lambda_0}, \varphi)$$

and

$$\Phi_{\Gamma,\lambda_0} : S_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to S_\Gamma(\sigma_{\lambda_0}, \varphi) \ .$$

We employ the maps Φ_{Γ,λ_0} in order to obtain the required local trivializations and to implement the holomorphic structures. □

4.1.6 Similarly to the case of the Schwartz space we define the space $B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ as the space of smooth sections with the same asymptotic expansions near the cusps as the spaces as the space defined in 3.21. Recall the notation $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{max}$ from 1.2.7.

Definition 4.3 *We define*

$$B_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) := B_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)_1 \oplus \bigoplus_{P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{max}} R_{U_P}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)\ ,$$

where

$$B_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)_1 \subset C^\infty(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))$$

is the subspace of all f such that $T_P(f)\in B_{U_P,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ for all $P\in p\in\mathcal{P}_\Gamma^<$. The map

$$B_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \hookrightarrow C^\infty(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))\ ,$$

the maps

$$T_P : B_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \to B_{U_P,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)\ ,$$

$P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^<$, and the natural projections

$$AS_P : B_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \to R_{U_P}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)\ ,$$

$P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{max}$, equip $B_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ with the structure of a Fréchet space. We further define the Fréchet and Montel space

$$B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) := \bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0} B_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)\ .$$

4.1.7 We define

$$R_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) := \bigoplus_{P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} R_{U_P,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)\ ,\quad R_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) := \bigoplus_{P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} R_{U_P}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)\ .$$

We have asymptotic term maps

$$AS_P : B_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \to R_{U_P,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)\ ,$$

$AS_P := AS\circ T_P$, where AS was defined in 3.4.11 for pure cusps. The asymptotic term maps admit right-inverses

$$L_P : R_{U_P,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \to B_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$$

given by the natural inclusion for $P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{max}$, and by $L_P := T^P\circ L$ if $P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^<$, where L was defined in 3.4.10 for pure cusps.

Let

$$AS : B_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to R_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$$

be induced by the maps AS_P and

$$L : R_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to B_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$$

be given by the sum of the maps L_P for the various $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$.

4.1.8

Lemma 4.4 *The family $\{B_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)\}_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}}$ forms a trivial holomorphic bundle of Fréchet spaces. We have a split (by L) exact sequence*

$$0 \to S_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to B_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \overset{AS}{\to} R_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to 0\ . \tag{36}$$

Furthermore, the spaces $\{B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)\}_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}}$ form a limit of locally trivial holomorpic bundles in the sense of Subsection 2.1 and fit into the exact sequence (which does not admit any continuous split)

$$0 \to S_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to R_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to 0\ .$$

Proof. The assertions follow from Lemma 4.2 and the fact that the spaces $R_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ form locally trivial holomorphic vector bundles. □

4.1.9 We now show that the spaces $B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ are compatible with twisting. Let $(\pi_{\sigma,\mu}, V_{\pi_{\sigma,\mu}})$ be a finite-dimensional representation of G as in 2.3.3.

Lemma 4.5 *1. We have holomorphic families of continuous maps (see 2.3.6 for notation)*

$$i^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu} : B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to B_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)$$

and

$$p^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu} : B_\Gamma(1_{\lambda-\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi) \to B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)\ .$$

2. $B_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)$ is a $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$-module.

3. If $\lambda \notin I_\mathfrak{a}$, then $i^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}$ maps $B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ isomorphically onto

$$\ker_\Gamma(Z(\lambda)) := \ker\{Z(\lambda) : B_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi) \to B_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)\}$$

(see 2.3.9 for notation), and the restriction of $p^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}$ to

$$\ker\{\tilde{Z}(\lambda) : B_\Gamma(1_{\lambda-\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi) \to B_\Gamma(1_{\lambda-\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)\}$$

is an isomorphism onto $B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ (here $\tilde{Z}(\lambda)$ is the adjoint of $\pi^{1_{-\lambda+\mu}\otimes\tilde{\pi}_{\sigma,\mu},\mathrm{id}_{\tilde{\varphi}}}(\Pi(\lambda))$).

4. *The composition*

$$j^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}:B_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)\overset{1-Z(\lambda)}{\to}\ker_\Gamma(Z(\lambda))\overset{(i^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu})^{-1}}{\to}B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$$

which is initially defined for $\lambda\not\in I_\mathfrak{a}$ *extends to a meromorphic family of continuous maps. Similarly, the composition*

$$q^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}:B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)\overset{(p^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu})^{-1}}{\to}\ker_\Gamma(\tilde Z(\lambda))\to B_\Gamma(1_{\lambda-\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)$$

extends to a tame meromorphic family of continuous maps.

Proof.
4.1.10 In order to see 1. we employ Lemma 3.22 2., and the identities

$$i^{U_P}_{\sigma,\mu}\circ T_P=T_P\circ i^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}\ ,\quad p^{U_P}_{\sigma,\mu}\circ T_P=T_P\circ p^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}\ .$$

4.1.11 In order to see 2. we use Lemma 3.22, 3., and the fact that $[\pi^{1_{\lambda+\mu}\otimes\pi_{\sigma,\mu},\mathrm{id}_\varphi}(A),\chi_p]$ is a differential operator with compactly supported coefficients on B_Γ for any $A\in\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$.
4.1.12 We show the first assertion of 3. and leave the second to the reader, since the argument is similar. Since $i^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}$ is $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$-equivariant it maps to $\ker_\Gamma(Z(\lambda))$ by construction of $Z(\lambda)$. Let now $f\in\ker_\Gamma(Z(\lambda))$. We claim that for any $h\in C^\infty(B_\Gamma)$ we have $Z(\lambda)(hf)=0$. Let k be the order of the differential operator $Z(\lambda)$ which is a projection. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}Z(\lambda)(hf)&=Z(\lambda)^{k+1}(hf)\\&=Z(\lambda)^k[Z(\lambda),h]f\\&=Z(\lambda)^{k-1}[Z(\lambda),[Z(\lambda),h]]f\\&\dots\\&=\underbrace{[Z(\lambda),\dots,[Z(\lambda),h]\dots]}_{k+1}f\\&=0\ .\end{aligned}$$

This shows that claim.

We conclude that $T_P(f)\in\ker_U(Z(\lambda))$ for all $P\in\tilde P$. By Lemma 3.22 we find $g_P\in B_U(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$, $P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$, such that $T_P(f)=i^{U_P}_{\sigma,\mu}(g_P)$. Let

$$f_0:=f-\sum_{P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}i^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}\circ T^P(g_P)\in C^\infty_c(B_\Gamma,V_{B_\Gamma}(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi))\ .$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.14,4. we can find $g_0\in C^\infty_c(B_\Gamma,V_{B_\Gamma}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))$ such that $f_0=i^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}(g_0)$. Thus $f=i^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}\left(\sum_{P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\cup\{0\}}g_P\right)$.

4.1.13 We show the first assertion of 4. and leave the second to the reader. As in the proof of Lemma 3.14, 5. we construct a holomorphic family

$$J : C_c^\infty(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)) \to C_c^\infty(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$$

as the composition $J := j \circ (1 - Z(\lambda))$, where

$$j : C_c^\infty(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)) \to C_c^\infty(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$$

comes from a bundle homomorphism. Then we define

$$\tilde{j}^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu} := J\chi_0(1 - Z(\lambda)) + \sum_{P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} \tilde{T}^P \circ j^{U_P}_{\sigma,\mu} \circ T_P \circ (1 - Z(\lambda)) \ .$$

Here $\tilde{T}^P$ is defined as T^P but using a cut-off function $\tilde{\chi}_p \in C^\infty(B_\Gamma)$, $P \in p$, satisfying $\mathrm{supp}(\tilde{\chi}_p) \in B_p$ and $\tilde{\chi}_p\chi_p = \chi_p$ if $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^<$. If $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{max}$, then we set $\tilde{T}^P := T^P$. One checks that $\tilde{j}^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu} \circ i^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu} = \mathrm{id}$. Since $\tilde{j}^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}$ vanishes on $\ker(1 - Z(\lambda))$ we conclude that it coincides with $j^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}$ for $\lambda \notin I_{\mathfrak{a}}$. We thus have shown that $j^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}$ extends to a meromorphic family of continuous maps. □

4.2 Push-down

4.2.1 For the first part of the present subsection we choose an Iwasawa decomposition $G = KAN$ and a parabolic subgroup $P = MAN$, $M \subset K$. Then we write $X = G/K$ and $\partial X = G/P = K/M$.

4.2.2 For $f \in C^\infty(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$ we consider the push-down

$$\pi^\Gamma_*(f) \in C^\infty(B_\Gamma, B_{B_\Gamma}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \oplus \bigoplus_{P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{max}} R_{U_P}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \ .$$

It is given by the sum

$$(\pi^\Gamma_*(f))_1 := \sum_{g\in\Gamma} \pi^{\sigma_\lambda,\varphi}(g)(f_{|\Omega_\Gamma}) \in {}^\Gamma C^\infty(\Omega_\Gamma, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$$

in the first component. Its second component

$$(\pi^\Gamma_*(f))_2 \in \bigoplus_{P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{max}} R_{U_P}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$$

will be constructed below. The goal of the present section is to show that the push-down converges for $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < -\delta_\Gamma - \delta_\varphi$ and defines a holomorphic family of maps

$$\pi^\Gamma_* : C^\infty(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \to B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \ .$$

4.2.3 In the following lemma we consider points of ∂X as subsets of K using the identification $\partial X \cong K/M$.

Lemma 4.6 *If $W \subset \Omega_\Gamma$ is compact, then $\Gamma \cap WMA_+K$ is finite.*

Proof. The set WMA_+K is a precompact subset of $X \cup \Omega_\Gamma$. Since Γ acts properly discontinuously on $X \cup \Omega_\Gamma$ the intersection of the orbit ΓK with WMA_+K is finite. □

4.2.4

Lemma 4.7 *For $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < -\delta_\Gamma - \delta_\varphi$ the sum*

$$\sum_{g \in \Gamma} \pi^{\sigma_\lambda,\varphi}(g) f_{|\Omega_\Gamma}$$

converges in $C^\infty(\Omega_\Gamma, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi))$ and defines a holomorphic family of continuous maps

$$C^\infty(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)) \to {}^\Gamma C^\infty(\Omega_\Gamma, V(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)) \ .$$

Proof. Using Lemma 4.6 we employ the same argument as in the proof of [BO00], Lemma 4.2. □

4.2.5 Next we study the behaviour of the sum $\sum_{g\in\Gamma} \pi^{\sigma_\lambda,\varphi}(g) f_{|\Omega_\Gamma}$ near the cusps. Let $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$. We choose a system Γ^P of representatives of $U_P\backslash\Gamma$ by taking in each class $[g] \in U_P\backslash\Gamma$ an element $h \in [g]$ which minimizes $\mathrm{dist}_X(\mathcal{O}, h\mathcal{O})$.

Lemma 4.8 *There is a neighbourhood $W \subset \partial X$ of ∞_P such that $WMA_+K \cap \Gamma^P$ is finite.*

Proof. Let $D(\mathcal{O}, U_P) := \{x \in X | \mathrm{dist}_X(x,\mathcal{O}) \le \mathrm{dist}_X(gx,\mathcal{O}) \ \forall g \in U_P\}$ be the Dirichlet domain of U_P. If $h \in \Gamma^P$, then $h\mathcal{O} \in D(\mathcal{O}, U_P)$. Let $\pi : D(\mathcal{O}, U_P) \to Y_{U_P}$ denote the projection and consider $E := \pi^{-1}(e_P(Y_p))$. Since $e_P : Y_p \to Y_{U_P}$ is an isometry we have $\sharp(E \cap \Gamma^P\mathcal{O}) \le 1$. Now the closure in $\bar{X}$ of $D(\mathcal{O}, U_P) \setminus E$ does not contain ∞_P and is therefore disjoint from a neighbourhood $W \subset \partial X$ of ∞_P. Since $WMA_+K \cap (D(\mathcal{O}, U_P) \setminus E)$ has a compact closure inside X we conclude that $WMA_+K \cap (D(\mathcal{O}, U_P) \setminus E)K \cap \Gamma^P$ is finite. Since $\Gamma^P \subset D(\mathcal{O}, U_P)K$ we have shown the lemma. □

4.2.6 Let $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ and W_P be a neighbourhood of ∞_P as constructed in Lemma 4.8. Using Lemma 4.8 and the arguments of the proof of [BO00], Lemma 4.2, we show

Lemma 4.9 *For* $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < -\delta_\Gamma - \delta_\varphi$ *and* $f \in C^\infty(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$ *the sum*

$$\bar{\pi}_*^P(f) := \sum_{g \in \Gamma^P} (\pi^{\sigma_\lambda,\varphi}(g) f)_{|W_P \cup \Omega_\Gamma}$$

converges in $C^\infty(W_P \cup \Omega_\Gamma, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$. *For varying* λ *the maps* $\bar{\pi}_*^P$ *form a holomorphic family of continuous maps.*

□

4.2.7 We choose a cut-off function $\kappa_P \in C_c^\infty(W_P)$ such that κ_P is equal to one near ∞_P. Then $\kappa_P \bar{\pi}_*^P(f)) \in C^\infty(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$, and we can write

$$(\pi_*^\Gamma(f))_1 = \left((\pi_*^{U_P}(\kappa_P \bar{\pi}_*^P(f)))_1 + \sum_{u \in U_P} \pi^{\sigma_\lambda,\varphi}(u)(1-\kappa_P)\bar{\pi}_*^P(f) \right)_{|\Omega_\Gamma} .$$

Here

$$(\pi_*^{U_P}(\kappa_P \bar{\pi}_*^P(f)))_1 = \pi_*^{U_P}(\kappa_P \bar{\pi}_*^P(f))$$

if the cusp associated to $U_P \subset P$ has smaller rank. The second sum is locally finite and defines a smooth section on Ω_Γ. Moreover we have ($\bar{\pi}_*^P$ was defined in Lemma 4.9)

$$\chi_P \sum_{u \in U_P} \pi^{\sigma_\lambda,\varphi}(u)(1-\kappa_P)\bar{\pi}_*^P(f)_{|\Omega_\Gamma} \in C_c^\infty(B_{U_P}, V_{B_{U_P}}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) .$$

4.2.8 We define

$$(\pi_*^\Gamma(f))_2 := \bigoplus_{P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{max}} [\pi_*^{U_P}] \circ AS(\kappa_P \bar{\pi}_*^P(f)) .$$

This definition is independent of the choice of κ_P. Finally we define $\pi_*^\Gamma(f)$ to be the sum $(\pi_*^\Gamma(f))_1 \oplus (\pi_*^\Gamma(f))_2$.

4.2.9

Lemma 4.10 *For* $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < -\delta_\Gamma - \delta_\varphi$ *the push-down* π_*^Γ *induces a holomorphic family of continuous maps*

$$\pi_*^\Gamma : C^\infty(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \to B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) .$$

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 4.7, 4.9, the observation that

$$T_P(\pi_*^\Gamma(f))_1 \in \chi_P \pi_*^{U_P}(\kappa_P \bar{\pi}_*^P(f)) + C_c^\infty(B_{U_P}, V_{B_{U_P}}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \subset B_{U_P}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \tag{37}$$

for $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^<$, and the definition of $(\pi_*^\Gamma(f))_2$. □

4.2.10 Let $\chi^\Gamma \in C^\infty(\Omega_\Gamma)$ be a smooth cut-off function such that $\sum_{g\in\Gamma} g^*\chi^\Gamma \equiv 1$. We choose χ^Γ such that it coincides with χ^{U_P} in a neighbourhood of ∞_P, and such that the restriction of the projection $\Omega_\Gamma \to B_\Gamma$ to $\mathrm{supp}(\chi^\Gamma)$ is proper. Then multiplication by χ^Γ defines a holomorphic family of right-inverses of the push-down (see Lemma 3.25)

$$\{Q\} : S_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to C^\infty(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$$

such that $\pi_*^\Gamma \circ \{Q\} = \mathrm{id}$.

4.2.11 Occasionally we will need the partial push-down

$$\pi_*^{\Gamma/U_P} : B_{U_P,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to B_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$$

which is defined as the average over Γ/U_P if the cusp associated to $U_P \subset P$ has smaller rank. If the cusp associated to $U_P \subset P$ has full rank, then we define

$$\pi_*^{\Gamma/U_P}(f \oplus v) := \pi_*^\Gamma(\{Q\}(f - (\pi_*^{U_P} \circ L \circ [Q](v))_1) + L \circ [Q](v)) \ ,$$

where

$$f \oplus v \in C^\infty(B_{U_P}, V_{B_{U_P}}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \oplus R_{U_P}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) = B_{U_P}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \ .$$

If $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^<$, then we have

$$\pi_*^{\Gamma/U_P}(f) := \pi_*^\Gamma(\{Q\}(f - \pi_*^{U_P} \circ L \circ [Q] \circ AS(f)) + L \circ [Q] \circ AS(f)) \ .$$

This formula together with (37) can be used to verify that π_*^{Γ/U_P} has the required mapping properties. In particular we conclude that if $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^<$, then the partial push-down converges for $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < -\delta_\Gamma - \delta_\varphi$ and depends holomorphically on λ. If $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{max}$, then the partial push-down is defined as a meromorphic family of continuous maps for $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < -\delta_\Gamma - \delta_\varphi$.

4.2.12 We collect the following useful identities

$$\pi_*^{\Gamma/U_P} \circ \pi_*^{U_P} = \pi_*^\Gamma, \quad \pi_*^{\Gamma/U_P} \circ T_P = \chi_p, \quad \pi_*^{\Gamma/U_P} \chi_P = T^P \ , \tag{38}$$

where $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^<$ for the last two equations and multiplication by χ_p implicitly involves a projection onto the first component. If $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{max}$, then we have

$$\pi_*^{\Gamma/U_P} \circ AS_P = AS_P \ . \tag{39}$$

4.2.13 We define $q_{\tilde{\sigma},\mu} := q_{\tilde{\sigma},\mu}^{\{1\}}$ as in Lemma 4.5, 4. (applied to the trivial group).

Lemma 4.11 *We have the following commutative diagrams of meromorphic families of maps:*

1.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C^\infty(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \arrow[r, "i_{\sigma,\mu}"] \arrow[d, "\pi^\Gamma_*"] & C^\infty(\partial X, V(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)) \arrow[r, "Z(\lambda)"] \arrow[d, "\pi^\Gamma_*"] & C^\infty(\partial X, V(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)) \arrow[d, "\pi^\Gamma_*"] \\
B_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \arrow[r, "i^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}"] & \mathcal{B}_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi) \arrow[r, "Z(\lambda)"] & \mathcal{B}_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)
\end{tikzcd} .$$

2.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C^\infty(\partial X, V(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda}, \tilde\varphi)) \arrow[r, "q_{\tilde\sigma,\mu}"] \arrow[d, "\pi^\Gamma_*"] & C^\infty(\partial X, V(1_{-\lambda-\mu}, \pi_{\tilde\sigma,\mu} \otimes \tilde\varphi)) \arrow[d, "\pi^\Gamma_*"] \\
B_\Gamma(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda}, \tilde\varphi) & B_\Gamma(1_{-\lambda-\mu}, \pi_{\tilde\sigma,\mu} \otimes \tilde\varphi) \arrow[l, "p^\Gamma_{\tilde\sigma,\mu}"']
\end{tikzcd} .$$

Proof. The push-down is $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$-equivariant. This implies commutativity of the right square of the diagram 1. The commutativity of the left square is obvious for the part involving $(\pi^\Gamma_*)_1$, and for $(\pi^\Gamma_*)_2$ we invoke Definition 3.34.

For the second diagram consider the enlarged diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C^\infty(\partial X, V(1_{-\lambda+\mu'}, \pi_{\tilde\sigma,\mu'} \otimes \tilde\varphi)) \arrow[d, "\pi^\Gamma_*"] & C^\infty(\partial X, V(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda}, \tilde\varphi)) \arrow[l, "i_{\tilde\sigma,\mu'}"] \arrow[d, "\pi^\Gamma_*"] \arrow[r, bend left, "q_{\tilde\sigma,\mu}"] & C^\infty(\partial X, V(1_{-\lambda-\mu}, \pi_{\tilde\sigma,\mu} \otimes \tilde\varphi)) \arrow[l, "p_{\tilde\sigma,\mu}"] \arrow[d, "\pi^\Gamma_*"] \\
B_\Gamma(1_{-\lambda+\mu'}, \pi_{\tilde\sigma,\mu'} \otimes \tilde\varphi) & B_\Gamma(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda}, \tilde\varphi) \arrow[l, "i^\Gamma_{\tilde\sigma,\mu'}"] & B_\Gamma(1_{-\lambda-\mu}, \pi_{\tilde\sigma,\mu} \otimes \tilde\varphi) \arrow[l, "p^\Gamma_{\tilde\sigma,\mu}"]
\end{tikzcd} .$$

The left square commutes by the Definition 3.34 of the push-down in the middle. The outer rectangle commutes by the naturality of the definition of the push-down. □

4.2.14 Let G^n be one of $\{Spin(1,n), SO(1,n)_0, SU(1,n), Sp(1,n)\}$. Recall the definition of i^*_Γ given in Subsection 2.2.

Lemma 4.12 *We have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C^\infty(\partial X^{n+m}, V(1^{n+1}_\lambda, \varphi)) \arrow[r, "i^*"] \arrow[d, "\pi^{\Gamma,n+1}_*"] & C^\infty(\partial X^n, V(1^n_{\lambda-\zeta}, \varphi)) \arrow[d, "\pi^{\Gamma,n}_*"] \\
B_\Gamma(1^{n+1}_\lambda, \varphi) \arrow[r, "i^*_\Gamma"] & B_\Gamma(1^n_{\lambda-\zeta}, \varphi)
\end{tikzcd} .$$

Proof. We use Proposition 3.38. □

4.3 Extension, restriction, and the scattering matrix

4.3.1 We now define the distribution spaces $D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ associated to Γ. These spaces are the domain of the extension ext^Γ and the Eisenstein series (see Definition 1.15).

Definition 4.13 *We define $D_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ to be the dual space to $B_{\Gamma,k}(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi)$. Furthermore let $D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) := \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0} D_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$.*

Lemma 4.4 has the following consequence:

Corollary 4.14 *The spaces $\{D_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)\}_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}}$, form a trivial holomorphic bundle of dual Fréchet spaces and fit into the split exact sequence*

$$0 \to R_{\Gamma,k}(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi)^* \overset{AS^*}{\to} D_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \to S_{\Gamma,k}(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi)^* \to 0\ .$$

The spaces $\{D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)\}_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}}$, are dual Fréchet and Montel spaces, and they form a direct limit of trivial bundles. Furthermore we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to R_\Gamma(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi)^* \overset{AS^*}{\to} D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \to S_\Gamma(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi)^* \to 0\ .$$

Let $(\pi_{\sigma,\mu},V_{\pi_{\sigma,\mu}})$ be a finite-dimensional representation of G as in Subsection 2.3.

4.3.2 The following Lemma states that the distribution spaces are compatible with twisting.

Lemma 4.15

1. *$D_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)$ is a $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$-module.*
2. *There is a natural inclusion $i^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu} : D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi) \to D_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)$ which identifies $D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda,\varphi)$ with*
$$\ker_\Gamma(Z(\lambda)) := \ker(Z(\lambda) : D_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi) \to D_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi))$$
for $\lambda\notin I_\mathfrak{a}$.
3. *Moreover, the map*
$$j^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu} : D_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi) \overset{1-Z(\lambda)}{\longrightarrow} \ker_\Gamma(Z(\lambda)) \overset{(i^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu})^{-1}}{\longrightarrow} D_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu},\pi_{\sigma,\mu}\otimes\varphi)$$
extends to a tame meromorphic family of continuous maps.

Proof. We employ Lemma 4.5. The map $i^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}$ is defined as the adjoint of

$$p^\Gamma_{\tilde\sigma,\mu} : B_\Gamma(1_{-\lambda-\mu},\pi_{\tilde\sigma,\mu}\otimes\tilde\varphi) \to B_\Gamma(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi)\ .$$

$j^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}$ is just the adjoint of

$$q^\Gamma_{\tilde\sigma,\mu} : B_\Gamma(\tilde\sigma_{-\lambda},\tilde\varphi) \to B_\Gamma(1_{-\lambda-\mu},\pi_{\tilde\sigma,\mu}\otimes\tilde\varphi)\ .$$

□

4.3.3

Definition 4.16 *For* $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > \delta_\Gamma + \delta_\varphi$ *we define the extension map*

$$ext^\Gamma : D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$$

to be the adjoint of the push-down

$$\pi_*^\Gamma : C^\infty(\partial X, V(\tilde{\sigma}_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})) \to B_\Gamma(\tilde{\sigma}_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi}) \ .$$

The extension ext^Γ is a holomorphic family of continuous maps and has values in Γ-invariant distributions. We will also need the partial extensions $ext^{\Gamma/U_P} : D_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to D_{U_P,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$, which are defined as the adjoints of π_*^{Γ/U_P}. Taking the adjoint of the relations (38) and (39) we obtain

$$ext^{U_P} \circ ext^{\Gamma/U_P} = ext^\Gamma, \quad T_P^* \circ ext^{\Gamma/U_P} = \chi_p, \quad \chi_P ext^{\Gamma/U_P} = (T^P)^*$$

(where $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^<$ for the last two, and multiplication by χ_p implicitly involves projection onto the first component), and

$$AS_P^* \circ ext^{\Gamma/U_P} = AS_P^* \ ,$$

if $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{max}$.

4.3.4 The space $D_{\{1\},k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ defined in Def. 3.41 depends on the choice of a parabolic subgroup P. In the present subsection we write $D_{P,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ for that space and let

$$D_{\{1\},k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) := \bigcap_{p \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma, P \in p} D_{P,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \ .$$

Using the relation $ext^{U_P} \circ ext^{\Gamma/U_P} = ext^\Gamma$ we see that

$$ext^\Gamma : D_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to D_{\{1\},k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \ ,$$

and that it is holomorphic as a map $ext^\Gamma : D_{\Gamma,k}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to D_{\{1\},k_1}(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$ for any $k_1 > k$ (and, of course, for $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > \delta_\Gamma + \delta_\varphi$).

4.3.5 From now on we consider the spherical case $\sigma = 1$. We introduce the restriction maps

$$res^\Gamma : D_{\{1\},k}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to D_{\Gamma,k_1}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$$

defined for all k and suitable $k_1 \geq k$ depending on k. The map res^Γ is a refinement of the naive restriction

$$\{res^\Gamma\} : C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(1_\lambda, \varphi)) \to S_\Gamma(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi})^*$$

which is given as the adjoint of $\{Q\}$ (see 4.2.10). We define the meromorphic family of maps res^Γ by

$$res^\Gamma(f) := \pi_*^\Gamma(\chi^\Gamma \chi_0 f) + \sum_{P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}} T_P^* \circ res^{U_P}(f) \ , \qquad (40)$$

where we interprete T_P^* as AS_P^*, if $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{max}$. Here π_*^Γ simply stands for the average over Γ. It is well-defined and holomorphic for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ since $\chi^\Gamma \chi_0 f \in C_c^{-\infty}(\Omega_\Gamma, V(1_\lambda, \varphi))$ and thus $\pi_*^\Gamma(\chi^\Gamma \chi_0 f) \in C_c^{-\infty}(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(1_\lambda, \varphi))$. Alternatively, one could write $\chi_0\{res^\Gamma\}(f)$ for $\pi_*^\Gamma(\chi^\Gamma\chi_0 f)$. Like the maps res^{U_P} (see Definition 3.46) the restriction map res^Γ depends on choices.
4.3.6 A priori the composition $res^\Gamma \circ ext^\Gamma$ is meromorphic and depends on many choices. The following lemma shows that the situation is much better. It generalizes 3.9.20.

Lemma 4.17 *The composition $res^\Gamma \circ ext^\Gamma$ is regular and coincides with the inclusion of*

$$D_{\Gamma,k}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to D_{\Gamma,k_1}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \ .$$

Proof. Assume that $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ is such that res^Γ is regular. Note that we still assume $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > \delta_\Gamma + \delta_\varphi$ in order ensure convergence of ext^Γ. Let $f \in D_{\Gamma,k}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$. It is easy to see that $\pi_*^\Gamma(\chi^\Gamma \chi_0 ext^\Gamma(f)) = \chi_0 f$. Furthermore, if $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^<$, then

$$\begin{aligned} T_P^* \circ res^{U_P} \circ ext^\Gamma(f) &= T_P^* \circ res^{U_P} \circ ext^{U_P} \circ ext^{\Gamma/U_P}(f) \\ &= T_P^* \circ ext^{\Gamma/U_P}(f) \\ &= \chi_P f \ . \end{aligned}$$

If $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{max}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} AS_P^* \circ res^{U_P} \circ ext^\Gamma(f) &= AS_P^* \circ res^{U_P} \circ ext^{U_P} \circ ext^{\Gamma/U_P}(f) \\ &= AS_P^* \circ ext^{\Gamma/U_P}(f) \\ &= AS_P(f) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Summing these equations over $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} \cup \{0\}$, then we obtain the desired identity

$$res^\Gamma \circ ext^\Gamma(f) = f \ .$$

Since this equation holds true for generic λ, the assertion of the lemma follows. □

4.3.7 We also have the following useful identity

$$T_P^* \circ res^{U_P} = \chi_p res^\Gamma$$

for all $P \in p \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma^<$.

4.3.8 Consider $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ and recall the Definition 3.43 of $Ext_{U_P}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$. If λ is admissible (for P) in the sense of 3.9.21, then on this space the restriction res^{U_P} is well-defined independent of choices.

We now say that $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ is admissible, if it is admissible for all $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$. Furthermore we define

$$^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(1_\lambda, \varphi))^0 := {}^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(1_\lambda, \varphi)) \cap \bigcap_{P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}} Ext_{U_P}(1_\lambda, \varphi) \ .$$

It immediately follows from (40) that res^Γ is well-defined on this space independent of choices.

4.3.9 If we assume that $\lambda \notin I_{\mathfrak{a}}$ or $\lambda > -\rho$, then

$$^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(1_\lambda, \varphi))^0 = {}^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(1_\lambda, \varphi))$$

by Prop. 3.58, 2. Thus res^Γ is pointwise well-defined at admissible λ satisfying these additional conditions.

4.3.10

Definition 4.18 *For* $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > \delta_\Gamma + \delta_\varphi$ *we define the scattering matrix*

$$S_\lambda^\Gamma : D_\Gamma(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to D_\Gamma(1_{-\lambda}, \varphi)$$

as a meromorphic family of operators, which is given by

$$S_\lambda^\Gamma := res^\Gamma \circ J_\lambda \circ ext^\Gamma$$

provided $-\lambda$ *is non-integral and admissible in the sense of 4.3.8.*

Using the scattering matrices for the cusps (Definition 3.60) we can rewrite the formula for S_λ^Γ as follows:

$$S_\lambda^\Gamma = \pi_*^\Gamma \circ \chi^\Gamma \chi_0 J_\lambda \circ ext^\Gamma + \sum_{P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}} T_P^* \circ S_\lambda^{U_P} \circ ext^{\Gamma/U_P} \ . \tag{41}$$

Using this formula and the results of 3.10.2 we see that S_λ^Γ is a well-defined meromorphic family of continuous maps.

4.3.11 As in the case of pure cusps (Lemma 3.62) we obtain a natural inclusion

$$B_\Gamma(1_\lambda, \varphi) \hookrightarrow D_\Gamma(1_\lambda, \varphi)$$

provided that $2\rho^{U_P} > l_{\varphi_{|U_P}}$ for all $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^<$. Note that $l_{\varphi_{|U_P}} = 2\delta_{\varphi_{|U_P}}$.

Lemma 4.19 *We assume that all cusps of* Γ *have smaller rank. Assume that* $\delta_{\varphi_{|U_P}} < \rho^{U_P}$ *for all* $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$. *Then the restriction of the scattering matrix to* $B_\Gamma(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ *induces a meromorphic family of continuous maps*

$$(S_\lambda^\Gamma)_{|B_\Gamma(1_\lambda, \varphi)} : B_\Gamma(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to B_\Gamma(1_{-\lambda}, \varphi) \ .$$

Proof. First note that if $f \in B_\Gamma(1_\lambda,\varphi)$ (considered as distribution), then $ext^\Gamma(f)$ is smooth on Ω_Γ. It follows that $J_\lambda \circ ext^\Gamma(f)$ is smooth on Ω_Γ, and hence $S^\Gamma_\lambda(f) = res^\Gamma \circ J_\lambda \circ ext^\Gamma(f)$ is represented by a smooth function. We choose a cut-off function $\tilde\chi_P$ on B_{U_P} which is supported in $e_P(B_p)$ such that $\tilde\chi_P\chi_P = \chi_P$. In order to see that $S^\Gamma_\lambda(f) \in B_\Gamma(1_{-\lambda},\varphi)$ we employ Equation (41). Let $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_P \circ S^\Gamma_\lambda(f) &= T_P(\chi^\Gamma \chi_0 J_\lambda \circ ext^\Gamma(f)) \qquad (42)\\ &\quad + T_P \circ T_P^* \circ S_\lambda^{U_P} \circ ext^{\Gamma/U_P}(f) \\ &= T_P(\chi^\Gamma \chi_0 J_\lambda \circ ext^\Gamma(f)) \qquad (43)\\ &\quad + \chi_P^2 S_\lambda^{U_P} \circ \tilde\chi_P ext^{\Gamma/U_P}(f) \qquad (44)\\ &\quad + \chi_P^2 S_\lambda^{U_P} \circ (1-\tilde\chi_P) ext^{\Gamma/U_P}(f)\ . \qquad (45) \end{aligned}$$

The term (43) belongs to $C_c^\infty(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(1_\lambda,\varphi))$. We have

$$\tilde\chi_P ext^{\Gamma/U_P}(f) = (\tilde T^P)^*(f) = \tilde T_P(f) \in B_{U_P}(1_{-\lambda},\varphi)\ ,$$

where $\tilde T_P, \tilde T^P$ are defined as T_P, T^P, but using $\tilde\chi_P$ instead of χ_P. We can now apply Lemma 3.63 to (44) and Lemma 3.64 to (45) in order to see that these terms belong to $B_\Gamma(1_{-\lambda},\varphi)$. □

4.4 Vanishing results

4.4.1 If $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ is admissible in the sense of 4.3.8 and $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > -\rho$, then res^Γ is well-defined on ${}^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(1_\lambda,\varphi))$ (see 4.3.9).

4.4.2 Let $f \in {}^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(1_\lambda,\varphi))$. The condition $\{res^\Gamma\}(f) = 0$ (see 4.3.5) is equivalent to the condition that the support of f as a distribution is contained in the limit set Λ_Γ.

In the case that Γ is convex cocompact we know from [BO00], Thm. 4.7 that the space

$$\{f \in {}^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(1_\lambda,\varphi)) | \{res^\Gamma\}(f) = 0\}$$

is trivial if $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > \delta_\Gamma + \delta_\varphi$. Already the example of pure cusps shows that this is not true in the presence of cusps.

Note that in the convex-cocompact case $\{res^\Gamma\} = res^\Gamma$. It is at the heart of the matter that for geometrically finite groups Γ and $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda)$ large the stronger condition $res^\Gamma(f) = 0$ implies $f = 0$. In [BO02] we introduced a related condition "f is strongly supported on the limit set" in order to show such vanishing results.

4.4.3

Proposition 4.20 *We assume that λ is admissible in the sense of 4.3.8 and satisfies*

$$\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > \max\left(\{\delta_\Gamma\} \cup \{\rho_{U_P} \mid P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\}\right) + \delta_\varphi \ . \tag{46}$$

Let $f \in {}^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(1_\lambda, \varphi))$. If $res^\Gamma(f) = 0$, then $f = 0$.

Proof.
4.4.4 Let λ be admissible and $f \in {}^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(1_\lambda, \varphi))$. From the defining formula (40) for res^Γ we conclude that $res^\Gamma(f) = 0$ if and only if f is supported on Λ_Γ and $res^{U_p}(f) \in C_c^{-\infty}(B_{U_P}, V_{B_{U_P}}(1_\lambda, \varphi)) \subset D_{U_P}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ for all $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$. The latter condition implies that

$$res^{U_P}(f) = \{res^{U_P}\}(f) \tag{47}$$

for all $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$.
4.4.5 If $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > -\rho^{U_P}$ and $h \in C^\infty(\partial X, V(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi}))$, then Proposition 3.58, 2, Lemma 3.59, and Equation (47) imply

$$\langle f, h\rangle = \langle ext^{U_P} \circ res^{U_P}(f), h\rangle = \langle \{res\}^{U_P}(f), \pi_*^{U_P}(h)\rangle$$

and therefore

$$\langle f, h\rangle = \sum_{u \in U_P} \langle \chi^{U_P} f, \tilde{\varphi}(u) h(u^{-1}.)\rangle \ . \tag{48}$$

Thus, if $res^\Gamma(f) = 0$, then f is supported on the limit set and satisfies (48) for all $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$, $h \in C^\infty(\partial X, V(1_{-\lambda}, \tilde{\varphi}))$. These are precisely the defining conditions for being "strongly supported on the limit set" in the sense of [BO02]. The main result of [BO02] now states that an element $f \in {}^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(1_\lambda, \varphi))$ that is "strongly supported on the limit set" vanishes provided that (46) holds. The proposition follows. □

4.4.6

Corollary 4.21 *Assume $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ satisfies (46). If $f_\mu \in {}^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(1_\mu, \varphi))$ is a germ of a meromorphic family at λ, then $ext^\Gamma \circ res^\Gamma(f_\mu) = f_\mu$.*

Proof. We apply res^Γ, and we use $res^\Gamma \circ ext^\Gamma = \mathrm{id}$ and the injectivity of res^Γ for generic μ near λ proved in Proposition 4.20. □

.

4.5 Meromorphic continuations and general σ

4.5.1 Recall that we have defined ext^Γ for $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > \delta_\Gamma + \delta_\varphi$. The scattering matrix S^Γ_λ was defined on the same range of λ for the trivial M-type 1.

In the present subsection we extend the definition of the scattering matrix to general M-types. Further we show that the extension and the scattering matrix have meromorphic continuations to all of $\mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$. This finishes the proof of theorems 1.9, 1.11, and 1.14.

4.5.2 We start with the meromorphic continuation of S^Γ_λ to a certain half-plane $W \subset \mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$ in the spherical case. Under these conditions we can construct a meromorphic family of parametrices for S^Γ_λ with finite-dimensional singularities. In a second step we employ twisting in order to show meromorphy of the extension and the scattering matrix on all of $\mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$ and for general σ.

4.5.3

Proposition 4.22 *The extension*

$$ext^\Gamma : D_\Gamma(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(1_\lambda, \varphi))$$

and the scattering matrix

$$S^\Gamma_\lambda : D_\Gamma(1_\lambda, \varphi) \to D_\Gamma(1_{-\lambda}, \varphi)$$

have meromorphic continuations to

$$W := \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > -\rho + \beta\} ,$$

where $\beta = 0$ for $X = H\mathbb{R}^n, H\mathbb{C}^n$, and $\beta = 2\alpha$ for $X = H\mathbb{H}^n$. The family ext^Γ has finite-dimensional singularities.

Proof.

4.5.4 We first show the proposition under an additional assumption on Γ and φ.

Lemma 4.23 *We assume that*

$$\delta_\Gamma + \delta_\varphi < -\max\left(\{0\} \cup \{\rho_{U_P} + \delta_\varphi \mid P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\}\right) . \tag{49}$$

Then the extension ext^Γ and the scattering matrix S^Γ_λ have a meromorphic continuation to the half-plane W. The family ext^Γ has finite-dimensional singularities.

Proof.

4.5.5 The additional assumption in particular implies that $\rho^{U_P} > \delta_\varphi \ge 0$ for all $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$. Therefore all cusps of Γ have smaller rank, and there is an embedding

$$B_\Gamma(1_\lambda,\varphi) \hookrightarrow D_\Gamma(1_\lambda,\varphi)$$

(see 4.3.11). We consider the non-empty open subset

$$U := \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}} \mid \max\{\delta_\Gamma + \delta_\varphi, -\rho+\beta\} < \mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < \rho - \beta\} \subset \mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}} \ .$$

Based on Lemma 4.19 we first consider the restriction of the scattering matrix to $B_\Gamma(1_\lambda,\varphi)$. For $\lambda \in U$ we construct a parametrix (see 4.1.3 for T_P and 4.1.13 for $\tilde{T}^P$)

$$Q_{-\lambda} : B_\Gamma(1_{-\lambda},\varphi) \to B_\Gamma(1_\lambda,\varphi)$$

of S^Γ_λ by

$$Q_{-\lambda}(f) := \pi^\Gamma_*(\chi^\Gamma \chi_0 J_{-\lambda}(\chi^\Gamma \tilde{\chi}_0 f)) + \sum_{P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} T^*_P \circ S^{U_P}_{-\lambda} \circ (\tilde{T}^P)^*(f) \ .$$

Here $\tilde{\chi}_0$ is some cut-off function on B_Γ of compact support such that $\chi_0\tilde{\chi}_0 = \chi_0$, and $\tilde{T}^P$ is defined in the same way as T^P but using a cut-off function $\tilde{\chi}_p$ with support on B_p such that $\chi_p\tilde{\chi}_p = \chi_p$.

Note that $Q_{-\lambda}$ has a continuous extension to a map

$$Q_{-\lambda} : D_\Gamma(1_{-\lambda},\varphi) \to D_\Gamma(1_\lambda,\varphi) \ .$$

This is clear for the second term $\sum_{P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} T^*_P \circ S^{U_P}_{-\lambda} \circ (\tilde{T}^P)^*$ since the scattering matrices $S^{U_P}_{-\lambda}$ extend to distributions. The map $f \mapsto \chi^\Gamma\chi_0 J_{-\lambda}(\chi^\Gamma\tilde{\chi}_0 f)$ extends to a continuous map from $D_\Gamma(1_{-\lambda},\varphi)$ to $C^{-\infty}_c(\Omega_\Gamma, V(1_\lambda,\varphi))$. The push-down extends to a continuous map from $C^{-\infty}_c(\Omega_\Gamma, V(1_\lambda,\varphi))$ to $C^{-\infty}_c(B_\Gamma, V_{B_\Gamma}(1_\lambda,\varphi))$. This implies that the first term $\pi^\Gamma_*(\chi^\Gamma\chi_0 J_{-\lambda}(\chi^\Gamma\tilde{\chi}_0 f))$ extends to distributions, too.

4.5.6 We claim that for $|\mathrm{Re}(\lambda)| < \rho-\beta$ the scattering matrix $S^{U_P}_\lambda$ has at most finite-dimensional singularities. To see the claim we write $S^{U_P}_\lambda = res^{U_P} \circ J_\lambda \circ ext^{U_P}$. Since J_λ is regular and bijective for these λ, and ext^{U_P} has finite-dimensional singularities, the only term which may contribute infinite-dimensional singularities is res^{U_P}.

Since $\{res^{U_P}\}$ is always regular the singular part of res^{U_P} has values in the space $R_{U_P}(1_\lambda,\tilde{\varphi})^*$. Now $S^{U_P}_\lambda$ is the continuous extension of its restriction to the dense subspace $B_{U_P}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$. Since $S^{U_P}_\lambda$ maps $B_{U_P}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$ to $B_{U_P}(1_{-\lambda},\varphi)$ the range of the singular part of $S^{U_P}_\lambda$ does not contain non-trivial elements of $R_{U_P}(1_\lambda,\tilde{\varphi})^*$.

Let $D_{U_P}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^0 \subset D_{U_P}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$ be a closed subspace of finite codimension on which ext^{U_P} is regular and put $B_{U_P}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^0 := D_{U_P}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^0 \cap B_{U_P}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$. Then $B_{U_P}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^0$ has finite codimension in $B_{U_P}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$, and the closure of $B_{U_P}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^0$ in $D_{U_P}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$ is $D_{U_P}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^0$. Looking at Laurent expansions we conclude that the restriction of $S_\lambda^{U_P}$ to $B_{U_P}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^0$ and hence to $D_{U_P}(1_\lambda,\varphi)^0$ is regular. This proves the claim.

We conclude that for $\pm\lambda \in U$ the family Q_λ has at most finite-dimensional singularities.

4.5.7 We define the remainder

$$R_\lambda := Q_{-\lambda} \circ S_\lambda^\Gamma - \mathrm{id}\ .$$

We are going to show that R_λ is a meromorphic family of smoothing operators with finite-dimensional singularities. We start with

$$\begin{array}{rcl} R_\lambda(f) & = & Q_{-\lambda} \circ S_\lambda^\Gamma(f) - f \\ & = & \pi_*^\Gamma(\chi^\Gamma\chi_0 J_{-\lambda}(\chi^\Gamma\tilde\chi_0 S_\lambda^\Gamma(f))) \\ & & + \sum_{P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} T_P^* S_{-\lambda}^{U_P}(\tilde T^P)^* S_\lambda^\Gamma(f) - f \end{array}$$

Next we insert the definition $S_\lambda^\Gamma = res^\Gamma \circ J_\lambda \circ ext^\Gamma$ and the definition of res^Γ (40). We obtain

$$\begin{array}{rcl} R_\lambda(f) & = & \pi_*^\Gamma(\chi^\Gamma\chi_0 J_{-\lambda}(\chi^\Gamma\tilde\chi_0\pi_*^\Gamma(\chi^\Gamma\chi_0 J_\lambda \circ ext^\Gamma(f)))) \\ & & + \sum_{P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} \pi_*^\Gamma(\chi^\Gamma\chi_0 J_{-\lambda}(\chi^\Gamma\tilde\chi_0 T_P^* res^{U_P} \circ J_\lambda \circ ext^\Gamma(f))) \\ & & + \sum_{P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} T_P^* S_{-\lambda}^{U_P}(\tilde T^P)^*\pi_*^\Gamma(\chi^\Gamma\chi_0 J_\lambda \circ ext^\Gamma(f)) \\ & & + \sum_{P,Q\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} T_P^* S_{-\lambda}^{U_P}(\tilde T^P)^* T_Q^*(res^{U_Q} \circ J_\lambda \circ ext^\Gamma(f)) - f\ . \end{array}$$

Now we employ

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \chi^\Gamma\tilde\chi_0\pi_*^\Gamma\chi^\Gamma\chi_0 & = & \chi^\Gamma\chi_0 \\ \chi^\Gamma\tilde\chi_0 \sum_{P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} T_P^* \circ res^{U_P} & = & \tilde\chi_0(1-\chi_0)\chi^\Gamma \\ \sum_{Q\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} (\tilde T^P)^* T_Q^* res^{U_Q} + (\tilde T^P)^*\pi_*^\Gamma\chi^\Gamma\chi_0 & = & \tilde\chi_P res^{U_P} \\ res^{U_P} \circ J_\lambda \circ ext^\Gamma & = & S_\lambda^{U_P} \circ ext^{\Gamma/U_P} \end{array}$$

in order to obtain

$$\begin{array}{rcl} R_\lambda(f) & = & \pi_*^\Gamma(\chi^\Gamma\chi_0 J_{-\lambda}(\chi^\Gamma\tilde\chi_0 J_\lambda \circ ext^\Gamma(f))) \\ & & + \sum_{P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} T_P^* S_{-\lambda}^{U_P}(\tilde\chi_P S_\lambda^{U_P} \circ ext^{\Gamma/U_P}(f)) - f\ . \end{array}$$

Using the functional equations of the intertwining operators and scattering matrices we can further write

$$\begin{aligned} R_\lambda(f) &= \pi^\Gamma_*(\chi^\Gamma\chi_0 ext^\Gamma(f)) \\ &\quad -\pi^\Gamma_*(\chi^\Gamma\chi_0 J_{-\lambda}((1-\chi^\Gamma\tilde\chi_0)J_\lambda\circ ext^\Gamma(f))) \\ &\quad +\sum_{P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} T^*_P ext^{\Gamma/U_P}(f) \\ &\quad -\sum_{P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} T^*_P S^{U_P}_{-\lambda}((1-\tilde\chi_P)S^{U_P}_\lambda\circ ext^{\Gamma/U_P}(f)) - f \\ &= -\pi^\Gamma_*(\chi^\Gamma\chi_0 J_{-\lambda}((1-\chi^\Gamma\tilde\chi_0)J_\lambda\circ ext^\Gamma(f))) \\ &\quad -\sum_{P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} T^*_P S^{U_P}_{-\lambda}((1-\tilde\chi_P)S^{U_P}_\lambda\circ ext^{\Gamma/U_P}(f)) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\chi^\Gamma\chi_0(1-\chi^\Gamma\tilde\chi_0)=0$, and that $J_{-\lambda}$ is off-diagonal smoothing. Furthermore note that $\chi_P(1-\tilde\chi_P)=0$, and that $S^{U_P}_{-\lambda}$ is off-diagonal smoothing by Lemma 3.64. We conclude that R_λ extends to a meromorphic family of continuous maps

$$R_\lambda : D_\Gamma(1_\lambda,\varphi)\to B_\Gamma(1_\lambda,\varphi)$$

with finite-dimensional singularities. Since $J_0=\mathrm{id}$ and $S^{U_P}_0=\mathrm{id}$ we have $R_0=0$.

4.5.8 We define the Banach space $S_{\Gamma,0,0}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$ to be the subspace of all $f\in C(B_\Gamma,V_{B_\Gamma}(1_\lambda,\varphi))$ such that $T_P(f)\in S_{U_P,0,0}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$ for all $P\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ (see 3.2.5). Then we have compact inclusions

$$B_\Gamma(1_\lambda,\varphi)\hookrightarrow S_{\Gamma,0,0}(1_\lambda,\varphi)\hookrightarrow D_\Gamma(1_\lambda,\varphi)$$

(in order to see the second inclusion use Lemma 3.62). The family of Banach spaces $\{S_{\Gamma,0,0}(1_\lambda,\varphi)\}_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}}$ forms a trivial holomorphic bundle.

4.5.9 We now apply meromorphic Fredholm theory [RS78], Thm. VI.13, (or better its version for families of operators on Banach spaces) to the family

$$1+R_\lambda : S_{\Gamma,0,0}(1_\lambda,\varphi)\to S_{\Gamma,0,0}(1_\lambda,\varphi)\ .$$

As in [BO00], Lemma 3.6, we conclude that $(1+R_\lambda)^{-1}$ exists as a meromorphic family of maps on $S_{\Gamma,0,0}(1_\lambda,\varphi)$ of the form $(1+T_\lambda)$, where T_λ is a meromorphic family of continuous operators from $D_\Gamma(1_\lambda,\varphi)$ to $B_\Gamma(1_\lambda,\varphi)$ with finite-dimensional singularities.

4.5.10 We see that the inverse of the scattering matrix is given on U by

$$(S^\Gamma_\lambda)^{-1} := (1+R_\lambda)^{-1}Q_{-\lambda}\ .$$

as a meromorphic family and with finite-dimensional singularities.

4.5.11 We have $-U \cup \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \mid \mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > \delta_\Gamma + \delta_\varphi\} = W$. By our assumption the set

$$W_0 = \left\{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \mid \delta_\Gamma + \delta_\varphi < \mathrm{Re}(\lambda) < -\delta_\varphi - \max\left(\{\delta_\Gamma\} \cup \{\rho_{U_P} \mid P \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\}\right)\right\}$$

is non-empty. By Corollary 4.21 we find for $\lambda \in W_0$ the meromorphic identity

$$\begin{aligned} S^\Gamma_{-\lambda} \circ S^\Gamma_\lambda &= res^\Gamma \circ J_{-\lambda} \circ ext^\Gamma \circ res^\Gamma \circ J_\lambda \circ ext^\Gamma \\ &= res^\Gamma \circ J_{-\lambda} \circ J_\lambda \circ ext^\Gamma \\ &= res^\Gamma \circ ext^\Gamma \\ &= \mathrm{id}\ . \end{aligned}$$

Thus defining $S^\Gamma_\lambda := (S^\Gamma_{-\lambda})^{-1}$ for $-\lambda \in U$ we obtain the continuation of S^Γ_λ to W.

4.5.12 We obtain the meromorphic continuation of ext^Γ to W with finite-dimensional singularities using the identity

$$ext^\Gamma = J_{-\lambda} \circ ext^\Gamma \circ S^\Gamma_\lambda\ .$$

See the proof of [BO00], Lemma 5.11, for the corresponding argument. □

4.5.13 The following lemma finishes the proof of Proposition 4.22.

Lemma 4.24 *Lemma 4.23 holds true without the assumption (49).*

Proof. We are going to employ the embedding trick. First we assume that G^n belongs to the list $\{Spin(1,n), SO(1,n)_0, SU(1,n), Sp(1,n)\}$. If we consider $\Gamma \subset G^n$ as a subgroup of G^{n+m}, then for sufficiently large m the inequality (49) is satisfied. Indeed, all ingredients of (49) but δ_Γ are independent of m, while $\delta^{n+m}_\Gamma = \delta^n_\Gamma - m\zeta$, $\zeta = \rho^{n+1} - \rho^n$.

It thus suffices to show that a meromorphic continuation of $ext^{\Gamma,n+m}$ implies a meromorphic continuation of $ext^{\Gamma,n}$. Assume that we have a meromorphic continuation of $ext^{\Gamma,n+m}$ to $W^{n+m} := \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \mid \mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > -\rho^{n+m} + \beta\}$ with finite-dimensional singularities. Then we employ the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(1^n_\lambda, \varphi)) & C^{\infty}(\partial X^{n+m}, V(1^{n+m}_{\lambda-m\zeta}, \varphi)) \arrow[l, "j^*"'] \\ D_\Gamma(1^n_\lambda, \varphi) \arrow[u, "ext_*^{\Gamma,n}"] \arrow[r, "i^\Gamma_*"] & D_\Gamma(1^{n+m}_{\lambda-m\zeta}, \varphi) \arrow[u, "ext_*^{\Gamma,n+m}"] \end{tikzcd}$$

which apriori holds in the domain of convergence $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > \delta^n_\Gamma + \delta^n_\varphi$ by Lemma 4.12. Note that the left-inverse j^* of i^* was constructed in Lemma 2.5 (or better its iterate for the m-fold embedding). We can define the meromorphic continuation to $W^n = W^{n+m} + m\zeta$ of $ext^{\Gamma,n}$ using the identity $ext^{\Gamma,n} = j^* \circ ext^{\Gamma,n+m} \circ i^\Gamma_*$.

Let now G be general. Then we can find a subgroup $\Gamma^0 \subset \Gamma$ of finite index to which we can apply the concept of embedding (see 2.2.10). In particular we obtain a meromorphic continuation of ext^{Γ^0} to W. If we identify $D_\Gamma(1_\lambda, \varphi)$ with the subspace ${}^{\Gamma/\Gamma^0}D_{\Gamma^0}(1_\lambda, \varphi)$, then we obtain the meromorphic continuation of ext^Γ using the identity $ext^\Gamma = ext^{\Gamma^0}_{|D_\Gamma(1_\lambda,\varphi)}$.

Using the meromorphic continuation of $ext^{\Gamma,n}$ we then obtain the meromorphic continuation of the scattering matrix S^Γ_λ by $S^\Gamma_\lambda = res^\Gamma \circ J_\lambda \circ ext^\Gamma$. □

4.5.14 In Proposition 4.22 we have obtained (in the spherical case) a meromorphic extension of ext^Γ and the scattering matrix S^Γ_λ to a half space $W \subset \mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$. In the following argument, using twisting, we find the meromorphic continuation of these objects to all of $\mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$.

4.5.15 Let σ be a Weyl invariant representation of M, and $(\pi_{\sigma,\mu}, V_{\pi_{\sigma,\mu}})$ be a finite-dimensional representation of G as in 2.3.3. The adjoint of the diagram 2. of Lemma 4.11 gives the following commutative diagram of meromorphic families of maps

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \arrow[r, "i^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}"] \arrow[d, "ext^\Gamma"] & D_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi) \arrow[d, "ext^\Gamma"] \\
C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) & C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)) \arrow[l, "j_{\sigma,\mu}"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{50}$$

for $\mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > \delta_\Gamma + \delta_\varphi$. Using Proposition 4.22 we conclude that

$$ext^\Gamma : D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) \to C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi))$$

is meromorphic on the set $W - \mu$. Since we can choose μ arbitrary large we obtain the meromorphic continutaion of ext^Γ to all of $\mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$.

From (50), injectivity of $i_{\sigma,\mu}$, and Proposition 4.22 we conclude that ext^Γ has finite-dimensional singularities. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.11. The adjoint of the extension is the push-down. Therefore Theorem 1.9 follows from 1.11.

4.5.16 Let for a moment be $\sigma = 1$. Using the meromorphic continuation of the extension we obtain the meromorphic continuation of the scattering matrix to all of $\mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$ by the formula $S^\Gamma_\lambda = res^\Gamma \circ J_\lambda \circ ext^\Gamma$. In order to proceed similarly for general σ we first have to define the restriction map in this situation.

Let now σ be a Weyl-invariant representation of M and $(\pi_{\sigma,\mu}, V_{\pi_{\sigma,\mu}})$ be a finite-dimensional representation of G as in 2.3.3. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define restriction maps

$$res^\Gamma : {}^\Gamma C^{-k}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \to D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)$$

using the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C^{-k}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi)) \arrow[r, "i_{\sigma,\mu}"] \arrow[d, "res^\Gamma"] & C^{-k}(\partial X, V(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi)) \arrow[d, "res^\Gamma"] \\
D_\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda, \varphi) & D_\Gamma(1_{\lambda+\mu}, \pi_{\sigma,\mu} \otimes \varphi) \arrow[l, "j^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu}"']
\end{tikzcd} .$$

The right column depends on choices (including μ), and so does the left column. But it is easy to check that

$$res^\Gamma \circ ext^\Gamma = \mathrm{id} \tag{51}$$

4.5.17 Note that (50) implies the meromorphic identity $ext^\Gamma \circ j^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu} = j_{\sigma,\mu} \circ ext^\Gamma$. Let $f_\nu \in {}^\Gamma C^{-\infty}(\partial X, V(\sigma_\nu, \varphi))$ be a meromorphic family defined on *all* of $\mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$. We compute for $\nu \gg 0$ using Corollary 4.21 and any set of choices for res^Γ

$$\begin{aligned}
ext^\Gamma \circ res^\Gamma(f_\nu) &= ext^\Gamma \circ j^\Gamma_{\sigma,\mu} \circ res^\Gamma \circ i_{\sigma,\mu}(f_\nu) \\
&= j_{\sigma,\mu} \circ ext^\Gamma \circ res^\Gamma \circ i_{\sigma,\mu}(f_\nu) \\
&= j_{\sigma,\mu} \circ i_{\sigma,\mu}(f_\nu) \\
&= f_\nu \ . \qquad (52)
\end{aligned}$$

Formula (51) implies that ext^Γ is injective on families. Thus we can conclude from (52) that $res^\Gamma(f_\nu)$ is independent of choices.

4.5.18 Now we can define the scattering matrix for general σ by the same formula as in the spherical case

$$S^\Gamma_\lambda := res^\Gamma \circ J_\lambda \circ ext^\Gamma \ .$$

It is meromorphic on $\mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$.

In order to finish the proof of Theorem 1.14 it remains to show the functional equation. Using (52) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
S^\Gamma_{-\lambda} \circ S^\Gamma_\lambda &= res^\Gamma \circ J_{-\lambda} \circ ext^\Gamma \circ res^\Gamma \circ J_\lambda \circ ext^\Gamma \\
&= res^\Gamma \circ J_{-\lambda} \circ J_\lambda \circ ext^\Gamma \\
&= res^\Gamma \circ ext^\Gamma \\
&= \mathrm{id} \ .
\end{aligned}$$

References

[Apa97] B. Apanasov. Geometry and topology of complex hyperbolic manifolds and Cauchy-Riemann manifolds. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 52(5(317)):9–44, 1997.

[AX97] Boris Apanasov and Xiangdong Xie. Geometrically finite complex hyperbolic manifolds. *Internat. J. Math.*, 8(6):703–757, 1997.

[Aus61] L. Auslander. Bieberbach's theorem on space groups and discrete uniform subgroups of Lie groups II. *Amer. J. Math.*, 83 (1961), 276–280.

[Bow95] B. H. Bowditch. Geometrical finiteness with variable negative curvature. *Duke math. J.*, 77 (1995), 229–274.

[BOa] Ulrich Bunke and Martin Olbrich. Regularity of invariant distributions, arXiv:math.DG/0103144.

[BO99] Ulrich Bunke and Martin Olbrich. Group cohomology and the singularities of the Selberg zeta function associated to a Kleinian group. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 149(2):627–689, 1999.

[BO00] Ulrich Bunke and Martin Olbrich. The spectrum of Kleinian manifolds. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 172(1):76–164, 2000.

[BO02] Ulrich Bunke and Martin Olbrich. Nonexistence of invariant distributions supported on the limit set. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 73(1-2):3–13, 2002. The 2000 Twente Conference on Lie Groups (Enschede).

[Cor90] K. Corlette. Hausdorff dimensions of limit sets. *Invent. math.*, 102 (1990), 521–542.

[CI99] K. Corlette and A. Iozzi. Limit sets of discrete groups of isometries of exotic hyperbolic spaces. *Trans. AMS*, 351 (1999), 1507–1530.

[EO73] P. Eberlein and B. O'Neill. Visibility manifolds. *Pacific J. Math.*, 46:45–109, 1973.

[FH00] R. G. Froese and P. D. Hislop. On the distribution of resonances for some asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds. In *Journées "Équations aux Dérivées Partielles" (La Chapelle sur Erdre, 2000)*, pages Exp. No. VII, 16. Univ. Nantes, Nantes, 2000.

[FHP91a] R. Froese, P. Hislop, and P. Perry. The Laplace operator on hyperbolic three manifolds with cusps of nonmaximal rank. *Invent. Math.*, 106(2):295–333, 1991.

[FHP91b] R. Froese, P. Hislop, and P. Perry. A Mourre estimate and related bounds for hyperbolic manifolds with cusps of nonmaximal rank. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 98(2):292–310, 1991.

[Glö02] Helge Glöckner. Remarks on holomorphic families of operators, 2002. Preprint.

[GS77] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg. *Geometric asymptotics.* Math. Surveys 14, A.M.S. Providence, R. I., 1977.

[Gu92] L. Guillopé. Fonctions zéta de Selberg et surface de géométrie finie. *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, 21 (1992) 33–70.

[GLZ04] Laurent Guillopé, Kevin K. Lin, and Maciej Zworski. The Selberg zeta function for convex co-compact Schottky groups. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 245(1):149–176, 2004.

[Gui05a] Colin Guillarmou. Absence of resonance near the critical line on asymptotically hyperbolic spaces. *Asymptot. Anal.*, 42(1-2):105–121, 2005.

[Gui05b] Colin Guillarmou. Meromorphic properties of the resolvent on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 129(1):1–37, 2005.

[Gui05c] Colin Guillarmou. Resonances and scattering poles on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 12(1):103–119, 2005.

[Gui06] Colin Guillarmou. Resonances on some geometrically finite hyperbolic manifolds. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 31(1-3):445–467, 2006.

[GZ95] Laurent Guillopé and Maciej Zworski. Upper bounds on the number of resonances for non-compact Riemann surfaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 129(2):364–389, 1995.

[GZ97] Laurent Guillopé and Maciej Zworski. Scattering asymptotics for Riemann surfaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 145(3):597–660, 1997.

[GZ99] L. Guillopé and M. Zworski. The wave trace for Riemann surfaces. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 9(6):1156–1168, 1999.

[His94] Peter D. Hislop. The geometry and spectra of hyperbolic manifolds. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.*, 104(4):715–776, 1994. Spectral and inverse spectral theory (Bangalore, 1993).

[His00] P. D. Hislop. On the distribution of scattering resonances for asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds. In *Differential equations and mathematical physics (Birmingham, AL, 1999)*, volume 16 of *AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math.*, pages 217–232. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.

[Jan79] Jens Carsten Jantzen. *Moduln mit einem höchsten Gewicht*, volume 750 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics.* Springer, Berlin, 1979.

[Pat76] S. J. Patterson. The limit set of a Fuchsian group. *Acta Math.*, 136 (1976), 241–273.

[Per99] Peter Perry. Meromorphic continuation of the resolvent for Kleinian groups. In *Spectral problems in geometry and arithmetic (Iowa City, IA, 1997)*, volume 237 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 123–147. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.

[Rag72] M. S. Raghunathan. *Discrete Subgroups of Lie groups.* Springer Verlag, 1972.

[RS78] M. Reed and B. Simon. *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics IV. Analysis of Operators.* Academic Press, 1978.

[Sul79] Dennis Sullivan. The density at infinity of a discrete group of hyperbolic motions. *I.H.E.S. Publ. Math.*, 50, (1979) 171–209.

[Sul84] Dennis Sullivan. Entropy, Hausdorff measures old and new, and limit sets of geometrically finite Kleinian groups. *Acta Math.*, 153(3-4):259–277, 1984.

[Zwo97] Maciej Zworski. Distribution of resonances for convex co-compact hyperbolic surfaces. In *Journées "Équations aux Dérivées Partielles" (Saint-Jean-de-Monts, 1997)*, pages Exp. No. XVIII, 9. École Polytech., Palaiseau, 1997.

[Zwo99] Maciej Zworski. Dimension of the limit set and the density of resonances for convex co-compact hyperbolic surfaces. *Invent. Math.*, 136(2):353–409, 1999.